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Abstract

Since ancient time, doping has been used by athletes. The prevalence for using performance-enhancing agents is increasing and new methods are being applied. Gene doping is foreseen to become one of the new doping methods in athletic performances. This study was therefore performed to investigate the possibility of transfecting a gene encoding the endogenous peptide preproenkephalin (PENK) in mice as gene doping candidate and subsequently establish a functional detection method. This was performed using the blood and muscle as samples mimicking the most plausible detection method to be used in real life. Our results showed that it is possible to transfect PENK into mice and subsequently detect it. However, it was only possible to detect PENK in the blood after 24 hours and in the muscle after 48 hours. This therefore illustrates the trouble in detecting the use of gene doping, as the blood sample must be acquired no longer than 24 hours after transfection. The gene doping detection method must therefore be implemented as a normal routine check, if the antidoping agencies have a chance against the athlete’s willingness to use doping.

Introduction

Since ancient time, doping has been used by athletes for a better chance of winning. The prevalence has been increasing since, thus showing the willingness athletes possess for using performance-enhancing agents. In the professional sports a total of 66 % was excluded by the U.S. Anti-Doping Agency (USADA) because of the use of doping [1], and 3% of the non-professional fitness users have either used or use anabolic steroids [2].

Different types of performance-enhancing agents are used in different branches of sports, e.g. erythropoietin is widely used in cycling and testosterone in weightlifting competitions. The world’s anti-doping agency (WADA) has therefore formed a list of doping agents, which contains all substances and methods that can potentially be used as performance-enhancing agents. On this list a relatively new doping method has been added, which is gene doping. This is a technique, in which a gene of interest is inserted into either the cell nucleus transiently or even permanently in the genome of the athlete [3]. The inserted gene is capable of producing the desired protein, thus the gene doping user may receive the same effect as using conventional doping, but with a reduced risk of efficient detection and thus disqualification.

In order to insert a gene, different methods have been applied. One of these is called electroporation [4] and exhibits potential as a method for gene doping.

In a recent study, the researchers showed that plasmid DNA encoding erythropoietin (EPO) could successfully be transfected into muscle in mice and rats, and skin in mice [5,6]. This was confirmed by measuring the levels of EPO in blood samples. The results showed an increase in EPO, which reached to the desirable therapeutic level [5,6]. This indicates that it is possible to insert a gene of interest and obtain a measurable effect, which could be a therapeutic method for helping patients with protein deficiencies.

In addition to EPO, a number of other genes could potentially be applied for doping purposes. One of the potential candidates would be insertion of a gene that encodes enkephalins. The effect would contribute to a higher pain tolerance while performing heavy sports related tasks. Enkephalins are neuropeptides, which bind to opioid receptors in the nervous system, causing analgesic effects [7]. This was confirmed by a study conducted by JR. Goss et al, which showed that an inserted gene encoding PENK into dorsal root ganglion of rats exerted an analgesic effect [8]. Therefore, the evidence is clear for a method of treating pain, but also way of suppressing pain under exercise. It is only a matter of time before athletes could undertake this new doping method by a proper pain reducing gene and application of an effective transfection method.
Therefore, the antidoping agencies should consider acquiring a technique of detecting of this doping method.

Electroporation based gene delivery is now in experimental use for the treatment of e.g. cancer [9].

The present study is focusing on the insertion of a gene encoding preproenkephalin (PENK) into muscle cells of mice, and subsequently attempting to detect this gene in the blood and muscles by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). The transfection method is electroporation, as this is the most possible method for athletes to use due to its safety and efficiency [10].

Materials and Methods

Animals

All animal experiments were conducted in agreement with the recommendation of the European Convention for the protection of Vertebrate Animals used for Experimentation. The experiments were approved by the animal ethics committee (2013-15-2937-00760) and performed at Herlev Hospital, Denmark. A total of sixteen, 6-8 weeks old female C57BL/6 mice were used.

The animals were bred at Herlev Hospital, and maintained in a thermo-stated environment under a 12-hour light/dark cycle and had free access to food and drinking water.

Plasmid and transformation

The plasmid used in this experiment was purchased from Origene, USA (Cat. MG203555). The plasmid contained the sequence encoding fusion protein with mouse PENK (pubmed ref: NM_001002927.2) in frame with green-fluorescent protein (GFP) thereby enabling visualization of a successful transfection and subcellular localization of PENK.

The plasmid was transformed by heat-shock into the chemically competent E. coli strain DH5α (Invitrogen, USA), and plated onto an agar plate containing 100μg/ml ampicillin. Bacteria were then incubated for 24 hours, resulting in the formation of colonies. One colony was used to propagate and purify the necessary amount of plasmid by a plasmid purification kit, NucleoSpin Midi (Machery-Nagel, Germany) for further experiments.

In vitro experiment

Cell culture: C2C12 myoblast cells (ATCC, USA) were cultivated in medium consisting of DMEM (Sigma Aldrich, USA) with 10% fetal calf serum (Gibco, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, Cat. No. 15140-122). Cells were grown in T175 culture flask. When cell confluence reached 60-70 %, cells were trypsinized and washed twice with sterile PBS. The cells were counted on a hemocytometer (Assistant, Germany) and passage into a new flask with fresh medium.

Chemical transfection: When 50% of cell confluence was reached the cells were trypsinized, counted and seeded into a 6-well culture plate at 2500 cells/cm². Cells were then allowed to reach the cells were trypsinized, counted and seeded into a chamber slide (C6307, Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The cells were then left for 4 hours, allowing them to attach to the glass slide. They were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and stained with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Hereafter, cells were visualised on a confocal microscopy (LSM 700, Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Germany).

In vivo experiment

Mice (n=16) were anaesthetised 15 min prior to electroporation by injection of 100μL of Hypnorm/Midazolam combination (2,5ml hypnorm/2,5ml Midazolam mixed with 5ml sterile water) subcutaneously. 20μl of plasmid solution (containing 10μg plasmid) was injected intramuscularly, using a 29G insulin syringe, into the musculus tibialis anterior. After the injection a plate electrode with a 4mm gap in between were fitted around the leg, which was shaved beforehand. Electrode gel was applied to ensure sufficient contact. A control group received 20μl of sterile saline injection instead of plasmid solution.

The electric field was applied using the Cliniportor (IGEA, Italy), which applied a combination of a High voltage pulse (800V/cm (applied voltage=320V), 100μs) and a low voltage pulse (100V/cm (applied voltage=40 V), 400μs) with a 1 sec pause between pulses [6].

RNA extraction and qPCR analysis: The mice were euthanized by quick cervical dislocation, followed by decapitation and blood was collected in EDTA-containing eppendorf tubes. The right musculus tibialis anterior were removed and quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Total RNA was extracted from 1ml whole blood samples using QIAamp RNA blood mini kit (Qiagen, Germany). The RNA concentration was measured using Nanophotometer (Implen, Germany).

Total RNA was extracted from 30mg muscle samples using AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Germany) or RNeasy Fibrous Tissue mini kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. All muscle tissue was homogenized in liquid nitrogen using pestle and mortar.

cDNA synthesis was performed using the RevertAid™ Premium First Strand CDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific, USA). This was done using the provided oligo (dT)18 and random hexamer primers following protocol by the manufacturer.

Following primers for qPCR analysis were used:

PENK: Fwd GTCTGTGCTTGGCTACACTTG
Rev TCCAGTGTGACGCAGGAAAT;
β-actin: Fwd CCTCTGAAACCTAAGGCCAACCGTGAA
Rev AGTGGTGACCGAGGCCATACGG.

qPCR was performed using 1µl cDNA, 10µL Brilliant SYBR Green qPCR Master mix (Agilent Technologies, Denmark) and 0,4μM of each primer. qPCR was done using MX3000P instrument (Agilent Technologies, Denmark). All samples were run in duplicates.

Water and RT- samples were used as negative controls.

The thermal profile for qPCR was 1×: 95°C 10 min; 40×: 95°C 30 sec, 61°C 10 sec, 70°C 30 sec; 1×: 95°C 1 min, 55°C 30sec, 95°C 30sec

Statistics

All PENK expression data were normalised to β-actin, and the data are presented as fold to this. Statistical analysis and visual presentation were performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, USA). Kruskal-Wallis test followed by multiple comparisons between the individual groups was performed. The error bars in figure 2 and 3 represent SEM. p values less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

To evaluate if the PENK plasmid could be efficiently expressed in muscle cells, C2C12 cells were transfected with a plasmid expressing PENK fused with green fluorescent protein (GFP). As indicated in Figure 1, GFP-PENK and DAPI positive cells were observed, and were found in the same focal plane. DAPI allows visualization of the nuclei of cells and was used to estimate the ratio between successfully transfected cells and non-transfected cells.
Small vesicles with an intense GFP signal were localised in the peripheries of the cells, indicating that the GFP-PENK fusion protein resides in secretory granules as is the case with the native PENK-protein. The overall expression efficiency was determined to be 7%.

PENK transgene expression in muscle

To determine if PENK transgene could be detected in the blood and muscle sixteen C57BL/6 mice were transfected by electroporation with the same plasmid as in the in vitro experiment. Mice were decapitated after 24 and 48 hours and the blood as well as the right quadriceps muscle were collected. The PENK gene expression in the muscle was investigated by qPCR (Figure 2) showing a mean value of 0.0471*10^-2 for control, 0.08805*10^-2 for 24 hours, and 1*10^-2 for 48 hours. The Kruskal-Wallis test revealed a significant difference between the groups (p=0.0297). There was no significant difference between control group and 48 hours (p=0.0162). However, there was no significant difference between control group vs. 24 hours (p=0.7277) or 24 hours vs. 48 hours (p=0.3429). Error bars indicate SEM and grey dots show the individual data points. * represents p<0.05.

PENK transgene expression detected in blood samples

The same gene expression analysis was done for the blood samples. This was to test whether the GFP–PENK transgene is detectable in the whole blood after muscle electroporation. As shown in Figure 3, the mean value for PENK gene expression is 0.009297*10^-2 for the control, 0.0713*10^-2 for 24 hours, and 0.005456*10^-2 for 48 hours. The Kruskal-Wallis test revealed a significant difference between the groups (p=0.0035). There was a significant difference between control group vs. 24 hours (p=0.0228) and 24 hours vs. 48 hours (p=0.0120). There was no significant difference between control vs. 48 hours (p=0.4606). Error bars indicate SEM and grey dots show the individual data points. * represents p<0.05.

Discussion

Doping remains a major challenge, and the number of athletes and novices being disqualified from sport competitions due to illegal agents [2], illustrates the importance for an effective and easy detection method. In this study, we show that it is possible to transfect a gene doping candidate (PENK) into the muscle of of mice, using electroporation. and subsequently a method for detecting this illicit gene in blood.

Cultured C2C12 muscle cells were used in the first place to validate the plasmid and define subcellular localisation of GFP-PENK fusion protein. GFP expression was observed in C2C12 cells transfected with the GFP-PENK plasmid. GFP signal was visible in small clusters, close to the cell membrane, which suggests that the fusion protein is located...
Previous studies indicate that it is of pivotal importance to use an efficient transfection method when inserting a gene into the genome [3]. This study is therefore offering a real method of inserting a gene of interest, but also an effective method of transgene detection in the bloodstream. The evidence is therefore strong that gene doping is a real risk, but the results of this study propose a method of the doping detection.

Electroporation is the most plausible gene doping method, compared with the other transfection methods. According to other studies, electroporation provides a safe and efficient method for the insertion of a gene of interest into muscle cells [5,6,15]. According to the findings of this study [15], only cells directly within the electrical field would be transfected. The chemical transfection reagents on the contrary offer high transfection efficiency, but their action is not localized to a specific tissue, which could lead to unwanted side effects [20]. Viral vectors are capable of integrating the gene of interest into the genome [21]. However, with viral transduction the transcription can become stable and therefore securing a more permanent gene doping effect [8]. On the contrary, viral transduction will cause an immune response and the production of antibodies [22], which can serve as another detection method.

Conclusion

This study indicates that it is possible to transfact plasmid encoding GFP-PENK into the muscle and achieves a stable expression for 48 hours. It was also possible to detect the transgene in the muscle tissue up to 48 hours after transfection whereas in the bloodstream the duration of transgene expression was limited to 24 hours posing a challenge for anti-doping agencies. Henceforth, it is impossible to locate the transfection site, and detect the illegal gene in the blood, this gene doping method may revolutionise the sport community negatively.
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