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Abstract—Grid converters require a simple inductor or an LCL-filter to limit the current ripples. The LCL-filter is nowadays the preferred solution as it allows lower inductance values. In order to solve the stability concerns, active damping is preferred to passive damping since it does not use dissipative elements. However, large variations in the grid inductance and resonances arising from parallel converters may still compromise the system stability. This calls for a robust design of LCL-filters with active damping. This paper proposes a design flow with little iteration for two well-known methods, namely lead-lag network and current capacitor feedback. The proposed formulas for the resonance frequency, grid and converter inductance ratio, and capacitance of the LCL-filter allow calculating all the LCL-filter parameters. An estimation for the achieved Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) of the grid current is also provided. Experimental results show very robust designs to the parameter variations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Grid converters supply sinusoidal currents and use a simple inductor (i.e., an L-filter) or an LCL-filter to limit the current ripple. Using an LCL-filter results in lower inductance values and thus allowing a more compact design and lower losses. Because of these advantages, this solution is nowadays widespread used in distributed generation systems (e.g., solar and wind power systems) [1], [2]. The current control in a closed loop may result unstable due to the LCL-filter resonance. Passive damping solves this issue by using simple resistors, and consequently results in additional losses [3]. Active damping modifies the control algorithm without using dissipative elements [1]. A vast amount of literature on active and passive damping of LCL-filters is available [3]–[13].

The variations in the resonance frequency, due to weak grids with large inductance variations, may compromise the system stability [14]. Moreover, the increasing connection of grid converters in parallel has raised new stability problems because of the new appearing resonances. It has been shown in [15] that when connecting converters in parallel with very similar characteristics, the resultant equivalent grid inductance is multiplied by the number of converters. Hence, these situations call for a robust design of the LCL-filter to solve the stability concerns.

In view of this, a simple design procedure for LCL-filters with little iteration is proposed in this paper. The LCL-filter is intended to be adopted with two well-known active damping methods, namely lead-lag network and current capacitor feedback. Formulas to select all the LCL-filter parameters are provided. Analysis in the z-plane is utilized to demonstrate the stability and the robustness to the parameter variations. This paper is organized as follows: § II makes a succinct review of the control for the LCL-filter based grid converters. Focuses are put on the considerations for the LCL-filter design in § III, followed by the proposed design flow, which is illustrated in § IV. The experiments are provided in § V to verify the analysis, and finally the conclusions are presented in § VI.

II. CONTROL OF THE LCL-FILTER BASED GRID CONVERTERS

An LCL-filter based three-phase active rectifier is shown Fig. 1. For the power range of kilowatts (kW) to megawatts (MW), the current sensors are usually integrated in the converter for protection [5]. In this paper the converter side current is the one sensed for the control. The case of the grid current control is also analogous and simpler, since it is closer to the stability [16].

The cascaded control used for grid converters is represented in Fig. 2. The synchronization with the grid voltage is obtained by using a Phase-Locked Loop (PLL). The current control in the dq-frame uses simple Proportional-Integral (PI) controllers tuned according to the technical optimum criterion [17]. The d-axis current performs the DC voltage regulation whereas the q-axis current controls the reactive power. In the low frequency region, the LCL-filter behaves approximately as an equivalent L-filter resulting from neglecting the capacitor branch [5]. Therefore, the usual formulas for tuning the controllers in the L-filter case [17] can also be used in the LCL-filter case.
In the first product of (2) models the computational delay, while the second product models the ZOH [20]. The effects of the computation delay and the PWM at the resonance frequency can be studied by substituting \( s = j \omega_{res} \) in (2):

\[
G_d(j \omega_{res}) = e^{-T_s j \omega_{res}} \frac{1 - e^{-T_s j \omega_{res}}}{j T_s \omega_{res}},
\]

which can be factorized as,

\[
G_d(j \omega_{res}) = \frac{\sin(\omega_{res} T_s/2)}{\omega_{res} T_s/2} e^{-j \omega_{res} T_s/2}.
\]

It gives an easy access to the magnitude and the phase of (2) at the resonance frequency.

For the case of \( \omega_{res} = \pi/(1.5 T_s) \), (3) or (4) results a negative number, which is equal to:

\[
G_d(j \omega_{res}) = -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}.
\]

The digital implementation delays at the resonance frequency, where damping is necessary, can be compensated by simply inverting (5). Thus, the ratio between the resonance frequency and the sampling frequency \( r_f = f_s/\omega_{res} \) for active damping with the capacitor current feedback should be:

\[
rf = 3.
\]

For the general case, the gain \( k_d \) times the real part of (2) at the resonance frequency should be positive:

\[
k_d \Re \left\{ e^{-T_s j \omega_{res}} \frac{1 - e^{-T_s j \omega_{res}}}{j T_s \omega_{res}} \right\} > 0.
\]

Therefore, according to (7), the gain \( k_d \) should be negative when the real part of (2) at the resonance frequency is negative. This happens up to the maximum ratio \( r_f = 6 \). For higher \( r_f \) the real part of (2) is positive, \( k_d \) must be positive to fulfill (7), and in this case the computational and PWM delays can be considered negligible. The minimum ratio should be \( r_f = 2 \) as the sampling frequency should be higher than the resonance frequency so the resonance is visible for the digital control. Even though the previous approximations required assuming \( T_{res} \ll f_s \), applying the Jury’s criterion [6] to the digital implementation of the capacitor current feedback results in the same ratios \( r_f \) and the same signs for \( k_d \). When considering the capacitor current feedback, the Padé approximant can be adopted as an equivalent model for the low frequency region.

Fig. 2. Cascaded control of the grid converter with active damping: a) capacitor current feedback and b) lead-lag network.

Fig. 3. LCL-filter with capacitor current feedback for active damping.

III. CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE LCL-FILTER DESIGN

The block diagrams for the active damping methods of the lead-lag network and the capacitor current feedback have also been presented in Fig. 2.

A. Resonance Frequency

The capacitor current feedback, as it is shown in Fig. 3, result in damping for the LCL-filter.

The transfer function relating the converter current \( i \) and the converter voltage \( v \) can be expressed as [18]:

\[
G_{ad}(s) = \frac{i(s)}{v(s)} = \frac{1}{L s^2 + \omega_{res}^2} \frac{2 k_d \omega_{res} s + \omega_{res}^2}{s^2 + z_{LC}^2 + k_d s^2},
\]

where \( L \) and \( L_g \) are the inductances of the converter and grid inductors, respectively, \( \omega_{res}^2 = (2 \pi f_{res})^2 = (1 + L_g/L) \omega_{LC}^2 \) is the resonance frequency with \( z_{LC}^2 = [L_g C_f]^{-1} \), and finally \( C_f \) is the filter capacitance.

The digital implementation of the capacitor current feedback incorporates lag phase shifts due to the computational delay and the Pulse Width Modulation (PWM), as it is shown in Fig. 4.

The PWM for control modeling purposes is equivalent to a Zero-Order Hold (ZOH) [19]. In the s-domain, the transfer function modeling the effects of the computational delay and the PWM can be given as [15]:

\[
G_d(s) = e^{-T_s s} \frac{1 - e^{-T_s s}}{T_s s},
\]

in which \( T_s = 1/f_s \) is the sampling period. The pure delay in the first product of (2) models the the computational delay,
\[ \omega \ll \omega_{res}, \text{ and it can be given as:} \]
\[ G_{adf}(s) = \frac{i(s)}{u(s)} = \frac{1}{(L + L_g - R_g^2 C_f + k_d C_f R_g) s + (R + R_g)}. \]

in which \( R \) and \( R_g \) are the resistances of the converter and grid inductors respectively. This is almost the same as neglecting the capacitor branch in the LCL-filter since the additional terms are very small. It has been shown in [7] that the minimum \( k_d \) to obtain stability is:
\[ k_{dmin} = \frac{1}{3} L_g f_s. \]  

(9)

In [6], the transfer function of (1) is discretized and the maximum value can be used as upper bound for \( k_d \) so it should not be higher than:
\[ k_{dmax} = \frac{2}{\pi} L f_s. \]  

(10)

The proper \( k_d \) will be selected by means of root locus analysis in the z-plane and considering the converter current control in a closed loop.

It has been shown in [7] that the ratio between the resonance and sampling frequency for the lead-lag network to work properly should be \( r_f \approx 3.2 \pm 3.4 \). The minimum damping resistor for stability is approximately proportional to \( R_d \propto f_s L_g^2/(L + L_g) \) and the capacitor harmonic current to \( i_h \propto v_{DC}/(f_s L) \) with \( v_{DC} \) being the DC-link voltage [4]. With some simple calculations, the damping losses are approximately proportional to
\[ P_d \propto \frac{(C_f r_g^2 v_{DC} f_s)}{r_f^2}. \]  

(11)

Therefore, for elevated \( r_f \), passive damping should be considered as the damping losses will be very low. Finally, for grid current control with \( r_f < 6 \) [16], no active damping method is necessary to achieve stability.

B. Ratio between the Grid and Converter Inductance

For a constant \( \omega_{res} \), the ratio between the grid and converter inductances \( r_l = L_g/L \) that minimizes the total inductance \( L_T = L + L_g \):
\[ L_T = \frac{1}{\omega_{res}^2 C_f} \frac{1}{r_l} \frac{(1 + r_l)^2}{r_l}, \]  

(12)

is \( r_l = 1 \) (i.e., \( L = L_g \)) by deriving (12) and equating it to zero. This is equivalent to consider the minimal required capacitor [21]. In addition, equal inductors may result economically advantageous. Moreover, the ratio \( r_l = 1 \) also corresponds to the maximum attenuation for the main switching harmonics:
\[ \frac{\omega_{sw}}{v(\omega_{sw})} = \frac{(1 + r_l)^2}{L_T \omega_{sw} (r_f^2 - C_f L_T r_l \omega_{sw}^2 + 2 r_l + 1)}, \]  

(13)

with \( \omega_{sw} = 2 \pi f_s \) by deriving again (13) and equating to zero. The ratio \( r_l \) influences the per unit variation of \( \omega_{res} \) related to the per unit variation of the LCL-filter inductance according to the following:
\[ \frac{\Delta \omega_{res}}{\omega_{res}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + r_l} \]  

(14a)

\[ \frac{\Delta L}{L} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + r_l} \]  

(14b)

Increasing \( r_l \) results in increased robustness to the grid inductance variations \( L_g \) according to (14). The detrimental effect for the converter inductance robustness is not problematic as \( L \) is a known parameter and not likely to vary. However, the value of \( r_l \) should not be increased very much to prevent the filter becoming too expensive being reasonable \( r_l < 2 [5] \). The ratio \( r_l \) has no effect in the per unit variation of the LCL-filter capacitor, \( C_f \):
\[ \frac{\Delta C}{C} = - \frac{1}{2}. \]  

(15)

C. Capacitance of the LCL-Filter

Considering the converter current control equal to the rated current \( I_n \) synchronized to the capacitor voltage, approximately equal to the rated voltage \( V_n \), the per unit value of the grid impedance results:
\[ z_g = \frac{v_{g}}{i_{g}} = \frac{1}{1 + c_f^2} + j \left[ \frac{c_f}{1 + c_f^2} - l_g \right] \approx 1 + j(c_f - l_g), \]  

(16)

with lower letter indicating per unit values. The calculation of the grid impedance for other cases can be found in [22]. In order to make the grid impedance resistive, \( c_f \) should be equal to \( l_g \) according to (16). Substituting (16) in (12) results
\[ c_f = \sqrt{1 + r_l^2} \frac{\omega_{n}}{\omega_{sw}}, \]  

(17)

with \( \omega_n = 2 \pi f \) being the fundamental frequency and \( \omega_{sw} = 2 \pi f_s \). However, (17) may result in very low capacitance and too high values for the inductances. Too large inductances results in large and expensive coils and no substantial advantage of the LCL-filter when compared to the simple L-filter. In order to reduce the value of the inductances, the capacitance should be increased but not to produce too much reactive power [22].

D. Estimation for the THD of the Grid Current

A lower bound for the RMS value of the capacitor current, based on the negligible impedance path in the capacitor branch, has been established in [4] and can be given as follows:
\[ \gamma_{lc} = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{48 f_s L}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} m^2 - \frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{\pi} + \frac{9}{8} \left( \frac{3}{2} + \frac{9 \sqrt{3}}{8 \pi} m^4 \right)} \]  

(18)
where \( m \) is the modulation index and \( v_{DC} \) is the DC-link voltage. Equation (18) assumes using space vector modulation, and for other modulations [18] can be consulted. An upper bound for the RMS value of the capacitor current, by assuming negligible current harmonic for order \( m_f - 6 \) with \( m_f = f_{sw}/f \) and \( f \) the fundamental frequency, has also been established in [4] and can be expressed as:

\[
\tilde{i}_{c}^{up} = \tilde{i}_{c}^{low} \left| \frac{s^2}{s^2 + \omega_{res}^2} \right|_{s = j((m_f - 6)\omega_f)}.
\] (19)

An upper bound for the RMS value of the grid current can be obtained by considering the transfer function relating \( i_g \) and \( i_c \) again at the harmonic order \( m_f - 6 \):

\[
\tilde{i}_{g}^{up} = \tilde{i}_{c}^{up} \left| \frac{i_g(s)}{i_c(s)} \right|_{s = j((m_f - 6)\omega_f)} = \tilde{i}_{c}^{up} \left( \frac{2L_C}{((m_f - 6)\omega_f)^2} \right).\] (20)

Even though an upper bound the estimation, (20) results optimistic when considering the voltage drops and conduction resistances of the power devices, dead-time and DC-link voltage ripples. Dividing (20) by the rated current \( I_n \) results approximately in the THD of the grid current.

**IV. STEP-BY-STEP DESIGN PROCEDURE FOR THE LCL-FILTER**

The design flow for the LCL-filter is shown in Fig. 5 and is explained by means of an example. The grid converter has rated power \( S_n = 4.1 \) kW, rated voltage \( V_n = 380 \) V and switching frequency \( f_{sw} = 8 \) kHz. The selected active damping method is the capacitor current feedback method with \( r_f = 3 \), and the impedance ratio, \( r_l = 1 \) (i.e., \( L = L_g \)). The capacitance according to (17) \( C_f = 1.4 \mu F \) would result in too large inductors. Selecting \( C_f = 2.6 \mu F \) (5%) will not produce very much reactive power and will result in \( L = L_g = 2.7 \) mH.

Fig. 6 shows the root locus in the z-plane for the closed loop system by varying \( k_d \). The systems results stable for gains greater than \( k_d = 7.25 \), which is very close to \( k_{d_{min}} = 7.28 \) according to (9). The gain for the maximum damping shown in Fig. 6 is \( k_d = 24.6 \) a little lower than the maximum \( k_{d_{max}} = 26.4 \) in (10). It can be seen that the damping for the resonance poles can be selected at will by increasing the gain \( k_d \). This is analogous to what happens with passive damping by increasing the resistor value [3].

Damping the resonance poles too much is not appropriate as it would result in too much control effort, a damping factor equal to \( \zeta = 0.1 \) is adequate [3]. Simulations using Matlab/Simulink and considering ideal power devices and passive elements result in a grid current THD equal to 0.51%. This is coherent with the upper bound for the grid current THD according to (20) equal to 0.65%. Fig. 7 shows the root locus in the z-plane for varying \( L_g \) from 40% to 100%. The system remains stable for the full variation range. Hence, the proposed procedure for the capacitor current feedback results very robust to the grid inductance variations. Analysis in the z-plane for the lead-lag method can be found in [7].

**V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

The parameters of the available experimental set-up are shown in TABLE I. The DC-link is supplied by a Delta Elektronika. A Danfoss FC302 converter is directly connected to the grid through an isolating transformer with the leakage inductance being \( L_g \). For a system with the same switching frequency and capacitance, the design values for the converter and grid inductances, being \( L = L_g = 1.5 \) mH, are calculated.
according to the proposed procedure. Thus, the real converter inductance is a little larger than the design value and the real grid inductance is almost double the design value. Notwithstanding that, the system remains stable upon the connection of the capacitor current feedback, as it is shown in Fig. 8.

To test the robustness of the proposed procedure, additional inductors of 6.9 mH are inserted between the converter and the isolated transformer. In this condition, without the capacitor current feedback, the grid converter trips. The experiment shown in Fig. 9 goes from inactive gate drivers (the capacitor current can be observed) to full rated generation. The system remains stable demonstrating the robustness of the proposed design procedure. The active damping method with the lead-lag network also results robust but not as much as with the capacitor current feedback. For this last experiment with the lead-lag method the grid converter does not trip but resonates considerably.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

A robust design procedure of $LCL$-filters for active damping in grid converters has been proposed in this paper. The proposed design flows require little iteration. The formulas for the resonance frequency, grid and converter inductance ratio and capacitance allows calculating all the needed parameters of the $LCL$-filter. The resultant designs demonstrate the significant robustness of the $LCL$-filter to grid inductance variations. This is especially the case of the capacitor current feedback, which allows selecting the damping of the resonance poles at will.
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