Aalborg Universitet



Human surrogate models of histaminergic and non-histaminergic itch

Andersen, Hjalte Holm; Elberling, Jesper; Arendt-Nielsen, Lars

Published in: Acta Dermato Venereologica

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.2340/00015555-2146

Publication date: 2015

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA): Andersen, H. H., Elberling, J., & Arendt-Nielsen, L. (2015). Human surrogate models of histaminergic and nonhistaminergic itch. Acta Dermato Venereologica, 95(7), 771-779. https://doi.org/10.2340/00015555-2146

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

REVIEW ARTICLE

Human Surrogate Models of Histaminergic and Non-histaminergic Itch

Hjalte H. ANDERSEN¹, Jesper ELBERLING² and Lars ARENDT-NIELSEN¹

¹Center of Sensory-Motor Interaction (SMI), Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, and ²The Allergy Clinic, Copenhagen University Hospital, Gentofte, Copenhagen, Denmark

Within the last decade understanding of the mechanistic basis of itch has improved significantly, resulting in the development of several human surrogate models of itch and related dysesthetic states. Well-characterized somatosensory models are useful in basic studies in healthy volunteers, in clinical studies for diagnostic and segmentation purposes, and in pharmacological studies to evaluate the antipruritic efficacy of existing and novel compounds. This review outlines recently introduced histamine-independent human models of itch, their mechanisms, their ability to induce clinically relevant phenomena, such as alloknesis, and the results obtained through their use. The article also introduces recent advances in the understanding of itch and provides an overview of the methods to assess experimentally-induced itch and associated manifestations. Major improvements are warranted in the treatment of chronic pruritus, and reliable human surrogate models are a valuable tool in achieving them, both for basic researchers and for clinicians. Key words: itch; pruritus; histamine; histamine-independent; surrogate model; cowhage.

Accepted May 11, 2015; Epub ahead of print May 27, 2015

Acta Derm Venereol 2015; 95: 771-777.

Lars Arendt-Nielsen, Center for Sensory-Motor Interaction, Department of Health Science and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, Aalborg University, Fredrik Bajers Vej 7D3, DK-9220 Aalborg E, Denmark. E-mail: lan@hst.aau.dk

Itch, also known as pruritus, is an unpleasant sensation that may prompt the sufferer to scratch the affected area. Itch may occasionally be dismissed as a minor nuisance, perhaps because everyone has experienced innocuous episodic itch (1-3). However, chronic pruritus (>6 weeks (1)) profoundly impacts quality of life for the affected patients through disturbances relating to sleep, attention and sexual function (1, 4). Itch is associated with wide range of medical conditions, such as urticaria, atopic dermatitis (AD), psoriasis, primary biliary cirrhosis, and chronic renal failure, as well as several neurological, infectious, neoplastic, haematological, autoimmune, genetic and drug-induced conditions (5, 6). Moreover, due to a prevalence of approximately 10% and a largely suboptimal treatment regimen, chronic itch represents a significant socioeconomic burden (1).

Within the last decade understanding of the neural and molecular structures facilitating the sensation of itch during normal and pathophysiological conditions has been greatly enhanced (7). Perhaps most prominently, a long-suspected (8, 9), histamine-independent itch pathway has been uncovered, accompanied by a range of new peripheral receptors (i.e. new in a pruritogenic context) (7, 10-12). Moreover, histamine has been refuted as key mediator of itch in most of the clinical conditions presenting with chronic pruritus, which is in agreement with the fact that these conditions are frequently refractory to treatment with antihistamines (1, 13-15). Hence, the present treatment options for itch, beyond targeting the underlying disorder, are suboptimal, and the area is characterized by evidence originating from case-series or small-scale trials. This means that, as opposed to pain management, knowledge of treatment responsiveness in different itch patient subgroups is scarce (1). The progress made in elucidating the possibly distinct, histamineindependent itch modalities has sparked a new demand; the need for reliable human surrogate models. Such models facilitate the mimicking of activity in specific itch pathways in healthy human volunteers and patients with itch, whereby an improved understanding of itch can be achieved and novel diagnostic tools, targets and strategies for new pharmacological interventions can be revealed in a timely manner. This mechanistic approach, of using translatable symptom-specific surrogate models, has been highly advantageous within the field of pain research in terms of bridging the bench-to-bedside gap and of spurring the idea of mechanisms-based treatment (16, 17).

The present review provides an overview of the methods used to assess experimentally induced itch and analytically outlines the recently introduced histamineindependent human models of itch that have been reported in the research literature. This review does not intend to encompass a comprehensive summary of the mechanistic or therapeutic aspects of itch, but instead refers to recent reviews pertaining specifically to these subjects (1, 7, 18, 19).

ASSESSING EXPERIMENTALLY INDUCED ITCH

Experimentally induced itch provides the opportunity to study a particular itch pathway in a chosen anatomical location, while accurately assessing the quality, intensity, latency and duration of the acute itch, related nociceptive and dysesthetic states and the potential associated vasomotor aberrations. Perhaps most importantly, induction of itch in healthy volunteers improves the study of itch mechanisms, e.g. by functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) or microneurography, and provides a shortcut for evaluating modulating factors or potential interventions (12, 20–22). Many of these modulatory factors, such as heat stimulation, cold stimulation, transient receptor potential (TRP)-modulation and scratch stimulation, have been characterized in histaminergic models, but remain uninvestigated or sparsely evaluated in non-histaminergic models.

Assessment of itch intensity and quality

With the exception of mechanically and electrically evoked itch, most human surrogate models produce itch lasting 5–15 min with a peak intensity rating elicited between 1 and 3 min after induction. In the case of clinical, as well as experimentally, induced itch, the sensation frequently presents with one or more associated sensations, such as pricking or burning. The most common approach is to instruct the participating subject to separately rate the sensory qualities of itch, pricking and burning on a generalized labelled magnitude scale (gLMS), a visual analogue scale (VAS) or a numerical rating scale (NRS), frequently (every 10–30 s) upon itch induction (10, 12, 14, 23). This allows for a temporal overview of the itch and other sensory qualities and reporting of itch latency, peak, area under the curve, etc.

Since most models of itch include some co-activation of nociceptors, sensations of pain and nociceptive dysesthesias should be assessed. For example, in a study addressing gender differences in surrogate models of itch, Hartmann et al. (24) reported itch intensity as "% itch of burning pain", i.e. as a ratio between the itch intensity score and the burning pain intensity score (both recorded by VAS). Interestingly, the study revealed that women reported a disproportionally higher intensity of burning pain than their male counterparts, particularly after histamine-induced itch (24).

Itch-related dysesthesias

Alloknesis. This is the itch analogue to the pain term "allodynia", in which a normally non-painful stimulus is perceived as painful (25). As such, alloknesis describes the dysesthetic state in which otherwise non-pruritic stimuli, such as brush strokes or light touch applied by von Frey hair, provoke a sensation of itch (26, 27). Alloknesis is a feature occurring not only in experimental models of itch, but also in many of the clinical conditions involving chronic itch, such as AD (28).

Hyperknesis. This is the itch-related analogue to the nociceptive state "hyperalgesia", in which a normally painful stimulus is associated with an increased pain response. In

hyperknesis an increased itch response (in terms of magnitude or duration) is elicited upon a normally pruritogenic or prickling stimulus, e.g. by means of von Frey hair or weighted pinprick stimulators (29, 30). An area of hyperalgesia, including secondary hyperalgesia, to pinprick stimuli also occurs in response to cowhage- or histamineevoked itch, although this is modest compared with that of epidermal or topical capsaicin. In experimentally induced itch, alloknesis and hyperknesis can be assessed both within the immediate area of pruritogen application and in the surrounding area, denoted "primary" and "secondary", respectively (28). Typically, the secondary area of alloknesis and hyperknesis is mapped by slowly approaching the application area by marked stimulus points and a pre-determined individualized stimulus. Hyperknesis is most commonly assessed by the use of von Frey or pinprick stimulators and, as such, represents "punctuate" or "dynamic" hyperknesis, but principally the parameter could also be assessed with a pruriceptive substance injected in the vicinity of the itchy area.

Upon itch induction alloknesis and hyperknesis spreads rapidly beyond the area of pruritogenic application (see Fig. 1) (23, 31). Mechanistically, alloknesis and hyperknesis are suggested to be a consequence of sensitization of the spinothalamic tract neurones conveying pruritogenic input, by a period of increased firing from itch-sensing primary afferents. Subsequently, these spinal neurones will become responsive to convergent input from A β primary afferents mediating touch (resulting in alloknesis) and additional itch-sensing primary afferents innervating the area surrounding the application site (resulting in hyperknesis) (28, 32–34). Induction of itch can also result in a moderate area of hyperalgesia, normally associated with induction of pain or inflammation, highlighting the overlap between nociception and pruritoception (31). In addition to the central mechanisms, injection of nerve growth factor has been shown to potentiate non-histaminergic itch and related mechanical hyperalgesia, suggesting peripheral sensitization of the primary afferents (34). In histaminergic and cowhage-induced itch, alloknesis spreads far beyond the immediate area of application within minutes and can extend to an area upwards of 20-30 cm dependent on the methodological approach. Typically, the area of alloknesis is reported to be slightly larger after cowhage-induced itch than after histamine-induced itch (23, 31). While the spatial profile of alloknesis is frequently mapped in experimental studies of itch, the temporal profile is very sparsely investigated.

Wheal and flare response

Flare is an increase in superficial perfusion normally assessed manually by recording the size of the affected area or by laser Doppler flowmetry, speckle contrast imaging/full-field laser perfusion imaging (FLPI) (Fig. 1), spectrophotometry or by infrared thermography,



Fig. 1. A series of typical vasomotor and dysesthetic responses to epidermal insertion of 3-4 cowhage spicules; "native", capsaicin- or histaminepretreated, in an area $\approx 1 \text{ mm}^2$ on the volar forearm. The 2 upper rows are obtained from a Moor Full-field Laser perfusion imager (FLPI-2, Moor Instruments, Axminster, UK) 10 min after spicule insertion. Note that the lowest row of arm charts represent the typical reported mean dispersion of alloknesis, pin hyperknesis and pinprick hyperalgesia in response to insertion of spicules with the denoted substances. The individual differences within these response parameters are considerable

recording both flare size and intensity. Mechanistically, flare is a consequence of antidromic activation of terminal branches of CMi-fibres leading to the release of the vasoactive substance calcitonin-gene related peptide and substance P, which are important in the initiation of mast-cell activity (11, 35). Flare is dependent on the extent to which CMi-fibres, characterized by large receptive fields, are stimulated and is a typical feature of histamine-dependent itch, while histamine-independent itch, e.g. induced by cowhage spicules, appears to provoke no or very subtle vasomotor aberrations (35).

A wheal is a vascular leakage response to histamine, observed as a raised, often pale and circumscribed dermal oedema, caused by acute protein extravasation in the vascularized dermis. It is a cardinal response to application of histamine or introduction of any mast cell degranulation-provoking substance, such as allergens (23, 36). Wheals with a diameter of ~0.5 to 2.5 cm are common upon punctate or intradermal delivery of histamine (2–4, 23, 37, 38). Although cowhage spicules produce minimal vasomotor responses they have occasionally been shown to cause micro-skin reactions of slight oedema or flare no larger than 1 mm² (23).

DEFINING HISTAMINE-INDEPENDENT ITCH

Since the terms "histamine-independent" and "nonhistaminergic" are essentially negative definitions it is necessary to recapitulate on histamine as an itch inducer. Moreover, histamine is by far the most-studied pruritogen, having been widely used as the prototypical experimental proxy of itch and, hence, despite the focus of this review being histamine-independent itch modalities, histamine-induced itch deserves a brief mentioning. Mechanistically, histamine activates the H1-receptor (H1-R) present on CMi-fibres and co-localized with the heat thermo-receptor, transient receptor potential vanillin 1 (TRPV1) (39, 40). Upon binding of histamine to the H1-R, TRPV1 is activated by downstream signalling, leading to an influx of Ca²⁺, whereby the primary afferent initiates a pruritic signal.

To induce itch, histamine can be applied epicutaneously in combination with iontophoresis, by epidermal penetration with a lancet or functionally inert cowhage spicules coated with histamine or as an intradermal injection (3, 9, 14, 27, 41–44). All routes of administration are shown to produce a moderate to strong sensation of spontaneous itch, with slight differences in the reported presence of nociceptive sensations, alloknesis, and hyperknesis (27, 37). In particular, when injecting histamine the induced response ratio between nociception and itch appears to shift away from itch towards a more nociceptive sensation characterized by burning and pricking (15). Lastly, the use of histamine is accompanied by a significant wheal and flare reaction regardless of the route of administration (26, 35, 37, 42). Since histaminedependent itch relies on the TRPV1-channel, a handful of studies have investigated the ability of capsaicin to induce itch, bypassing the H1-R, using epidermal, punctate and intradermal delivery (23, 24, 37). In general, capsaicin evokes burning pain and widespread flare when injected intradermally, while it produces significant itch and weaker burning pain when applied via inert cowhage spicules (23, 24, 37). This relative unspecificity of itch processing supports the notion of itch being conveyed in accordance with the selectivity theory, by a subpopulation of superficially residing itch-labelled afferents responding to pruritogens and algogens, such as capsaicin, while allowing the activation of the much larger population of TRPV1⁺ nociceptors by capsaicin, to override the itch signal (24, 45-47). Since the flare response following punctate histamine is significantly more pronounced than that of capsaicin, it is probable that TPRV1⁺ nociceptors, and not weakly capsaicin-sensitive histamine responsive itch fibres, are the primary facilitators (5). For practical purposes, in the experimental setting, a distinction between histamine-dependent and histamine-independent itch can be determined by showing that pre-administration of topical antihistamine, such as doxepine, reduces the itch intensity (11, 15).

Unlike histamine-dependent itch, histamine-independent itch is thought to rely mainly on a subpopulation of mechano-heat-sensitive/polymodal c-fibres (CMH) incapable of producing the extensive flare that is characteristic for histamine-induced itch (11, 27). In the non-histaminergic pathways the key second messenger role is played by transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily A, member 1 (TRPA1), a downstream target of proteinase-associated receptor 2 (PAR) and Mas-related G-protein coupled receptor member Gsignalling (Mrgpr) (48-50). TRPA1 appears to be crucial, not only in conveying chronic itch sensation, but also in processes such as neurogenic inflammation, epidermal hyperplasia and altered gene expression in sensory nerves, which frequently accompany chronic itch conditions (48, 51). It was recently shown that itch and neurogenic inflammation can be induced in human skin by direct TRPA1-stimulation using the natural agonist trans-cinnamaldehyde (52). Lastly, a notable difference is present between histaminergic and nonhistaminergic itch in terms of higher processing. While both itch qualities activate brain structures such as thalamus, primary and secondary somatosensory cortices and cingulate cortices, histamine-independent itch was additionally associated with activation of areas such as the insular cortex, claustrum and basal ganglia (21). In a recent study it was shown that activation of nucleus accumbens and the septal nuclei mediated through the mixed action κ - and μ -opioid, but orphanol, completely abolished histaminergic itch, while only modestly reducing non-histaminergic itch, demonstrating that both peripheral and central processing differ between these itch pathways (20).

HUMAN SURROGATE MODELS OF ITCH

Non-chemical surrogate models of itch

Electrically-evoked itch. A few studies have explored the opportunity of using transcutaneous electrical stimulation to induce itch, with varying success (10, 53, 54). Ikoma et al. (10) explored numerous electrical stimuli paradigms designed to produce itch, and found that a 2 ms. 50 Hz, 0.05 mA stimulation with a 0.1×7 mm electrode, induced a highly selective sensation of moderate itch rated \approx 3 on a NRS (VAS 0–10), while increasing the current intensity to 0.12 mA produced the most intense itch sensation, 4.5 (VAS 0-10). At this higher intensity level, itch occurred alongside a modest level of pain at 2.2 (VAS 0-10). Electrically induced itch was accompanied by very little axon reflex flare, in comparison than 1% histamine-iontophoresis, suggesting that it is not mediated by histamine-sensitive CMi-fibres (10). Electrically evoked itch was also associated with a significantly larger area of alloknesis, than histamine, thus displaying a pattern of effects similar to cowhage-induced histamineindependent itch. Interestingly, the extent of the area of alloknesis exhibited a significant negative correlation with the pain intensity (10).

A study designed to explore so-called "heterotopic pruritic conditioning" to itch as an analogue to "diffuse noxious inhibitory control" (DNIC) in pain used a stimulus paradigm of 0.3 ms, 100 Hz with a 3.5 cm diameter electrode, and determined the test stimulus intensity as 300% of the individually perceived unpleasantness threshold. For the conditioning stimulus the study applied 0.5% histamine delivered by iontophoresis, which produced a mean itch intensity of 2.5 ± 2.0 in the healthy control group and 2.9 ± 2.5 in patients with psoriasis. Of more concern is the fact that the electrical test stimuli evoked surprisingly low and variable itch levels at ~1.5 and ~0.55 (VAS 0-10) in healthy controls and psoriasis patients, respectively (54). This conditioning stimulus intensity is very low in comparison with the conditioning stimuli applied in various pain studies, with otherwise equivalent stimulus-test paradigms, to achieve a significant conditioned pain modulation-effect (55).

Mechanically-evoked itch. Apart from the above-mentioned electrical approach, itch can also be induced nonchemically with the use of mechanical stimulation. In a recent study, micro-vibration of the facial vellus hairs in a stimulus paradigm of 0-1 mm probe amplitude, at 1-50Hz for 90 s resulted in a mean peak itch intensity at 5 (VAS 0-10). The chin was by far the most sensitive location, while the cheek and the forehead were considerably less responsive (both ~ 2.5, VAS 0-10), and stimulation on the forearm did not produce any itch. The mechanically evoked itch was unresponsive to antihistamine and did not entail flare or nociceptive sensations at any stimuli intensity, making the itch model unique. As opposed to mechanically evoked itch, histamine-induced itch was significantly more pronounced on the forearm compared with any facial areas, suggesting that the neural facilitation of itch may exhibit significant pathway heterogeneity depending on anatomical location (56).

Proteinase-activated receptor 2/4 (PAR) mediated itch

Cowhage spicules. The spicules found on the pod of the leguminous plant cowhage (Mucuna pruriens) and, more importantly, the sensory effects that these induce when inserted into the epidermis, were described in 1953 by Broadbent, who wrongfully concluded their itch inducing properties to be a consequence of an unknown substance causing histamine release (57). A few years later, Shelley & Arthur isolated mucunain, identified it as a proteinase, suggested it to be the principal itch-inducing compound in cowhage, and reported that the itch sensation it induced was "very unlike that of histamine" (8, 58). Fast-forward 50 years, the histamine-independent, PAR2/4 pathway of itch is uncovered, mucunain is revealed as a ligand of PAR2 and PAR4 (59), and the interest in using cowhage as a human experimental model of itch rapidly re-emerges (11, 14, 22–24, 31, 34, 37, 58). The quality of the somatosensory effects associated with PAR2-activation, e.g. through insertion of cowhage spicules, have been described as very similar to those reported in patients with AD (14, 58). Moreover, the level of the endogenous PAR2 agonist, tryptase, exhibits a 4-fold increase in serum from patients with AD, and expression of PAR2 on the primary afferent nerve fibres is markedly increased in skin biopsies from patients with AD, indicating that the receptor is probably involved in the somatosensory aberrations of AD (60).

Cowhage spicules are 1–3 mm in length, with a diameter of 1–3 µm at their tip. Inserted into the epidermis the spicules evoke a moderate to intense sensation of itch and, to a lesser extent, sensations of burning and stinging pain (11, 23, 61, 62). It has been reported that, in a majority of cases, a single spicule, estimated to occupy a skin area of ≈ 0.00003 mm³, is sufficient to induce pruritic, nociceptive and dysesthetic sensations lasting 4–10 min (see Table SI¹). The insertion of cowhage spicule(s) rapidly and consistently produces alloknesis, hyperknesis and hyperalgesia far beyond the immediate area of application, but no or very little flare, presumably due to the lack of CMi-fibre activation (12, 22).

Conveniently, cowhage spicules can be rendered functionally inert by heating and subsequently coated with another active compound, such as histamine or capsaicin. Hence, cowhage can serve as a convenient vehicle allowing delivery of any substance of interest to a very limited population of the most superficially residing nerve endings (15, 23, 31). However, the cowhage model has several drawbacks, e.g. the pods or spicules are often obtained from completely uncontrolled, unstandardized sources and numerous papers in the field completely fail to mention how or from where the pods were obtained. The content of the active itch-inducing cysteine protease mucunain could potentially differ widely between habitats and time of harvest. These problems collectively hamper the comparability of studies utilizing the model and the reproducibility of the results achieved. A potential solution to this problem could be to extract mucunain and use it in known concentrations via injections or on reconstituted inert spicules, as done by Reddy et al. (59), although good manufacturing practice requirements related to human use could make this approach laborious.

Other proteinases. The use of various proteinases, such as papain and tryptase, has been attempted to mimic non-histaminergic itch (58, 60, 62). The results are relatively sparse and variable. Arthur & Shelley conducted a comprehensive study, administering numerous proteases by means of inert cowhage spicules and intradermal injections. Here, papain was found to be the most effective itch inducer of 48 tested enzymatic substances (63). More recently, papain administered by intra-cutaneous injection was shown to produce highly variable responses, i.e. of 33 experiments in 8 subjects, 15 responded with itch and pain, 9 responded with itch, 2 responded with pain only, and 7 reported no evoked sensations (see Table SI¹). Moreover, 13 of the 33 experiments resulted in significant flare. Itch-related dysesthesias were not assessed (27, 58).

Based on sparse evidence, papain primarily produces reliable itch upon intra-epidermal application. In the light of the variability and scarceness pertaining to the results on papain and importantly, recent studies on cowhage as a model of PAR2-dependent non-histaminergic itch, proteases such as papain pose a somewhat redundant opportunity as a model of itch.

Mas-related G-protein coupled receptor-mediated itch (*Mrgprs*)

Mrgprs are a family of approximately 50 receptors, of which several are exclusively expressed on small diameter dorsal root ganglia neurones. In humans these include MrgprX1, a receptor for chloroquine and bovine adrenal medulla 8–22 peptide (BAM8-22), and MrgprD, which is restricted to axons innervating the epidermis and is responsive to the itch-inducing amino acid; β -alanine (64–66).

Cellular and behavioural experiments have confirmed BAM8-22, a derivative of proenkephalin A, as an agonist of MrgprC11 (and hMrgprX1) (15, 66, 67). In healthy human volunteers, BAM8-22 induced an itch intensity profile peaking at "moderate" on a gLMS accompanied by almost equally intensely rated pricking/

¹http://www.medicaljournals.se/acta/content/?doi=10.2340/00015555-2146

stinging sensation and weak burning sensations, not unlike that evoked by active cowhage (see Table SI¹). Insertion of BAM8-22-soaked spicules evoked very similarly sized areas of alloknesis, hyperknesis and hyperalgesia, of approximately 10 cm², on average, and no wheal or flare. In accordance with the latter observation, the pruritic effect of BAM8-22 was shown to be completely histamine-independent, since it was not affected by antihistamine pretreatment. It remains to be elucidated whether the pruritic effect of BAM8-22 shifts towards algogenic if injected into the dermis, analogous to that of capsaicin, which is also pruritogenic when administered solely to the superficial pruritoceptive nerve terminals by spicules (15).

Similarly, β -alanine has been used as a model of itch by intradermal injection of 10 µl vehicle with 22.5–180 µg of dissolved β -alanine. This produced a weak to moderate sensation of itch, accompanied by slight pricking/stinging and weak burning sensations. All reported sensations were present when injecting the similar, but inactive, amino acid L-alanine, albeit at a much lower intensity and only in a subgroup of volunteers (68). No wheal or flare was present indicating histamine-independency. Potential dysesthesias were not recorded. Both itch induced through MrgprX1 and MrgprD with BAM8-22 and β -alanine, respectively, are histamine-independent and appear to evoke a similar, but perhaps slightly weaker, pattern of sensory qualities than those elicited by cowhage (15, 31, 68).

Itch induced by algogens: serotonin, bradykinin and substance P

Although being an established endogenous algogen the neurotransmitter serotonin has been shown to be a pruritogen in both healthy individuals and in patients with AD, and is suspected to play a role in the chronic pruritus often associated with cholestasis (69) and polycythemia vera (70, 71). However, the mechanistic basis of serotonin-induced itch remains unclear (72). In a study by Hosogi et al. (73) serotonin at a concentration of 17 mg/ml was delivered by iontophoresis and caused intense histamine-independent itch in lesional skin of patients with AD and in skin of healthy controls. In addition, serotonin elicited a significant axon-reflex-flare, but no wheal response in both lesional and non-lesional skin, indicating that serotonin induces itch distinct from that evoked by activation of histamine, PAR2 and Mrgpr receptors. In another study, iontophoretic delivery of serotonin (1%), induced moderate itch, a very large area of alloknesis, a large area of flare and, similar to other reports, no wheal. Thomsen et al. (74) found that intradermal injections of serotonin (0.25 mg/ml) elicited moderate to strong itch only in normal skin, but not in experimentally induced eczematous skin in healthy volunteers. Rausl et al. (72) found that healthy controls and patients with AD differed only

in their vasomotor response to serotonin injections, in contrast to the results of Hosogi et al. (73).

The classic algogen substance P has been used to induce itch in healthy controls and patients with itch conditions, such as AD (73–75). As for serotonin, results exhibited some inconsistency. For instance, intradermal substance P has been found to induce a stronger itch than histamine in both normal and eczematous skin, while substance P delivered iontophoretically induced very little itch in normal skin, but significantly more intense itch in lesional skin of patients with AD. In addition, the vasomotor and somatosensory effects of substance P application can be abolished by anti-histamine pretreatment, suggesting the mechanism of substance P-induced itch to be histamine-dependent (73, 76). Dysesthetic responses, such as alloknesis and hyperknesis, have not been assessed in response to administration of substance P.

Lastly, the vasodilatory peptide bradykinin has been sparsely assessed for pruritogenic properties (73, 77). Interestingly, iontophoretic application of the peptide appears to produce little or no itch in healthy skin and in non-lesional skin of patients with AD, but induced considerable itch in lesional skin of patients with AD without evoking vasomotor responses (73).

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Itch is a multifaceted sensation and although the general discourse mainly deals with histaminergic and nonhistaminergic itch (11, 12, 78), more sub-classifications could be beneficial. Nakagawa & Hiura (18) suggested 4: (i) a TRPV1⁺ histaminergic pathway; (ii) a TRPV1independent histaminergic pathway (since histamineinduced itch is not completely abolished in TRPV1 knock-out mice); (*iii*) a PAR2/4- and Mrgpr-mediated non-histaminergic pathway; and (iv) a serotoninmediated non-histaminergic pathway. However, it is currently indiscernible whether the multiple receptors mediating non-histaminergic itch are in fact associated with the same subpopulation of mechano-sensitive afferents or whether distinct pathways exist. Moreover, it is also unclear to what extent itch processing could differ between various anatomical locations; a notion that was recently rekindled (44, 55, 79). Beyond the complexity posed by the multiple neural pathways and peripheral receptors mediating itch, the sensation is also strongly modulated by other somatosensory submodalities, such as innocuous warmth, which facilitates itch, and pain and cold, which inhibit itch. These modulatory factors including their available chemical proxies, e.g. TRPM8-stimulation by 1-menthol, have been investigated in relation to histamine-dependent itch, but not in a non-histaminergic context.

The fact that multiple parallel pathways can convey the sensation of itch in healthy individuals and in patients with chronic pruritus constitutes a challenge as well as an opportunity. On the one hand it complicates surrogate modelling and pharmacological development directed at itch, but on the other hand it could allow for increased diagnostic segmentation of itch-associated conditions and targeted therapy. This requires that the candidate mechanisms underlying itch must be validated in various different clinical itch disorders by psychophysical studies, assessment of biopsies, targeted interventional studies, microneurograhic studies and the use of validated surrogate models in patients. Currently, sparse and ambiguous evidence exists in relation to whether patients with chronic itch or subgroups within this population experience peripheral or central sensitization to itch, comparable with what has been shown repeatedly in patients with chronic pain (14, 43, 53, 72).

The development of diagnostic tools to aid clinicians in the sub-categorization of pruritus, i.e. by assessment of alloknesis, hyperknesis and response to pathwayspecific itch-induction, is warranted. Progress in these areas would prompt the mapping of chronic pruritus by pathological mechanism, which, in conjunction with well-planned clinical trials, could pave the way for improved treatment.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Patel T, Yosipovitch G. Therapy of pruritus. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2010; 11: 1673–1682.
- Frese T, Herrmann K, Sandholzer H. Pruritus as reason for encounter in general practice. J Clin Med Res 2011; 3: 223–229.
- 3. Shim W-S, Oh U. Histamine-induced itch and its relationship with pain. Mol Pain 2008; 4: 29–29.
- Anand P. Capsaicin and menthol in the treatment of itch and pain: recently cloned receptors provide the key. Gut 2003; 52: 1233–1235.
- 5. Ständer S, Weisshaar E, Mettang T, Szepietowski JC, Carstens E, Ikoma A, et al. Clinical classification of itch: a position paper of the International Forum for the Study of Itch. Acta Derm Venereol 2007; 87: 291–294.
- Weisshaar E, Gieler U, Kupfer J, Furue M, Saeki H, Yosipovitch G. Questionnaires to assess chronic itch: a consensus paper of the special interest group of the International Forum on the Study of Itch. Acta Derm Venereol 2012; 92: 493–496.
- 7. Bautista DM, Wilson SR, Hoon Ma. Why we scratch an itch: the molecules, cells and circuits of itch. Nat Neurosci 2014; 17: 175–182.
- Shelley WB, Arthur RP. Mucunain, the active pruritogenic proteinase of cowhage. Science (New York, NY) 1955; 122: 469–470.
- Hägermark O. Influence of antihistamines, sedatives, and aspirin on experimental itch. Acta Derm Venereol 1973; 53: 363–368.
- Ikoma A, Handwerker H, Miyachi Y, Schmelz M. Electrically evoked itch in humans. Pain 2005; 113: 148–154.
- Johanek LM, Meyer Ra, Hartke T, Hobelmann JG, Maine DN, LaMotte RH, et al. Psychophysical and physiological evidence for parallel afferent pathways mediating the sensation of itch. J Neurosci 2007; 27: 7490–7497.
- 12. Namer B, Carr R, Johanek LM, Schmelz M, Handwerker

HO, Ringkamp M. Separate peripheral pathways for pruritus in man. J Neurophysiol 2008; 100: 2062–2069.

- Twycross R. Itch: scratching more than the surface. QJM 2003; 96: 7–26.
- Papoiu ADP, Tey HL, Coghill RC, Wang H, Yosipovitch G. Cowhage-induced itch as an experimental model for pruritus. A comparative study with histamine-induced itch. PLoS One 2011; 6: e17786–e17786.
- Sikand P, Dong X, LaMotte RH. BAM8-22 peptide produces itch and nociceptive sensations in humans independent of histamine release. J Neurosci 2011; 31: 7563–7567.
- Woolf CJ, Bennett GJ, Doherty M, Dubner R, Kidd B, Koltzenburg M, et al. Towards a mechanism-based classification of pain? Pain 1998; 77: 227–229.
- Arendt-Nielsen L, Yarnitsky D. Experimental and clinical applications of quantitative sensory testing applied to skin, muscles and viscera. J Pain 2009; 10: 556–572.
- Nakagawa H, Hiura A. Four possible itching pathways related to the TRPV1 channel, histamine, PAR-2 and serotonin. Malays J Med Sci 2013; 20: 5–12.
- 19. Dhand A, Aminoff MJ. The neurology of itch. Brain 2013; 137: 313–322.
- Papoiu ADP, Kraft Ra, Coghill RC, Yosipovitch G. Butorphanol suppression of histamine itch is mediated by nucleus accumbens and septal nuclei. A pharmacological fMRI study. J Invest Dermatol 2014: 1–29.
- Papoiu ADP, Coghill RC, Kraft Ra, Wang H, Yosipovitch G. A tale of two itches. Common features and notable differences in brain activation evoked by cowhage and histamine induced itch. Neuroimage 2012; 59: 3611–3623.
- Handwerker HO. Microneurography of pruritus. Neurosci Lett 2010; 470: 193–196.
- 23. Sikand P, Shimada SG, Green BG, LaMotte RH. Similar itch and nociceptive sensations evoked by punctate cutaneous application of capsaicin, histamine and cowhage. Pain 2009; 144: 66–75.
- 24. Hartmann EM, Handwerker HO, Forster C. Gender differences in itch and pain-related sensations provoked by histamine, cowhage and capsaicin. Acta Derm Venereol 2015; 95: 25–30.
- Sandkühler J. Models and mechanisms of hyperalgesia and allodynia. Physiol Rev 2009: 707–758.
- Bickford RGL. Experiments relating to the itch sensation, its peripheral mechanism, and central pathways. Clin Sci 1938; 3: 377–386.
- 27. Simone Da, Alreja M, LaMotte RH. Psychophysical studies of the itch sensation and itchy skin ("alloknesis") produced by intracutaneous injection of histamine. Somatosens Mot Res 1991; 8: 271–279.
- LaMotte R. Allodynia and Alloknesis. In: Gebhart G, Schmidt R, editors. Encyclopedia of pain. Berlin: Springer, 2013: p. 87–90.
- 29. Atanassoff PG, Brull SJ, Zhang J, Greenquist K, Silverman DG, Lamotte RH. Enhancement of experimental pruritus and mechanically evoked dysesthesiae with local anesthesia. Somatosens Mot Res 1999; 16: 291–298.
- Brull SJ, Atanassoff PG, Silverman DG, Zhang J, Lamotte RH. Attenuation of experimental pruritus and mechanically evoked dysesthesiae in an area of cutaneous allodynia. Somatosens Mot Res 1999; 16: 299–303.
- 31. LaMotte RH, Shimada SG, Green BG, Zelterman D. Pruritic and nociceptive sensations and dysesthesias from a spicule of cowhage. J Neurophysiol 2009; 101: 1430–1443.
- 32. Lamotte RH. Subpopulations of "nocifensor neurons" contributing to pain and allodynia, itch and alloknesis. Am Pain Soc J 1992; 1: 115–126.
- 33. Davidson S, Zhang X, Khasabov SG, Moser HR, Honda CN, Simone Da, et al. Pruriceptive spinothalamic tract

neurons: physiological properties and projection targets in the primate. J Neurophysiol 2012; 108: 1711–1723.

- Rukwied RR, Main M, Weinkauf B, Schmelz M. NGF sensitizes nociceptors for cowhage- but not histamine-induced itch in human skin. J Invest Dermatol 2013; 133: 268–270.
- 35. Schmelz M, Michael K, Weidner C, Torebjörk HE, Handwerker HO. Which nerve fibers mediate the axon reflex flare in human skin? Neuroreport 2000; 11: 645–648.
- Lischetzki G, Rukwied R, Handwerker HO, Schmelz M. Nociceptor activation and protein extravasation induced by inflammatory mediators in human skin. Eur J Pain 2001; 5: 49–57.
- Sikand P, Shimada SG, Green BG, LaMotte RH. Sensory responses to injection and punctate application of capsaicin and histamine to the skin. Pain 2011; 152: 2485–2494.
- 38. Bjerring P, Arendt-Nielsen L. A quantitative comparison of the effect of local analgesics on argon laser induced cutaneous pain and on histamine induced wheal, flare and itch. Acta Derm Venereol 1990; 70: 126–131.
- Imamachi N, Park GH, Lee H, Anderson DJ, Simon MI, Basbaum AI, et al. TRPV1-expressing primary afferents generate behavioral responses to pruritogens via multiple mechanisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009; 106: 11330–11335.
- 40. Shim W-S, Tak M-H, Lee M-H, Kim M, Kim M, Koo J-Y, et al. TRPV1 mediates histamine-induced itching via the activation of phospholipase A2 and 12-lipoxygenase. J Neurosci 2007; 27: 2331–2337.
- 41. Heyer G, Hornstein OP, Handwerker HO. Skin reactions and itch sensation induced by epicutaneous histamine application in atopic dermatitis and controls. J Invest Dermatol 1989; 93: 492–496.
- 42. Bromma B, Scharein E, Darsow U, Ring J. Effects of menthol and cold on histamine-induced itch and skin reactions in man. Neurosci Lett 1995; 187: 157–160.
- Heyer G, Ulmer FJ, Schmitz J, Handwerker HO. Histamineinduced itch and alloknesis (itchy skin) in atopic eczema patients and controls. Acta Derm Venereol 1995; 75: 348–352.
- 44. Wahlgren CF, Ekblom A. Perception of histamine-induced itch elicited in three different skin regions. Acta Derm Venereol 1991; 71: 205–208.
- 45. McMahon SB, Koltzenburg M. Itching for an explanation. Trends Neurosci 1992; 15: 497–501.
- 46. Ross SE. Pain and itch: insights into the neural circuits of aversive somatosensation in health and disease. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2011; 21: 880–887.
- 47. Handwerker HO, Schmelz M. Pain: itch without pain a labeled line for itch sensation? Nat Rev Neurol 2009; 5: 640–641.
- Wilson SR, Nelson AM, Batia L, Morita T, Estandian D, Owens DM, et al. The ion channel TRPA1 is required for chronic itch. J Neurosci 2013; 33: 9283–9294.
- 49. Wilson SR, Gerhold KA, Bifolck-Fisher A, Liu Q, Patel KN, Dong X, et al. TRPA1 is required for histamine-independent, Mas-related G protein-coupled receptor-mediated itch. Nat Neurosci 2011; 14: 595–602.
- 50. Terada Y, Fujimura M, Nishimura S, Tsubota M, Sekiguchi F, Nishikawa H, et al. Contribution of TRPA1 as a downstream signal of proteinase-activated receptor-2 to pancreatic pain. J Pharmacol Sci 2013; 123: 284–287.
- 51. Olsen RV, Andersen HH, Møller HG, Eskelund PW, Arendt-Nielsen L. Somatosensory and vasomotor manifestations of individual and combined stimulation of TRPM8 and TRPA1 using topical L-menthol and trans-cinnamaldehyde in healthy volunteers. Eur J Pain 2014; 18: 1333–1342.
- Højland CR, Andersen HH, Poulsen JN, Arendt-Nielsen L, Gazerani P. A human surrogate model of itch utilizing the TRPA1 agonist trans-cinnamaldehyde. Acta Derm Venereol 2015; 95: 798–803.
- Acta Derm Venereol 95

- 53. Tuckett RP. Itch evoked by electrical stimulation of the skin. J Invest Dermatol 1982; 79: 368–373.
- 54. van Laarhoven AIM, Kraaimaat FW, Wilder-Smith OH, van de Kerkhof PCM, Evers AWM. Heterotopic pruritic conditioning and itch – analogous to DNIC in pain? Pain 2010; 149: 332–337.
- Pud D, Granovsky Y, Yarnitsky D. The methodology of experimentally induced diffuse noxious inhibitory control (DNIC)-like effect in humans. Pain 2009; 144: 16–19.
- Fukuoka M, Miyachi Y, Ikoma A. Mechanically evoked itch in humans. Pain 2013; 154: 897–904.
- 57. Broadbent JL. Observations on itching produced by cowhage, and on the part played by histamine as a mediator of the itch sensation. Br J Pharmacol Chemother 1953; 8: 263–270.
- Reddy VB, Lerner EA. Plant cysteine proteases that evoke itch activate protease-activated receptors. Br J Dermatol 2010; 163: 532–535.
- Reddy VB, Iuga AO, Shimada SG, LaMotte RH, Lerner EA. Cowhage-evoked itch is mediated by a novel cysteine protease: a ligand of protease-activated receptors. J Neurosci 2008; 28: 4331–4335.
- 60. Steinhoff M, Neisius U, Ikoma A, Fartasch M, Heyer G, Skov PS, et al. Proteinase-activated receptor-2 mediates itch: a novel pathway for pruritus in human skin. J Neurosci 2003; 23: 6176–6180.
- 61. Shelley WB, Arthur RP. Studies on cowhage (Mucuna pruriens) and its pruritogenic proteinase, mucunain. AMA Arch Derm 1955; 72: 399–406.
- 62. Shuttleworth D, Hill S, Marks R, Connelly DM. Relief of experimentally induced pruritus with a novel eutectic mixture of local anaesthetic agents. Br J Dermatol 1988; 119: 535–540.
- 63. Arthur RP, Shelley WB. The role of proteolytic enzymes in the production of pruritus in man. J Invest Dermatol 1955; 25: 341–346.
- 64. Zylka MJ, Rice FL, Anderson DJ. Topographically distinct epidermal nociceptive circuits revealed by axonal tracers targeted to Mrgprd. Neuron 2005; 45: 17–25.
- 65. Dong X, Han S, Zylka MJ, Simon MI, Anderson DJ. A diverse family of GPCRs expressed in specific subsets of nociceptive sensory neurons. Cell 2001; 106: 619–632.
- 66. Lembo PMC, Grazzini E, Groblewski T, O'Donnell D, Roy M-O, Zhang J, et al. Proenkephalin A gene products activate a new family of sensory neuron – specific GPCRs. Nat Neurosci 2002; 5: 201–209.
- 67. Liu Q, Tang Z, Surdenikova L, Kim S, Patel KN, Kim A, et al. Sensory neuron-specific GPCR Mrgprs are itch receptors mediating chloroquine-induced pruritus. Cell 2009; 139: 1353–1365.
- Liu Q, Sikand P, Ma C, Tang Z, Han L, Li Z, et al. Mechanisms of itch evoked by β-alanine. J Neurosci 2012; 32: 14532–14537.
- Schwörer H, Hartmann H, Ramadori G. Relief of cholestatic pruritus by a novel class of drugs: 5-hydroxytryptamine type 3 (5-HT3) receptor antagonists: effectiveness of ondansetron. Pain 1995; 61: 33–37.
- 70. Fitzsimons EJ, Dagg JH, McAllister EJ. Pruritus of polycythaemia vera: a place for pizotifen? Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1981; 283: 277–277.
- Weisshaar E, Ziethen B, Gollnick H. Lack of efficacy of topical capsaicin in serotonin-induced itch. Skin Pharmacol Appl Skin Physiol 2000; 13: 1–8.
- Rausl A, Nordlind K, Wahlgren C-F. Pruritic and vascular responses induced by serotonin in patients with atopic dermatitis and in healthy controls. Acta Derm Venereol 2013; 93: 277–280.
- 73. Hosogi M, Schmelz M, Miyachi Y, Ikoma A. Bradykinin

is a potent pruritogen in atopic dermatitis: a switch from pain to itch. Pain 2006; 126: 16–23.

- 74. Thomsen JS, Sonne M, Benfeldt E, Jensen SB, Serup J, Menné T. Experimental itch in sodium lauryl sulphateinflamed and normal skin in humans: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of histamine and other inducers of itch. Br J Dermatol 2002; 146: 792–800.
- 75. Heyer G, Hornstein OP, Handwerker HO. Reactions to intradermally injected substance P and topically applied mustard oil in atopic dermatitis patients. Acta Derm Venereol 1991; 71: 291–295.
- 76. Weidner C, Klede M, Rukwied R, Lischetzki G, Neisius U, Skov PS, et al. Acute effects of substance P and calcitonin gene-related peptide in human skin – a microdialysis study. J Invest Dermatol 2000; 115: 1015–1020.
- 77. Hägermark O. Studies on experimental itch induced by

kallikrein and bradykinin. Acta Derm Venereol 1974; 54: 397–400.

- Davidson S, Giesler GJ. The multiple pathways for itch and their interactions with pain. Trends Neurosci 2010; 33: 550–558.
- 79. Magerl W, Westerman RA, Möhner B, Handwerker HO. Properties of transdermal histamine iontophoresis: differential effects of season, gender, and body region. J Invest Dermatol 1990; 94: 347–352.
- Simone Da, Ngeow JY, Whitehouse J, Becerra-Cabal L, Putterman GJ, LaMotte RH. The magnitude and duration of itch produced by intracutaneous injections of histamine. Somatosens Res 1987; 5: 81–92.
- Weisshaar E, Ziethen B, Gollnick H. Can a serotonin type 3 (5-HT3) receptor antagonist reduce experimentally-induced itch? Inflamm Res 1997; 46: 412–416.