



AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Aalborg Universitet

Pedagogized Muslimness

Religion and Culture as Identity Politics in the Classroom

Buchardt, Mette

Publication date:
2014

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Buchardt, M. (2014). *Pedagogized Muslimness: Religion and Culture as Identity Politics in the Classroom*. Waxmann Verlag. Religious Diversity and Education in Europe Vol. 27 <http://www.waxmann.com/buch3143>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Contents

Acknowledgements	8
------------------------	---

PART I

STUDYING THE CURRICULUM

OF ‘RELIGION’ AS SOCIAL PRACTICE	9
--	---

1. Prologue: The desire for knowledge of ‘the Muslim pupil’: a problematization of a problematization	9
1.1 Gülsen in the mosque and the church. The knowledge desire of the researcher	11
1.2 September 12: Monoculturalism, multiculturalism, and anti-racism in education?	14
1.3 The main questions, the object, the data	16
1.4 From dissertation to book – from Danish into English: Studies of Danish schooling in an international context	17
1.5 The structure of the book	19
2. The approach to curriculum, knowledge, and the classroom	21
2.1 The understanding of curriculum in relation to research on education	21
2.2 Recontextualizing, pedagogizing, and the pedagogic device	23
Model I	25
2.3 Forms of curricular knowledge conceptualized sociologically and social-epistemologically	26
2.4 Top-down or micro-politics? Locating curriculum through the concept of recontextualizing	28
2.5 Recontextualizing knowledge about ‘religion,’ ‘culture,’ and ‘identity’– an initial localization as a framework for asking questions in the classroom	30
2.6 The emergence of ‘the immigrant pupil’	32
2.7 Research on religion in schools and its impact on this study	34
2.8 ‘Religion’/‘culture’ as knowledge and identity politics	36
3. Conceptual architecture: recontextualizing and the pedagogic field of practice studied as discursive regularity and social economy	38
3.1 Operationalizing the Bernsteinian understanding of field and discourse	38
Model II	39
3.2 Pedagogic discourse and discursive regularity	40
3.3 The grammar of the classroom: language as social practice	42
3.4 Classroom as social space: positioning and dispositions of the agents	44
3.5 Forms of capital: The economy of the symbolic – the symbolism of economy	45
3.6 Conceptualizing the classroom: social classification and knowledge	47
4. Two classrooms in the socioeconomic landscape. Constructing the empirical material	48
4.1 Constructing the data – constructing the classroom	48
4.2 The official text of the classroom	55

4.3	The detailed focal points in analyzing classroom conversation	58
4.4	Practices of the turn-taking system.....	63
4.5	The socioeconomic backgrounds of the pupils: teacher, pupil, and parent descriptions and information	64
4.6	Between and across the analysis of dispositions, positions, and positioning and the analysis of knowledge- and subject production	65

PART 2

	DIFFERENTIATED ‘MUSLIM’ CLASS STRUCTURE.....	68
5.	The teacher articulation of the official classroom text	69
5.1	A differentiated ideal of respect.....	69
5.2	‘The Muslim pupil’ as a structuring figure	71
5.3	Separate and stable, yet flexibly changeable	72
6.	Muslimness as differentiated school capital	74
6.1	Culture as religion, religion as culture in the teacher’s characterizations	75
6.2	To be or not to be legitimate, to be or not to be ‘subject matter-relevant’	84
6.3	Those in whom one can invest expectations	87
6.4	Summing up: the socioeconomic landscape.....	92
7.	Production of ‘the Muslim subjects’	95
7.1	Situating the text sample: educational module and lesson	96
7.2	Ritual as the structuring theme – Sulayman as the content	99
7.3	Intimacy and distance	102
7.4	Modality at work	103
7.5	Summing up: the Muslim subjects	106
8.	Intimization and flexibilization of acknowledged ‘Muslimness’	108
8.1	Social classification: recognition of dispositions and position.....	108
8.2	Pupils in the game of knowledge and experience.....	110
8.3	Categories of knowledge, production of subjects.....	110

PART 3

	SUBJECTIVITY WITHIN THE PERIMETER OF ‘MUSLIM TRADITION’: MUSLIM AS ‘LOW CLASS’	112
9.	The school and the teachers’ articulation of curriculum	113
9.1	The educational module and the teacher speech about curriculum	114
9.2	Muslims and Christians: experience knowledge and factual knowledge.....	116
10.	‘Christianity’ as ‘universal human conditions’ versus the predictable ‘Muslim tradition’	119
10.1	The universal human funeral: organization of ‘Christianity’ and ‘funeral’.....	120
10.2	The ‘Muslim’ tradition: organizing ‘Islam’ and ‘funeral’	127
10.3	Producing subjects, generating pupil experience	136
10.4	Summing up: constructing the objects Christianity and Islam.....	139
11.	The hierarchy of problematization: teachers’ interest and teachers’ concern.....	143

11.1	The empirical material.....	143
11.2	Gülšen and Amalie: “A kind of girl that ... lacks some social filters” and “The most social and diplomatic child”.....	146
11.3	The girl group hierarchy: the academics’ daughter, a girl who thinks she’s clever, and one who’s out of proportion	151
11.4	Those that bring bad influences from other institutions and those that bring it from home.....	154
11.5	The categorization practices of the teachers in descriptions of pupils	158
11.6	Summing up: pupil disposition and -positioning, teacher recognition and the opposite.....	162
12.	Assembling knowledge production and social classification.....	165
12.1	Speech about types of pupils and forms of knowledge	166
12.2	Remaining an under-achiever; winning a space, but not legitimacy.....	167
12.3	Knowledge and speakers in an agent-, practice-, and capital perspective.....	168
PART 4		
RELIGION AND CULTURE AS KNOWLEDGE AND SOCIAL		
CLASSIFICATION.....		
13.	Pedagogizing religion. Concluding remarks	172
13.1	Religion as race and class.....	172
13.2	Religion as ‘experience knowledge’.....	174
13.3	The differentiated Muslim class structure at the B-school: the Muslim subjects	175
13.4	Subjectivity within the perimeter of ‘Muslim tradition.’ The Muslim underclass at the C-schools highly differentiated class structure	177
13.5	Recapitulation: production of knowledge and production of social classification as interlinked	178
13.6	The school’s production and classification of knowledge and bodies. ‘Muslimness’ and ‘universal Danish Christianity’ pedagogized	179
References		
	Legislation and other documents	191
Appendix A: The B-school, selected text sample. Original Danish version.....		
Appendix B: The C-school, selected text samples, original Danish version		
	Text sample 1 (TRT1-6).....	195
	Text sample 2 (TRT1-6).....	196
	Text sample 3	197