



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY
DENMARK

Quality of treatment response in the Danish national acute leukaemia registry and impact on prognosis

Øvlisen, Andreas Kiesbye; Oest, Anders; Johnsen, Hans Erik; Bendtsen, Mette Dahl; Bæch, John; Lynggaard, L S; Mortensen, T B; Johansen, Preben; Schollkopf, C; Thomassen, J Q; Severinsen, Marianne Tang

Published in:

Kongres for Medicinsk Studenterforskning, 17.-20. marts 2016, Nyborg, Danmark

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Øvlisen, A. K., Oest, A., Johnsen, H. E., Bendtsen, M. D., Bæch, J., Lynggaard, L. S., Mortensen, T. B., Johansen, P., Schollkopf, C., Thomassen, J. Q., & Severinsen, M. T. (2016). Quality of treatment response in the Danish national acute leukaemia registry and impact on prognosis. In *Kongres for Medicinsk Studenterforskning, 17.-20. marts 2016, Nyborg, Danmark* (pp. 121). Selskab for Medicinsk Studenterforskning.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

© 2016 Selskab for Medicinsk Studenterforskning

ISBN 978-87-995206-2-6

Typografi: pdfL^AT_EX og memoir-klassen
Sat med Kp-fonts, 9pt/11pt

effects were the primary cause of mortality and morbidity in our cohort. To obtain more accurate estimates, we are currently updating our cohort with Swedish data.

o.7-5 **Andreas Kiesbye** QUALITY OF TREATMENT RESPONSE IN THE DANISH
Øvlisen NATIONAL ACUTE LEUKAEMIA REGISTRY AND IMPACT
ON PROGNOSIS

*AK Oevisen¹, A Oest¹, HE Johnsen¹, MD Bendtsen², J Baech³,
LS Lynggaard⁴, TB Mortensen⁵, P Johansen⁶, C Schollkopf⁷, JQ
Thomassen⁸, MT Severinsen¹*

¹Department of Haematology Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark ²Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark ³Department of Clinical Immunology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg Denmark ⁴Department of Haematology Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark ⁵Department of Haematology Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark ⁶Department of Haematopathology Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg Denmark ⁷Department of Haematology Herlev Hospital, Herlev, Denmark ⁸Department of Haematology Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark

Speaker email: a.oelvisen@rn.dk

BACKGROUND: In Denmark, all patients diagnosed with acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) since 2000 have been recorded in the Danish National Acute Leukaemia Registry (DNLR), which has been proven to be of high quality and having a high completeness regarding most information. We aimed to describe the quality in the DNLR concerning treatment response by evaluating complete remission (CR) status according to the international classification of AML treatment response status as well as prognosis according to CR status.

MATERIALS & METHODS: We conducted a retrospective study including data from the DNLR regarding patients aged ≥ 18 years, diagnosed with non-APL AML, treated with curative intention, and registered as having achieved CR following first or second induction treatment. Patients were evaluated as stringent CR (sCR) or non-sCR according to international remission criteria. Analysis included estimation of PPV for CR as being sCR as well as the CR status effect on overall survival and relapse-free survival.

RESULTS: In total 387 patients were included yielding a PPV for CR being sCR of 48.6% (95% CI: 43.5%–53.7%). Patients achieving sCR had better prognostic outcomes compared to non-sCR regarding OS when performing univariable analysis (HR: 1.48, 95% CI: 1.15–1.94, $p=0.002$) and adjusted multivariable analysis (HR: 1.45, 95% CI: 1.12–1.87, $p=0.005$).

CONCLUSIONS: Our study suggests that the prognosis is dependent of CR being evaluated according to international guidelines, with sCR as best case scenario. Therefore, it is indicated that there is a need for more attention into more precise response evaluation using international remission criteria.