

COLWRIT – Collaborative Online Writing in Google Docs

Presenting a Research Design

Andreasen, Lars Birch; Winther, Frederikke; Hanghøj, Thorkild; Larsen, Birger

Publication date:
2014

Document Version
Accepted author manuscript, peer reviewed version

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Andreasen, L. B., Winther, F., Hanghøj, T., & Larsen, B. (2014). COLWRIT – Collaborative Online Writing in Google Docs: Presenting a Research Design. Poster presented at The 13th European Conference on e-Learning, Copenhagen, Denmark.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -


Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

COLWRIT – Collaborative Online Writing in Google Docs: Presenting a Research Design

Lars Birch Andreasen, Frederikke Winther, Thorkild Hanghøj and Birger Larsen
Aalborg University Copenhagen, Denmark



Thorkild Hanghøj
15:36 Today


Resolve

When multiple users are able to use the commenting functions of a shared online writing tool, then what are the implications of that?

Reply...

Study purpose

- The emergence of **online collaborative writing tools** (e.g. Google Docs) opens up new opportunities when students are co-producing texts
- The **COLWRIT study** (Collaborative Online Writing) explores how the collaboration and writing processes of university students are affected, when creating their shared text through the use of collaborative writing tools like Google Docs



Collaborative writing processes

- *CW [collaborative writing] is an iterative and social process that involves a team focused on a common objective that negotiates, coordinates, and communicates during the creation of a common document.*
- *The potential scope of CW goes beyond the more basic act of joint composition to include the likelihood of pre- and post task activities, team formation, and planning.*
- *Furthermore, based on the desired writing task, CW includes the possibility of many different writing strategies, activities, document control approaches, team roles, and work modes.*


Lowry, Curtis & Lowry (2004)

Birger Larsen
10:36 Today

Resolve

These include: Planning, leading, researching, reflecting, socializing, discussing, negotiating, coordinating, facilitating, supervising, outlining, drafting, reviewing, revising and editing.

Reply...




Ethical implications

- **Agreed consent** will be obtained from all informants (students) in relation to data logging and observations
- All data will be **anonymized**
- All informants (students) are **invited to participate in a research seminar**, where we present and invite for discussion of the preliminary findings of the study




Mixed methods

- Logging of **all changes** as well as **all comments** in student Google Docs
 - **Automatic analysis and visualisation** of collected data
- Logging and analysis of project related **closed facebook posts** →  **Digital Footprints** app
- Pre and post surveys
- **Observation & video** to capture complex interpersonal on/offline working processes
- **Interviews & focus groups** to get students' opinions and feedback
- **Diaries** to capture students' own reflections and important moments
- Different **student groups** involved as **informants** and **co-researchers**
- Study to be carried out during 12 weeks of problem based project work in fall 2014


Quantitative

Qualitative

Lars Birch Andre...
13:52 Today

Resolve

how to analyse all that data?

Birger Larsen
14:19 Today

Resolve

Via REVISION MAPS and TOPIC MODELS a la Southavilay et al. (2013)


Reply...

The tyranny of participation – the dark side of collaboration

- To think that collaborative participation is always a liberating and emancipating mode or strategy is both a **naïve** and a **utopian** point of view.
- Collaborative participation can lead to **tyranny** of the dominant and hence the reinforcement of oppression and control.
- Especially in the **absence of reflexivity** and understanding of different ways and approaches to participation.

cf. Ferreday & Hodgson (2008)

- So **what** is being negotiated during the writing process: work and writing strategies? Or personal and participative legitimacy?
- And **how** is it being negotiated: by open arguments or subtle indications?

Frederikke Winther
16:02 Yesterday

Resolve

The concept of team ideology (Amanda Sinclair 1992)


Reply...



Expected results

We expect to be able to:

- Describe **significant variation** in the students' approaches to collaborative online writing, especially in relation to the students' **different roles** and **different phases** of the writing process
- Understand different aspects of the **groups' collaboration and negotiation** in relation to **coordinating and creating** a shared text
- Understand the **meaning and interplay of different online and offline resources** (e.g. Google Docs, whiteboards, handwritten notes etc.) in relation to collaborative writing
- Develop **pedagogical guidelines** for the use of Google Docs in relation to project work and collaborative online writing processes

Frederikke Winther
16:02 Today

Resolve

I want to understand how the comment function is used by group members in order to provide feedback

Reply...

