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Translation of the Bronchiectasis Health Questionnaire (BHQ) into Danish.

Background
The BHQ is a new health-related quality of life questionnaire for patients with bronchiectasis. It has 10 items and was originally developed in English (UK). The BHQ has been translated and validated in 11 languages, but not previously into Danish.

Objectives
The objective of this study was to translate the BHQ into Danish, using established methodology.

Methods
The following translation method (linguistic validation) was used:
1. In-depth analysis of the original (i.e., concept definition) by two independent translators and suggestion of translation alternatives;
2. Pilot study in bronchiectasis patients and re-evaluation of the initial BHQ Danish version;
3. Forward/backward translation; and
4. Review by a clinician.

Results
17 patients with bronchiectasis participated in the translation study. The translation process did not reveal any significant linguistic or cultural issues.

The main difficulties consisted in finding conceptual equivalents in specific words, i.e. “anxiety”. Translators ensured that the continuity of time was kept between all versions using either adverbs or synonyms (e.g., “Always” for “All of the time”). In contrast to previous BHQ translations, where items 2, 5 and 10 generated most discussion, item 3 and 4 were debated for idiomatic or syntactic reasons. The re-evaluation proved useful to clarify the concept of “anxiety” in item 3 and led to changes in wording.

An example of the translation is seen in figure 1.

Conclusion
The translation of the BHQ into Danish followed a rigorous methodology, including patient feedback. This translation is conceptually equivalent to the original questionnaire and can be used for further validation and studies in Danish-speaking patients with bronchiectasis.