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Abstract — Resonant poles of LCL filters may challenge the entire system stability especially in digital-controlled Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) inverters. In order to tackle the resonance issues, many active damping solutions have been reported. For instance, a notch filter can be employed to damp the resonance, where the notch frequency should be aligned exactly to the resonant frequency of the LCL filter. However, parameter variations of the LCL filter as well as the time delay appearing in digital control systems will induce resonance drifting, and thus break this alignment, possibly deteriorating the original damping. In this paper, the effectiveness of the notch filter based active damping is firstly explored, considering the drifts of the resonant frequency. It is revealed that, when the resonant frequency drifts away from its nominal value, the phase lead or lag introduced by the notch filter may make itself fail to damp the resonance. Specifically, the phase lag can make the current control stable despite of the resonant frequency drifting, when the grid current is fed back. In contrast, in the case of an inverter current feedback control, the influence of the phase lead or lag on the active damping is dependent on the actual resonant frequency. Accordingly, in this paper, the notch frequency is designed away from the nominal resonant frequency to tolerate the resonance drifting, being the proposed robust active damping. Simulations and experiments performed on a 2.2-kW three-phase grid-connected PWM inverter verify the effectiveness of the proposed design for robust active damping using digital notch filters.

Index Terms — Notch filter, active damping, LCL filter, filters, digital control, time delay, parameter variations, PWM converters.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) converters with LCL filters are widely used in grid-connected applications, as exemplified in Fig. 1(a) [1]. As a third-order system, the LCL filter provides significantly improved attenuation of the PWM switching harmonics with reduced overall filter volume and weight [1, 2]. Unfortunately, the LCL filter also introduces resonant poles that give magnitude peaks at the corresponding resonant frequencies, which is identified as being highly related to the time delay (e.g., the PWM processing and sampling delays) in digital control systems [3]-[8], possibly resulting in an unstable system [9]-[11]. A rule of thumb for the controller design has been formed, where a time delay of 1.5T_s (T_s = 1/f_s is the sampling period with f_s being the sampling frequency) is considered [4], [8]. In that case, the stability regions (i.e., without triggering the resonance) are summarized and shown in Fig. 1(b), where either the inverter-side current or the grid-side current is fed back into the control loop and f_res is the resonance frequency of the LCL filter. It can be observed that, if the inverter-side current control (i.e., ICF – Inverter Current Feedback) is adopted, an appropriate damping control is mandatory to ensure the system stability when f_res/f_s is beyond 1/6; in contrast, if the grid current is fed back into the control loop (i.e., GCF – Grid Current Feedback), damping should be applied when f_res/f_s is lower than 1/6 [3]. In general, damping is necessary in those unstable regions shown in Fig. 1(b).

When it comes to the resonance damping of the LCL filter, passive damping solutions are quite straightforward at the cost of increased power losses (i.e., decreased overall efficiency) [10]. As a result, active damping schemes by modifying the control algorithm are preferred in practice [8]-[27]. Prior-art active damping strategies have been extensively developed in literature, where for instance a virtual impedance system [5], [12], a high-pass filter [13], a lead-lag network [14]-[16], an Infinity Impulse Response (IR) digital filter [17], or the weighted-feedback current [18], [19], has been employed. However, in most cases, additional sensors are required, being the major drawback (i.e., increased cost). Following, a virtual-flux- and a filter-based active damping were proposed in [20] and [21], respectively. However, an increase in the control complexity has been observed in the former active damping solution, when it is implemented in a digital control system. In contrast, the latter one, which is accomplished by simply inserting a low-pass filter or a notch filter into the forward path of the current control loop, has become a promising active damping alternative for LCL filters [21]-[27]. Actually, the notch-filtering concept was initially implemented in [21], where genetic algorithms have been adopted to fine tune the notch filter. Additionally, in [22], a thorough analysis of filter-based active damping was presented, and a selfcommissioning technique was proposed in [23], which can
online estimate the resonance frequency, enabling that the notch filter can be re-tuned accordingly. Although the online estimation makes the notch filter based active damping more robust, it inevitably increases the computational burden when implemented in digital controllers. Even the aforementioned methods show strong robustness to parameter variations of the LCL filter, but the failure mechanism of the notch filter based active damping remains of interest for developing high-performance solutions.

In light of the above discussions, built on the work of [27], this paper firstly carries out an analysis of the traditional notch filter based active damping in terms of its sensitivity to the resonance drifting due to practical parameter variations. Accordingly, the effectiveness of the notch filter based active damping correlated to 1) the actual resonant frequency \( f_{\text{res}} \), 2) the notch filter frequency \( f_n \), 3) the feedback current type (i.e., ICF or GCF), and 4) the stability of single-loop controlled grid-connected inverters is discussed. It is found in the sensitivity analysis that the conventional-defined unstable regions shown in Fig. 1(b) can be further partitioned into three regions as GCF I (0–\( f_1/6 \)), ICF II (\( f_1/6–f_1/3 \)), and ICF III (\( f_1/3–f_1/2 \)). When the traditional notch filter based active damping [21] is adopted, a decrease in the LCL resonant frequency (i.e., corresponding to a resonance drift and \( f_{\text{res}} < f_1 \)), can maintain the stability of the current control loop only in the regions of GCF I and ICF III. This is due to a phase delay around the resonant frequency \( f_{\text{res}} \) that is introduced by the notch filter. In contrast, this phase delay may challenge the stability of the entire control loop in the region of ICF II. Furthermore, if a positive resonance drift occurs in the LCL filter (i.e., \( f_{\text{res}} > f_1 \)), a phase lead introduced by the notch filter can maintain the stability of the control loop in the region of ICF II. However, in regions of GCF I and ICF III, the damping by the notch filter is not effective. Hereafter, the ICF II is accordingly identified as a compensation-demanded region, and the GCF I and ICF III are defined as delay-demanded regions.

Hence, unlike the design method proposed in [21], where the notch filter is only used to damp the resonant peak and thus the notch frequency is exactly aligned to the nominal resonant frequency, the notch frequency is placed away from the nominal resonant frequency in this paper in order to tolerate the resonance drifts. By doing so, the designed notch filter for active damping can provide sufficient phase lead or lag around the actual resonant frequency \( f_{\text{res}} \), leading to improved robustness. Specifically, in the region of ICF II, the notch frequency is designed smaller than the LCL filter nominal resonant frequency for proper delay compensation. That is to say, the notch filter will behave like a phase compensator around the LCL filter resonant frequency. This is close to the analysis in [27]. Nevertheless, in the delay-demanded areas (i.e., GCF I and ICF III), the notch filter is assigned to introduce sufficient phase delays, when its frequency is designed beyond the LCL filter nominal resonant frequency. Doing so can pull down the phase of the open-loop system, and prevent it from crossing the \(-180^\circ\)-line at the resonant frequency, and thus also contribute to a wider stability range of the active damping. Additionally, robustness analysis of the proposed active damping using digital notch filters against the LCL filter parameter and/or the grid impedance variations is also carried out.

The rest of this paper is organized as: §II introduces the control structure of a three-phase grid-connected system with an LCL filter and the traditional notch filter based active damping. In §III, the sensitivity of the traditional notch filter based active damping is addressed in the case of varying resonant frequencies due to the parameter drifting of the LCL filter as well as the grid impedance. Following, §IV proposes a design approach for the digital notch filter based robust active damping for LCL filters, where the robustness analysis of the entire closed-loop current controller considering parameter variations is also presented. Simulation and experimental results are provided in §V in order to verify the proposed notch filter based active damping method. Finally, conclusions are drawn in §VI.

II. TRADITIONAL NOTCH FILTER BASED ACTIVE DAMPING

Fig. 1(a) shows the PWM converter system considered in this paper, and the parameters of this grid-connected PWM inverter with an LCL filter are listed in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power Rating</td>
<td>( P_e )</td>
<td>2.2 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverter Side Inductor</td>
<td>( L_1 )</td>
<td>1.8 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid Side Inductor</td>
<td>( L_2 )</td>
<td>2 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL Filter Capacitor</td>
<td>( C_l )</td>
<td>1.5 ( \mu )F, 4.7 ( \mu )F, 14.1 ( \mu )F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resonant Frequency</td>
<td>( f_{\text{res}} )</td>
<td>4222 Hz, 2385 Hz, 1377 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid Impedance</td>
<td>( L_g )</td>
<td>0–10 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Frequency</td>
<td>( f_s )</td>
<td>10 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching Frequency</td>
<td>( f_{\text{sw}} )</td>
<td>10 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid Voltage</td>
<td>( V_g )</td>
<td>230 Vrms/50 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Bus Voltage</td>
<td>( V_d )</td>
<td>650 V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. Basics of Notch Filter based Active Damping

According to the system structure shown in Fig. 1(a), the transfer-functions of the LCL filter in the s-domain from the inverter output voltage \( v_{in} \) to the inverter output current \( i_1 \) and to the grid current \( i_2 \) can be given as

\[
G_i(s) = \frac{i_1(s)}{v_{in}(s)} = \frac{(L_s + L_c)C_s}{L_s(L_s + L_c)C_s s^2 + (L_s + L_c + L_g) s + 1} \\
G_m(s) = \frac{i_2(s)}{v_{in}(s)} = \frac{1}{L_s(L_s + L_c)C_s s^2 + (L_s + L_c + L_g) s + 1}
\]

where \( T_s = 1/f_s \) is the sampling period with \( f_s \) being the sampling frequency and \( \omega_{res} = 2\pi f_{res} \) being the resonant angular frequency of the LCL filter. It can be observed that the grid impedance (i.e., \( L_g \)) is also considered in the transfer-functions of (1), where a Zero-Order Hold (ZOH) is adopted for the discretization. Furthermore, the numerator of \( G_i(s) \) inherently has an anti-resonant peak at the frequency of:

\[
\omega_b = \sqrt{\frac{(L_s + L_g)}{C_s}}
\]

which corresponds to a small phase lag at the frequency below the resonant frequency \( \omega_{res} \). The overall \( d \)-axis current control system structures are depicted in Fig. 2(a) and (b) for the ICF and the GCF control, respectively, in which a Proportional-Integral (PI) controller is adopted as the current controller. The PI controller can be given as

\[
G_p(s) = k_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{T_1 s}\right)
\]

with \( k_p \) and \( T_1 \) being the controller parameters given as

\[
k_p = \frac{\omega_b}{V_{dc}} (L_s + L_c) \quad T_1 = \frac{10}{\omega_b}
\]

where \( V_{dc} \) is the DC-link voltage and \( \omega_b \) is the crossover frequency.

In general, the sampling and computation process can be accounted as a time delay of \( \delta T_s \) [4], which is introduced in the current control system as shown in Fig. 2. Normally, \( \delta T_s \) is not larger than one sampling period \( T_s \) (i.e., \( 0<\delta<1 \)). In addition to the that time delay (i.e., \( \delta T_s \)), there is another time delay of approx. 0.5\( T_s \) due to the PWM processing, which can be modeled as a Zero-Order-Hold (ZOH) [4]. The PWM processing delay can then be given as

\[
G_{PWM}(s) = \frac{1 - e^{-\delta T_s}}{s}
\]

Clearly, when assuming \( \delta = 1 \) (corresponding to one unity delay), the total delay in the control loop will be 1.5\( T_s \), which is commonly used in literature for the control loop stability analysis [15]-[16], [32]-[33].

Besides, as shown in Fig. 2, a conventional notch filter is included in the control loop for active damping. The transfer function of the notch filter can be expressed as

\[
G_{notch}(s) = \frac{s^2 + \omega_n^2}{s^2 + Qs + \omega_n^2}
\]

in which \( Q \) is the quality factor of the notch filter determining the -3-dB rejection bandwidth, and \( \omega_n \) is the notch frequency.

In [21] and [22], \( \omega_n \) is exactly placed at the resonant frequency of the designed LCL filter (i.e., \( \omega_n = \omega_{res} \)) in order to cancel out the resonant peaks. Actually, this is a kind of zero-pole cancelling method, and it is very sensitive to the resonant pole variations (i.e., resonance drift) introduced by the filter parameter and unknown grid impedance changes, which will be discussed in § III.

According to Fig. 2, the open-loop transfer function of the current control system can be expressed in the \( z \)-domain as [4]

\[
G_{open}(z) = G_i(z) \cdot G_{notch}(z) \cdot Z \{ e^{-\delta T_s} G_{PWM}(s) \cdot V_{dc} \cdot G_c(s) \}
\]

where \( G_i(s) \) represents \( G_{11}(s) \) or \( G_{22}(s) \), and \( G_{notch}(z) \) is the discretized notch filter according to (7). In order to verify the effectiveness of the notch filter based active damping, the filter capacitors are designed on purpose as follows. For the ICF control, \( C_i \) is chosen as 4.7 \( \mu F \), which will give a resonant frequency of \( f_{res} = 2385 \) Hz, locating in the unstable area (i.e., \( f_{res}/f_s < 1/6 \)) according to Fig. 1(b). In contrast, for the GCF control, a filter capacitance \( C_i \) of 14.1 \( \mu F \) will result in a resonant frequency of \( f_{res} = 1377 \) Hz inside the unstable region (i.e., \( f_{res}/f_s < 1/6 \)) as shown in Fig. 1(b). Thus, a basic analysis of the system resonance can be conducted. Fig. 3 shows the Bode plots of the LCL filter transfer-functions given in (1a) and (1b), the notch filter shown in (7), and the open-loop control system presented in (8), where the conventional notch filter based active damping is employed. As it is shown in Fig. 3, by placing the notch frequency exactly at the LCL filter resonant frequency according to the conventional design [22], the resonant peak is canceled out (i.e., not in the open-loop systems). At the same time, the phase of the LCL filter is compensated by the notch filter, which has a phase change of 180° (from –90° to 90°) at its notch frequency. As a result, there is no negative –180°-crossing with the gain above 0 dB, indicating a stable system according to the Nyquist criteria.

However, as it is shown in Fig. 3, the notch filter has a narrow rejection bandwidth and an infinite negative gain around the notch frequency. Thus, a slight error in the location of the notch frequency can contribute to a significant loss of the capability to suppress the LCL filter resonant peak. Especially, the notch frequency is affected by digital implementations of the notch filter [30]. In general, there are two possibilities to implement the notch filter in a digital controller. One is to design the filter in the \( s \)-domain, and then a discretization method is applied to the designed notch filter, resulting in a
digital notch filter (as previously achieved) [22]. Alternatively, it can be directly designed in the z-domain in order to reduce the phase and magnitude errors introduced by the discretization from the s-domain models. Since the notch frequency is sensitive to the discretization and thus the active damping performance, the following demonstrates a novel procedure to discretize the notch filter for active damping.

B. Discretization of the Notch Filter

Typically, the Tustin transformation is used to discretize the notch filter [28], and applying it to \( G_{\text{notch}}(s) \) of (6) yields

\[
G_{\text{notch}}(z) = G_{\text{notch}}(s)\big|_{s \approx k/2T_s} = \frac{(k^2 + \omega_n^2) - 2(k^2 - \omega_n^2)z^{-1} + (k^2 + \omega_n^2)z^{-2}}{(k^2 + \omega_n^2 + kQ) - 2(k^2 - \omega_n^2)z^{-1} + (k^2 + \omega_n^2 - kQ)z^{-2}}
\]

(9)

with \( k \) being \( 2/T_s \). To simplify, (9) is rewritten as

\[
G_{\text{notch}}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1+a_2}{1-a_2} \frac{z^{-2}}{z^{-1} + a_1z^{-1} + a_2z^{-2}}
\]

(10)

in which \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) are the parameters of the notch filter, and they can be expressed as

\[
a_1 = \frac{2(k^2 - \omega_n^2)}{k^2 + \omega_n^2 + kQ}, \quad a_2 = \frac{k^2 + \omega_n^2 - kQ}{k^2 + \omega_n^2 + kQ}
\]

(11)

III. PARAMETER SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF THE CURRENT CONTROL LOOP WITH THE CONVENTIONAL DIGITAL NOTCH FILTER

In the following, the effectiveness of the conventional notch filter based active damping will be discussed considering the parameter drifting of the LCL filter. Here, it should be emphasized that there is a critical condition (i.e., \( f_{\text{res}} = f/6 \)) dividing the entire frequency range into unstable and stable regions for both the ICF and GCF control [4]. Clearly, the notch filter

![Fig. 4. Direct-Form II transposed (DFII) structure for the implementation of a digital notch filter.](image-url)
based active damping is to stabilize the current control loop, when the resonant frequency of the LCL filter locates in the unstable regions.

### A. Active Damping using the GCF Control

According to [4] and Fig. 1(b), the unstable region for the GCF control (denoted as GCF I) is the frequency range of 0 to \( f_r / 6 \), considering the sampling and computation process delay as \( T_s \) (i.e., \( \delta = 1 \)). When the notch filter is adopted, the phase of the open-loop system will become

\[
\theta_{\text{GCF}}(f) = \theta_{\text{delay}}(f) + \theta_{\text{GC}(f)} + \theta_n(f)
\]

(18)

with \( \theta_{\text{GC}(f)} \) being the phase of the open-loop system of the GCF control and \( \theta_n(f) \) being the phase of the notch filter as a function of the frequency \( f \). In the region of the GCF I, the notch frequency \( f_n \) is commonly placed at the resonant frequency \( f_{\text{res}} \) to damp the resonant peak of the LCL filter, as discussed in [22]. However, when the parameters of the LCL filter have a positive variation (e.g., 10% increase in the capacitor value), the resonant frequency \( f_{\text{res}} \) will drift from its nominal, and become smaller than the designed notch frequency \( f_n \), affecting the damping performance. As the notch filter has a maximum of 90° phase lag that can be introduced to the open-loop system around the resonant frequency when \( f_n > f_{\text{res}} \). By contrast, if the actual resonant frequency \( f_{\text{res}} \) becomes larger than the designed notch frequency \( f_n \) due to a negative parameter variation of the LCL filter, the notch filter then will present a maximum of 90° phase lead around the resonant frequency. According to (18), Table II summarizes the possible location of the notch filter phase \( \theta_n(f) \) and the resultant phase of the open-loop system \( \theta_{\text{GCF}}(f) \), in the consideration of both negative and positive variations of the resonant frequencies \( f_{\text{res}} \) of the LCL filter.

To further illustrate the impact of resonance drifting on the damping performance, the phases of the notch filter \( \theta_n(f) \) and the resultant open-loop system \( \theta_{\text{GCF}}(f) \) are plotted in Fig. 5(a) and (b), respectively. Fig. 5(a) indicates that in the case of \( f_n > f_{\text{res}} \) the phase of the notch filter (green zone) has a maximum of 90° phase lag around the resonant frequency \( f_{\text{res}} \). It thus can ensure the phase of the open-loop system \( \theta_{\text{GCF}}(f) \) always smaller than \(-\pi\), in return, implying that the system is stable. Additionally, Fig. 5(b) shows a different phenomenon where \( f_n < f_{\text{res}} \) and the resultant phase of the open-loop system \( \theta_{\text{GCF}}(f) \) is compensated by the notch filter (has a maximum of 90° phase-lead) to be larger than \(-\pi\) before the resonance \( f_{\text{res}} \). However, when \( f > f_{\text{res}} \), \( \theta_{\text{GCF}}(f) \) changes below \(-\pi\), indicating a \(-180°\)-crossing around the resonant frequency. Hence, the system becomes unstable.

This is further confirmed by the Bode plots shown in Fig. 6, where \( C_f = 14.1 \mu \text{F} \) with a decrease and increase of 10% in the capacitor value, respectively. As it is indicated in Fig. 6(a), due to the decrease in the capacitor value, \( f_n < f_{\text{res}} \). As a result,
even when the phase of the notch filter is positive at the actual resonant frequency, \( \theta_d(f) \) is insufficient to compensate the phase of the open-loop system, leading to the -180°-crossing occurrence at the resonant frequency. Thus, the system is unstable. However, in the case of \( f_n > f_{res} \), the phase of the notch filter is negative. It is observed that the phase lag of the notch filter is able to pull down the phase of the open-loop system, and thus at the resonant frequency, there is no occurrence of -180°-crossing, as it is highlighted in Fig. 6(b). As a consequence of the introduced phase lag, the system will be stable. Hence, the above indicates that in the region GCF I, introduce a proper phase lag help to stabilizing the current control loop.

### B. Active Damping using the ICF Control

Similarly, the sensitivity analysis of the notch filter based active damping for the ICF control is carried in the unstable region (i.e., the resonant frequency locates within \( f_n/6 \) to \( f_n/2 \)). When the notch filter is employed in current control loop, the phase of the open-loop system (denoted as \( \theta_{ICF}(f) \)) will become

\[
\theta_{ICF}(f) = \theta_{del}(f) + \theta_{c}(f) + \theta_n(f)
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
-\frac{\pi}{2} - 3\pi \frac{f}{f_n} + \theta_n, & f < f_n \\
\frac{\pi}{2} - 3\pi \frac{f}{f_n} + \theta_n, & f < f_{res} \\
-\frac{\pi}{2} - 3\pi \frac{f}{f_n} + \theta_n, & f > f_{res}
\end{cases}
\]

(19)

According to Fig. 3, the phase of the notch filter \( \theta_d(f) \) varies from -90° to +90° before the Nyquist frequency, and this 180°phase change occurs at the notch frequency. Hence, assuming that the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) is slightly drifted away from its initial setting \( f_{res} = f_n \), the notch filter phase \( \theta_d(f) \) may introduce -90 or +90 phase at the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \).

As a consequence, the phase of the open-loop system \( \theta_{ICF}(f) \) around the notch filter frequency can be expressed as

\[
\theta_{ICF}(f)\big|_{f_n < f_{res}, \theta_n = \frac{\pi}{2}} = \begin{cases} 
\pi - 3\pi \frac{f}{f_n}, & f < f_{res} \\
3\pi \frac{f}{f_n}, & f > f_{res}
\end{cases}
\]

(20a)

when \( f_n < f_{res} \), and

\[
\theta_{ICF}(f)\big|_{f_n > f_{res}, \theta_n = \frac{\pi}{2}} = \begin{cases} 
-3\pi \frac{f}{f_n}, & f < f_{res} \\
\pi - 3\pi \frac{f}{f_n}, & f > f_{res}
\end{cases}
\]

(20b)

when \( f_n > f_{res} \).

Eq. (20) implies that when the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) locates at \( f_n/3 \), the resultant phase \( \theta_{ICF}(f) \) may be equal to -180° at the resonant frequency in both cases, and inevitably leading to -180°-crossing around the resonant peak. However, when the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) locates in \( (f_n/6, f_n/3) \), it is shown in (20a) that the -180°-crossing will not occur at the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \), while, there will be -180°-crossing at the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) as it is indicated in (20b). Furthermore, when the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) locates in \( (f_n/3, f_n/2) \), Eq. (20a) implies that -180°-crossing at the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) cannot be avoided. Hence, it suggests that the unstable region of the ICF control can be further divided into two parts as ICF II (\( f_{res} \in (f_n/6, f_n/3) \)) and ICF III (\( f_{res} \in (f_n/3, f_n/2) \)). Assuming that the parameters of the LCL filter have a positive variation (e.g., 10% increases in the capacitor value), the resonant frequency of the LCL filter \( f_{res} \) will become smaller than the designed notch frequency \( f_n \) (i.e., \( f_n > f_{res} \)). In that case, the notch filter has a maximum of 90° phase-lag around the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \). However, a parameter decrease in the LCL filter will result in a larger resonant frequency \( f_n < f_{res} \). In that case, the notch filter has a maximum of 90° phase-lead around the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) when \( f_n < f_{res} \). Accordingly Table III gives the resultant phase \( \theta_{ICF}(f) \) of the open-loop system with negative and positive variations in the resonant frequency.

The phases of the open-loop system and the corresponding phase of the notch filter are further plotted in Fig. 7. It can be observed in Fig. 7(a) and (b) that the phase of the notch filter \( \theta_d(f) \) has a 90°-phase-lag around the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) (i.e., \( f_n > f_{res} \)). Hence, in the region of ICF II, the phase of the open-loop system will be larger than -\( \pi \) before the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \). However, in the region of ICF III, the resultant phase of the open loop system \( \theta_{ICF}(f) \) will become smaller than -\( \pi \), as shown in Fig. 7(b). When \( f > f_{res} \), the phase of the open-loop system goes below -\( \pi \) in both regions of ICF II and ICF III, as shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b). This implies that a -180°-crossing is inevitable in the region of ICF II due to the phase lag of the notch filter, resulting in an unstable control system. Fortunately, the phase lag of the notch filter can contribute to stabilizing the control loop in the region of ICF III, since the phase of the open-loop system \( \theta_{ICF}(f) \) with the notch filter is already below -180° before the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) (see Fig. 7(b)). This phenomenon is confirmed in the Bode plots shown in Fig. 8(a) and (b), where \( G_1(z) \), \( G_{open}(z) \) and \( G_{notch}(z) \) are corresponding given in Eqs. (1a), (7) and (9) and \( C_f = 4.7 \mu F \) and 1.5 \( \mu F \) with a variation of \( \pm 10\% \) in the capacitance, respectively. As it can be seen in Fig. 8(a), in the region of ICF II, the notch filter will lose the ability to cancel out the resonant peak of the LCL filter (i.e., \( f_{res} = 0.95f_n = 2266 \text{ Hz} \)),
leading to instability. On the contrary, Fig. 8(b) shows that the phase lag of the notch filter can pull down the phase of the open-loop system in the region of ICF III, and prevent it from crossing the $-180^\circ$-line at the resonant frequency (i.e., $f_{res} = 0.95f_n = 4011$ Hz). It means that the system remains stable even when the resonant peak of the LCL filter is not mitigated, as illustrated in Fig. 8(b).

A different result is obtained in the case of a negative parameter variation in the LCL filter (e.g., 10% decreases in the capacitor value), where $f_{res} < f_n$, and the phase of the notch filter $\theta_d(f)$ has a maximum of 90°-lead around the resonant frequency $f_{res}$. Hence, according (18) and Table III, the phase of the open-loop system will be larger than $-180^\circ$ between $f_n$ and $f_n/3$ in the region of ICF II, as shown in Fig. 7(c) and demonstrated in Fig. 8(c). That is to say, the notch filter will prevent the entire open-loop system from crossing the $-180^\circ$-line and thus the current control loop will be stable. However, in the region of ICF III, the phase lead (i.e., $\theta_d(f)$) provided by the notch filter is insufficient to compensate the phase of the open-loop system $\theta_{ICF}(f)$ after the resonant frequency, as shown in Fig. 7(d). Consequently, the phase of the open-loop system will cross the $-180^\circ$-line, leading to an unstable control loop, which is also verified in Fig. 8(d). From Table III and Fig. 8, it can be concluded that the phase lead of the notch filter can maintain the stability of the current control system in the region of ICF II; while for the region of ICF III, the stability may lose due to the $-180^\circ$-crossing of the open-loop system around the resonant frequency $f_{res}$. To sum up, Fig. 5 to Fig. 8 show how the notch filter based active damping is affected by the LCL filter parameter variations. However, it should be noted that, when the resonant frequency drifts too far from the designed notch frequency (i.e., the nominal resonant frequency), the phase of the notch filter will become in-sufficient to provide either a phase lag or lead to prevent the open-loop system from crossing the $-180^\circ$-line around the resonant frequency. This can be illustrated and further summarized by means of the pole-and-zero plots of the closed-loop system given as

$$G_{close}(z) = \frac{G_{open}(z)}{1 + G_{open}(z)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

where $G_{close}(z)$ is the transfer-function of the closed-loop system. It can be seen in pole-and-zero plots of Fig. 9 that, when the resonant frequency $f_{res}$ is equal to the notch frequency (i.e., $f_{c} = f_{res}$), the unstable pole of the LCL filter will be canceled out by the zero of the notch filter, and thus the system stability is ensured as discussed above. However, parameter drifting makes $f_{c} \neq f_{res}$, in the region of ICF II (see Fig. 9(b)), the decrease in the resonant frequency will force the unstable pole away from the zeros of the notch filter and thus out of the unity circle, challenging the entire system stability. In contrast, increasing the resonant frequency will move the unstable poles into the unit circle, resulting in a stable system, as shown in Fig. 9(b). Nevertheless, Fig. 9(b) also indicates that if the capacitance drifts too far from its nominal value, the poles will go out of the unit circle again, and thus the entire system goes to be unstable; if the resonant frequency locates in the regions of GCF I and ICF III, the situation is much different, as shown in Fig. 9(a) and (c). Fig. 9(c) demonstrates that the resonant pole will move out of the unit circle if the positive resonant frequency variation is too large, and thus the system becomes unstable again. In contrast, Fig. 9(a) shows that even with a 50% increase in the capacitance, the pole remains inside the unit circle. This is due to the phase lag of the notch filter still can pull down the phase of the open loop system to cross $-180^\circ$-line before the resonant frequency, indicating a stable current control system.

IV. PROPOSED DIGITAL NOTCH FILTER DESIGN FOR ROBUST ACTIVE DAMPING

As summarized in Table III, the phase lead or lag of the notch filter can contribute to the stability of the current control loop in particular areas depending on which current is fed back for the control (i.e., ICF or GCF). Hence, by using a phase adjustor to introduce phase lead or lag may help to improve control loop stability. The phase adjustor can be a digital filter (high-pass, low-pass, and all-pass), lead-lag network or notch filter. The high pass filter can only provide phase lead with maximum 90 degree, and it may amplify the high frequency noise. By contrast, the low-pass type can avoid high frequency noise, but it only introduce maximum 90 degree phase lag. Using an all-pass filter can introduce more phase lag than low-pass type, but unfortunately, its phase calculation is complicate. Similar drawback can be found in using lead-lag network, which has identified in [15] that it can provide phase lead or lag around particular frequency. In additional, the magnitude of lead-lag network is non-zero, which may significant move the desired crossover frequency of current control loop. Start from this, the notch filter is much prior than the former mentioned due to its magnitude is smaller than 0 dB in the whole frequency range and can provide phase lag or lead. Thus, with continue the sensitive analysis done in § III,
the notch filter is employed here to adjust the phase of the current control loop. In contrast to the conventional method [21], in the following proposed design, the notch frequency is placed away from the resonant frequency so that it can provide sufficient phase lead or lag around the resonant frequency $f_{res}$. That is to say, the frequency of the notch filter will not be exactly located at but determined by the resonant frequency $f_{res}$ of the LCL filter (i.e., the delay-demanded areas). Nevertheless, the major objective is to ensure that there is no $-180^\circ$-crossing at the resonant frequency, guaranteeing the stability of the current control loop. Since the notch filter presents phase-lead or phase-lag characteristics in different frequency ranges, which is utilized in the proposed method as a phase adjustor to modify the phase characteristic of the current control loop accordingly. Notably, the PI controller (see Fig. 2) should also be designed carefully [3].
A. Proposed Design of the Digital Notch Filter for Robust Active Damping using the ICF Control

As aforementioned, the region of the ICF II is identified as a compensation-demanded region – the time delay induced in the digital control system needs to be compensated in such a way to stabilize the entire current control. In order to make the controller more robust against the grid impedance and LCL filter parameter variations, the notch frequency is designed by placing the notch frequency below the nominal resonant frequency of the LCL filter, which can contribute to an increased stability margin for the region of ICF II. Normally, low voltage power transformers and long distribution transmission wires will introduce a large set of grid impedance (around 0.1 mH [31], [33]), and this grid impedance will be further enlarged if a number of inverters are connected in parallel [33]. Hence, a very weak grid with $L_g = 10$ mH is selected in this paper. This also indirectly enables the robustness verification of the proposal. In order to achieve that the current control loop is stable even in such a weak grid (e.g., $L_g = 10$ mH), the maximum notch frequency $\omega_n$ is calculated according to (2) when $L_g = 10$ mH. Thus, when $f_{res} > f_n$, the phase lead introduced by the notch filter around the resonant frequency can compensate the time delay in the entire control system, as demonstrated in Fig. 10, where the Bode plot of the open loop without the notch filter based active damping is also presented.

It can be observed in Fig. 10 that, the phase of the open-loop system (green dashed-line) without the active damping will cross the $-180^\circ$-line at the resonant frequency. In contrast, when the notch filter is incorporated, the phase lead of the notch filter will help the open-loop system cross the $-180^\circ$-line beyond the resonant frequency $f_{res}$ resulting in a stable system. Also, as indicated in Fig. 10, there are three crossover frequencies: $\omega_{c1}$, $\omega_{c2}$, and $\omega_{c3}$, $\omega_{c1} < \omega_{res}$, $\omega_{c2} < \omega_{res}$, $\omega_{c3} > \omega_{res}$ to be designed. It is apparent that the phase margins of $\phi_3$ at $\omega_{c3}$ are smaller than $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ at $\omega_{c1}$ and $\omega_{c2}$, respectively. Hence, in order to control the current loop, the phase margins of $\phi_3$ should be larger than a specified value $\phi$.

The phase of the notch filter can be derived from (12) as,

$$\theta_n(\omega) = \arctan \frac{\lambda \tan \frac{QT_i}{2} \sin \omega T_i - \cos \omega T_i \sin \omega T_i}{\cos \omega T_i - \sin \omega T_i}$$

(22)

indicating that the phase of the notch filter at the resonant frequency $\omega_{res}$ is positive (since $\omega_{res} > \omega$), which can be employed to compensate the phase lag of the entire control system at the resonant frequency, as shown in Fig. 10. Since the magnitude and the phase contributions of the LCL filter become small at the crossover frequency $\omega_{c3}$, the response of the LCL filter will be dominated by the total series inductance [3]. In addition, the PI controller also makes little impact on the crossover frequency location of the entire control loop. As mentioned in Fig. 10, the phase lead of the open-loop notch filter can be calculated from the difference between $\omega_{c1}$ and $\omega_{res}$, e.g., $\phi_{m} = 60^\circ$ for the undamped open loop system given by

$$\omega_{c1} = \frac{\pi}{9T_i}$$

(26)

Then, the phase margin of $\phi_3$ for the open-loop system with the active damping can be calculated from the difference between $\phi_{m}$ and the phase of the notch filter $\theta_n(\omega_{c1})$ (i.e., $\phi_3 = 180^\circ - \phi_{m} + \theta_n(\omega_{c1})$). Taking $\phi_3 = 9^\circ$ (i.e., 20°) for an example, the -3 dB ($x = 3$, $\lambda = 1$, according to (15)) rejection bandwidth $\Omega$ can be calculated from (22). In that case, the rejection bandwidth $\Omega$ will be around $2\pi \times 2500$ rad/s, and the resultant phase margin of $\phi_3$ at the crossover frequency $\omega_{c1}$ is around 39°.

For the region of ICF III, a phase lag is required to stabilize the current control system, where the design of the notch filter...
is more complicated compared to that demonstrated above. That is because the notch frequency has to be much beyond the resonant frequency in order to tolerate the impact of the filter parameter drifting on the stability. Considering a -50% change in the capacitance of the LCL filter, the notch frequency may have to locate beyond the Nyquist frequency according to the above analysis (§ III), which will degrade the performance of the notch filter. Alternatively, the notch frequency may be located exactly at the Nyquist frequency, and then the notch filter will present a purely phase-lag characteristic in the entire frequency range of below the Nyquist frequency, as shown in Fig. 11. However, if the notch frequency is very far away from the resonant frequency (e.g., when the notch frequency is placed at the Nyquist frequency), the phase lag of the notch filter will be insufficient to pull the phase of the open-loop system above -180° before the resonant frequency. In that case, two notch filters with the notch frequency designed exactly at the Nyquist frequency can be employed in order to introduce more phase-lag (maximum of 180° phase delay).

Fig. 11 illustrates the frequency responses of the open-loop system with two notch filters based active damping. It can be observed that there are three crossover frequencies: \( \omega_{c1} \), \( \omega_{c2} \), and \( \omega_{c3} \) (\( \omega_{c1} < \omega_{c2} < \omega_{c3} < \omega_{c1} \)), indicating that the phase margin of \( \phi_1 \) at the crossover frequency \( \omega_{c1} \) is the smallest one. Hence, similar to the region of ICF II, the phase margin of \( \phi_1 \) should be larger than a specified value \( \varphi \). In that case, the phase margin of \( \phi_1 \) can be calculated as [4]

\[
\phi_1 = \pi + \angle G_{11}(z) + \angle G_{rl}(z) + \angle z^{-1} + \angle G_{\text{notch}}(z) + \angle G_{\text{notch}}(z) \\
= \pi - \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{3}{2} \omega T_s + 2 \theta_n(\omega) \\
\geq \varphi
\]

(27)

As a result, for a given phase margin \( \varphi \), the -3 dB (\( \mu = 3, \lambda = 1 \), referring to (15)) rejection bandwidth \( \Omega \) of the notch filter can be obtained. Taking \( \varphi = \pi/4 \) (i.e., 45°) for instance, the rejection bandwidth \( \Omega \) calculated from (22) gives around \( 2\pi \times 2500 \) rad/s, and the phase margin of \( \phi_1 \) at the crossover frequency \( \omega_{c1} \) will be around 47°, implying stable control systems.

B. Proposed Design of the Digital Notch Filter for Robust Active Damping using the GCF Control

As mentioned previously, the region of GCF I is identified as delay demanded region and the resonant frequency maybe affected by the grid impedance. Fortunately, this impact does not bring side-effects on the stability of the GCF control, as it has been concluded in § III.A. However, the negative variations of the LCL filter parameters impose more impacts on the stability. Hence, the notch frequency should be designed higher than the resonant frequency so that a phase lag is introduced at the resonant frequency to make the system stable. For instance, assuming that the capacitor of the LCL filter decreases by 50% (i.e., \( C_f = 14.1 \mu F \)), the maximum notch frequency \( \omega_n \) can be calculated according to (2) and the Bode plot of the current open-loop system is then shown in Fig. 12. It can be seen that there are three crossover frequencies: \( \omega_{c1}, \omega_{c2}, \) and \( \omega_{c3} \) (\( \omega_{c1} < \omega_{c2} < \omega_{c3} > \omega_{c1} \)), among which the phase margin of \( \phi_2 \) at \( \omega_{c2} \) is the smallest one. Hence, similar to the ICF control, the phase margin of \( \phi_2 \) should be larger than a specified value \( \varphi \). When replacing \( \phi_1 \) by \( \phi_2 \), the phase margin of \( \phi_2 \) can be calculated according to (24), where the rejection bandwidth \( \Omega \) of the notch filter can be derived if a phase margin is specified as \( \varphi \). Taking \( \varphi = \pi/6 \) rad (i.e., 30°) for an example, the -3 dB (\( \mu = 3, \lambda = 1 \)) according to (15)) rejection bandwidth \( \Omega \) from (22) gives around \( 2\pi \times 1600 \) rad/s, which results in that the phase margin of \( \phi_1 \) at the crossover frequency \( \omega_{c1} \) will be around 55°, implying a stable control systems.

C. Parameter Sensitivity Analysis of the Closed-Loop System Stability in the \( z \)-Domain

Fig. 13 shows the root loci of the overall closed-loop current control system of (21), when the parameters of the LCL filter and also the grid impedance are varying. Fig. 13(a) to (c) are the cases when the ICF control is adopted with the resonant frequency in the region of ICF II; Fig. 13(d) to (f) are for the ICF control in the region of ICF III; and Fig. 13(g) to (i) are for the GCF control. In all cases, the proposed design has been employed.

As it can be seen in Fig. 13(a), although the grid impedance \( L_g \) varies from 0 mH (i.e., a very strong grid) to 10 mH (i.e., a very weak grid), the system is always stable. Fig. 13(b) and (c)

---

Fig. 11. Bode plot of the open-loop current control system (with and without the dual notch filters based active damping), where \( f_n \) is placed larger than the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) for the region of ICF III (i.e., \( f_{in} \in (f_3/3, f_2/2) \)).

Fig. 12. Bode plot of the open-loop current control system (with and without the notch filter based active damping), where \( f_n \) is placed larger than the resonant frequency \( f_{res} \) for the region GCF I (i.e., \( f_{in} \in (0, f_6/6) \)).
show the stable ranges of the control system in the case of a varying inverter-side inductor $L_1$ and filter capacitor $C_f$ of the $LCL$ filter (from 50% to 150% of the rated value shown in Table I), respectively. It can be observed in Fig. 13(b) that for large variations of $L_1$, the current control system is stable, while instability occurs when $L_1 < 1.35$ mH (i.e., 75% of the rated value). Similarly, it can be seen in Fig. 13(c) that the current control system is stable in the case that $C_f > 3.52$ μF (i.e., 75% of the rated value). However, when the capacitance decreases further, the current control system becomes unstable, as shown in Fig. 13(c). This is because that in order to tolerate a wide range of grid impedance variations (e.g., up to 10 mH), the notch frequency should be much lower than the resonant frequency. Thus, the phase lead of the notch filter will be very small at higher frequencies. However, the capacitance decreases will result in an increase of the resonant frequency of the $LCL$ filter, where the phase lead of the notch filter cannot prevent the open-loop system from $-180^\circ$-crossing at the resonant frequency, leading to instability.

The zero and pole plots of Fig. 13(d) to (f) show that the ICF control in the regions of ICF III and GCF I are much robust against both the grid impedance and $LCL$ parameter variations. When the grid impedance $L_g$ varies from 0 mH to 10 mH, the current control system is always kept stable. For the
ICF control (in the region of ICF III), the current control system becomes unstable when the filter capacitance has a -50% variation, since the resonant frequency is drifted beyond the Nyquist frequency. For the GCF control, despite of the variations of the LCL parameters, the notch filter can guarantee the stability of the current control loop, since it has been considered during the design phase of the notch filter, which covers a ±50% variation of the capacitance or the inductance of the LCL filter. However, if the variations are much larger (e.g., 160% of the rated \( C_i \)), the current control loop will become unstable as the poles will go outside of the unit circle. This is due to the phase lag of the notch filter is insufficient to “push” the phase of the open-loop system down below -180°-line at the crossover frequency \( \omega_{c2} \).

V. SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Referring to Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, simulations and experiments have been performed to verify the effectiveness of the proposed digital notch filter based active damping. The system parameters are given in Table I, where different values of capacitor are used in such a way to place the resonant frequency of the LCL filter in difference areas, as also summarized in Table IV. The simulations can be considered as a worse case, since the internal resistance of the inductors is neglected for simplicity. In the experiments, a 2.2-kW three-phase commercial inverter is connected to a 230-V/50-Hz grid through an isolation transformer, whose total leakage inductance of 2 mH formed the grid-side inductor \( L_2 \) of the LCL filters. The inverter-side inductor \( L_1 \) is, on the other hand, designed according to the peak-to-peak current ripple \( \Delta I_{L1} \) of 40% of the rated current [33], resulting in \( L_1 = 1.4 \) mH, which is chosen as 1.8 mH in the laboratory setups due to the availability. By doing so, the ratio of \( L_2/L_1 \) is around 1.1, leading to that the peak-to-peak current ripple will be further reduced to below 2% of the rated current [35]. The control systems were implemented in a dSPACE DS 1103 system, and the notch filter parameters are given in Table IV.

Fig. 14 shows the simulation results of the proposed digital notch filter based active damping in the case of the ICF control and the GCF control. It can be seen in Fig. 14 that the current control system can operate stably, when the active damping is enabled. This confirms that the proposed digital notch filter based active damping can effectively ensure the system stability – the damping is achieved by the designed notch filter. In order to verify the effectiveness of the notch filter based active damping experimentally, the capacitance \( C_i \) of the LCL filter and the notch frequency \( f_n \) are selected as shown in Table IV. Hence, active damping is required for each case. Fig. 15 shows that the resonance in the case of either the ICF control or the GCF control is effectively damped, when the proposed digital notch filter based active damping is activated. However, when the active damping is disabled, the resonance appears again and the system becomes unstable, as it is shown in Fig. 15. It is clear that an active damping is necessary for the current control system to maintain the stability and also the current quality. Those can be achieved when the proposed method is adopted, as verified by the experimental tests presented in Fig. 15. To further test the robustness of the proposed active damping in case of ICF control, an additional inductor of 1.8 mH is thus inserted between the grid and the grid side inductor \( L_2 \). Fig. 16 (a) and (b) show the test result of the three-phase system with different resonant frequencies. In these tests, when the notch filter is disabled, the controller is unable to stabilize the current control loop, and thus the grid currents start oscillating, as shown in Fig. 16 (a) and (b). In contrast, when the designed notch filter is enabled, the system resonance is effectively damped by the digital notch filter, and the system goes back to stable operation. For the case of the GCF control, the robustness test is done under a condition where the capacitance of the LCL filter is decreased to 9.4 \( \mu \)F, and the test results are presented in Fig. 16 (c). The reason for setting up this test condition is that the GCF control is much robust to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table IV. Parameters of the Notch Filter for Simulations and Experiments.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacitance ( C_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 ( \mu )F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7 ( \mu )F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.1 ( \mu )F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 14. Simulation results of the proposed digital notch filter based active damping for a three-phase grid-connected inverter system, where the active damping is disabled at \( t = 0.45 \) s for comparisons: (a) using the ICF control with the resonant frequency \( f_m =2385 \) Hz, i.e., in the region of ICF II, (b) using the ICF control with the resonant frequency \( f_m =4222 \) Hz, i.e., in the region of ICF III, and (c) using the GCF control with the resonant frequency \( f_m =1377 \) Hz, i.e., in the region of GCF I.
grid impedance variations, but it is sensitive to LCL parameter negative changes, as discussed in § III. Nevertheless, as it is shown in Fig. 16(c), with the proposed digital notch filter based active damping, the system is stable without oscillations even when the GCF control is employed. However, when the designed notch filter is disabled, as anticipated, the grid currents become resonating, which are demonstrated in Fig. 16(c).

In addition, the entire system with the proposed digital notch filter based active damping is also tested under load transients. Fig. 17 shows the dynamic performance of the entire grid-connected inverter system with either a GCF or an ICF control, where the amplitude of the output currents of the three-phase inverter had experienced a step change from 1 A to 4 A. It can be seen in Fig. 17 that with the proposed digital notch filter based active damping, the grid current can follow the reference without oscillations no matter what current is fed back to the current control loop (i.e., GCF or ICF control). The experimental results shown in Fig. 17 further confirm the robustness and the effectiveness of the proposed digital notch filter based active damping for LCL filters in grid-connected applications.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the effectiveness of the conventional notch filter based active damping for LCL filters has been explored when considering the sampling and computation process delay in digital control systems and also filter parameter variations. Accordingly, a design method of digital notch filters for robust active damping has been proposed. It has been revealed in this paper that, when the resonant frequency of the LCL filter drifts from its designed nominal value, the phase lead or lag introduced by the notch filter that is around the actual resonant frequency may affect the control loop stability. Thus, in the proposed digital notch filter based active damping, the notch frequency is placed on purpose away from the nominal resonant frequency of the LCL filter. In that case, the proposed notch filter can provide sufficient phase lead or lag around the resonant frequency to stabilize the control system, contributing to robust active damping. The entire system stability has been investigated in the case of different resonant frequencies induced by grid impedance changes and/or filter parameter variations.
drifting. Both simulations and experiments have verified the effectiveness of the proposed digital notch filter based active damping method in terms of high robustness.
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