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1. Introduction

Centrum Pæle A/S, Aalborg University, VIA University College and INSERO Horsens are partners in an industrial PhD project within the field of shallow geothermal energy systems based on pile heat exchangers. Pile heat exchangers, also known as energy piles, are thermally active building foundation elements with embedded geothermal pipes fixed to the steel reinforcement in which a circulating fluid exchanges heat with the pile and the surrounding soil. As such, the foundation of the building both serves as a structural component and a heating/cooling supply element. The thermal properties of the pile-soil system, therefore, influence the operational performance of the ground source heat pump system.

This document aims to present the laboratory work undertaken to analyse the thermal properties of the soil at two test sites in Denmark and the concrete produced by Centrum Pæle A/S, used to produce the pile heat exchangers studied in the present PhD project. The tasks have been carried out between February 2016 and February 2017.

The presented work mainly consists of thermal property measurements. They become important as they form the basis for dimensioning a planned ground source heat pump installation based on closed loop vertical ground heat exchangers. This report complements the report “Thermal response testing of precast pile heat exchangers: fieldwork report” by (Alberdi-Pagola et al., 2017).

The report is organized as follows: first, the measurement methods and the test procedures are described. Second, the soils at both test sites are described, together with the measurements. Third, the measurements of the properties of the concrete are treated. The work is extended in appendixes.

2. Methods

Both soil and concrete samples are treated in a similar way. Each sample is properly described and its thermal properties, water content and bulk and dry densities are measured. The thermal expansion is not measured in this study. The thermal properties experimentally determined for the concrete are compared with the estimates from selected prediction models. To double-check the reliability of the measurements, the measurements are compared to literature values.

2.1. Thermal properties

The thermal properties have been measured by means of a Hot Disk apparatus (Figure 1). The Hot Disk equipment relies on the transient plane source method (Hot Disk AB, 2014) according to the Dansk Standard (2015) DS/EN ISO 22007-2 (2015). The transient plane source method yields estimations on the thermal conductivity \( \lambda \) [W/m/K], volumetric heat capacity \( \rho_c \) [MJ/m\(^3\)/K]. Thermal diffusivity \( \alpha \) [m\(^2\)/s] is defined as the ratio between the thermal conductivity and volumetric heat capacity.

The Hot Disk sensor is an electrically conducting metallic double spiral (nickel), covered by two thin layers of insulating material (kapton). During the measurement, the sensor is placed between two pieces of sample. As the electrical current runs, the temperature of the sensor increases and at the same time, the temperature resistance as a function of time is measured. Hence, the sensor acts as a heat source and a dynamic temperature sensor. Hot Disk AB (2014) defines the accuracy of the thermal conductivity measurements as ± 5%, while the accuracy for the thermal diffusivity is defined between ± 5 and 10%. The uncertainty for the average value of the measurement \( \bar{x} \) is defined as their root-sum-squared relation of the systematic and random errors for 95% confidence level:

\[
w_{\bar{x}} = (B_{\bar{x}}^2 + P_{\bar{x}}^2)^{1/2}
\]
Five repeated measurements have been taken for each sample at a room temperature between 19 to 21 °C.

Figure 1: a) Hot Disk apparatus set up at the GeoLab at VIA University College, Horsens, DK; b) Sample holder for small samples, such as the shown steel standard cylinders.

### 2.2. Other physical properties affecting thermal properties

The soil specimens are characterised under “undisturbed” conditions. The water content has been measured following the DS/EN ISO/TS 17892-1: 2004 (2004) standard and the bulk and dry density determination follow the DS/EN ISO/TS 17892-2: 2004 (2004). The organic matter content has been measured following the ASTM Standards (1998).

Regarding the concrete, the determination of potential lower and upper bounds of its thermal properties are aimed. Under operational conditions, the pile heat exchanger will be driven into a medium that could have different moist content. This means that the saturation process is governed by the capillary domain. Therefore, the maximum water content that the material can acquire by suction, water pressure or condensation will be higher than the one absorbed by being in contact with air and it could reach 10 % in weight, depending on the concrete. Therefore, a range of thermal properties is targeted and the samples are measured in oven dry, saturated and normally dry (ambient air) conditions. The drying and the saturation processes and the density, absorption and voids in hardened concrete measurements are determined following the ASTM Standards (2013). The samples were allowed to “normally dry”, from the saturated condition, under normal ambient surroundings for times extended over 6-7 days. The samples were oven dried again to determine the water content of the last measurement. The test are performed in samples with a curing time of more than a month.

### 2.3. Prediction models of thermal properties of concrete

As a further step, prediction models reported in the literature to determine the thermal properties of different materials are studied. Concrete is compounded of constituents with a wide variation in thermal properties. The thermal properties of the concrete are affected by: porosity (air and water content), humidity, mineral composition (aggregates and water/cement ratio), particle contact and temperature.

The specific heat of concrete is highly influenced by moisture content, aggregate type, cement type and density of concrete (Khalilq and Kodur, 2011). For composite materials, such as concrete, it can be calculated by the mixing theory (Bentz et al., 2011):
where \( c_{p,i} \) is the heat capacity of each constituent (cement, water, fly ash, fine and coarse aggregates, etc.) and \( m_i \) refers to the mass fraction of each of those components. However, it has been demonstrated that this model is not applicable to concrete mixtures that contain phase change materials (Pomianowski et al., 2014).

The thermal conductivity is more complex to determine as it is influenced by the way the particles are arranged. Its maximum and minimum values for a two phase system (solid and fluid) with porosity \( \varepsilon \), are provided by the series and parallel phase distributions, respectively, i.e., by the harmonic and the arithmetic means. As a third option, the geometric mean model assumes a random distribution of phases (Tavman, 1996, Khan, 2002).

For materials where the porosity is large, e.g., powders, granular materials and composites materials (randomly distributed and non-interacting particles in a homogeneous medium), Maxwell’s model gives good results (Tavman, 1996).

To consider the influence of admixtures such as silica fume and fly ash on the cement paste, Demirboğa et al. (2007) provide some empirical correlations. Garboczi and Bentz (1992) provided advanced computer simulation models.

Several authors have suggested various thermal conductivity prediction models for traditional concrete mixes (Marshall, 1972, Khan, 2002). The first option was proposed by Campbell and Thorne, described in Marshall (1972) and Khan (2002):

\[
\lambda_{\text{concrete}} = \lambda_m \cdot (2M - M^2) + \frac{\lambda_m \cdot \lambda_a \cdot (1 - M)^2}{\lambda_a \cdot M + \lambda_m \cdot (1 - M)}
\]

\[M = 1 - \sqrt{1 - p}\]

where, \( p \) is the volume of mortar per unit volume of concrete, \( \lambda \) the thermal conductivity and suffixes “m” and “a” refer to mortar and aggregate, respectively.

The second option is the composite model for conduction or the Hashin-Strikman model, used in Chan (2014), Wadsö L. (2012) and Mehta and Monteiro (2006):

\[
\lambda_{\text{concrete}} = \lambda_c + \frac{1}{\frac{\lambda_d}{\lambda_c} + \frac{\nu_c}{3 \cdot \lambda_c}}
\]

where, \( \lambda_c \) and \( \lambda_d \) are the thermal conductivities of the continuous and particle phases, respectively, and where \( \nu_c \) and \( \nu_d \) are the volume of the continuous and particle phases, respectively.

Kim et al. (2003) proposed an empirical relation that yields the thermal conductivity of concrete based on the relationship as functions of aggregate volume fraction \( AG \), fine aggregate fraction \( S/A \), water-cement ratio \( W/C \), temperature \( T \) and moisture condition \( R_h \) in concrete. However, this expression requires a referenced thermal conductivity measured from specimens whose receipt is known:

\[
\lambda_{\text{concrete}} = \lambda_{\text{ref}} \cdot [0.293 + 1.01 \cdot AG] \cdot [0.8 \cdot (1.62 - 154 \cdot \left(\frac{W}{C}\right) + 0.2 \cdot R_h] \\
\cdot [1.05 - 0.0025 \cdot T] \cdot [0.86 + 0.0036 \cdot \left(\frac{S}{A}\right)]
\]
In cases where the nature of the pores within the concrete is known, Khan (2002) compared the Campbell and Thorne model’s estimations (Equation 3) to the ones obtained from models developed for porous materials, highlighting the importance of considering the porous state, specially, for mixtures where aggregate conductivity is high.

3. Thermal properties of soil

The soil samples are collected in two test sites in Denmark: one in Horsens and another in Vejle.

3.1. Langmarksvej

The test site is situated at Langmarksvej 84 (street address), 8700 Horsens, Denmark (55° 51’ 43” N, 9° 51’ 7” E), 800 m from the VIA University College campus (Figure 2). The test site was established in 2010 as part of a research collaboration between Centrum Pæle A/S, Horsens A.M.B.A. district heating company and VIA University College. After 4 years without operation, the test site is currently used in the present PhD project.

A monitoring drilling was executed 0.85 m apart from one of the pile heat exchangers on the 2/11/2015 by Franck Geoteknik A/S, using the auger drilling technique. Soil samples were collected each 0.5 m and they were kept in sealed plastic bags.

![Figure 2: The Langmarksvej test site, Langmarksvej 84, 8700 Horsens, Denmark.](image-url)
3.1.1. Soil description

A stratigraphic column was developed (Figure 3). As depicted, 5 m of fillings emerge on top of glacial clay till.

![Soil description](image)

**Figure 3:** Soil description of the samples collected each 0.5 m in the drilling executed at Langmarksvej. Legend according to DGF Bulletin 1 (Larsen, G., 1995).
3.1.2. Measurements

The samples were kept as intact as possible in sealed plastic bags and they were measured within the next 24 - 48 hours. Despite sample number 11 in Figure 3 (sand) which needed to be reconstituted to a realistic density to perform a single sided measurement (see Appendix A), the other samples were cohesive soils and a double side measurement of intact samples could be performed.

Figure 4 illustrates the depth dependence of the bulk density $\rho$ [g/cm$^3$], water content [%], thermal conductivity $\lambda_s$ [W/m/K] and volumetric heat capacity $\rho c_p$ [MJ/m$^3$/K] of the samples. The weighted average estimates over the length of the drilling give an effective thermal conductivity $\lambda_s$ of 2.30 ± 0.13 W/m/K and an effective volumetric heat capacity $\rho c_p$ of 2.61 ± 0.27 MJ/m$^3$/K.

![Stratigraphic profile at the Langmarksvej test site. Bulk density $\rho$, water content, thermal conductivity $\lambda_s$ and volumetric heat capacity $\rho c_p$ measured in the laboratory using the Hot Disk apparatus are also provided. $\rho c_p$ eff and $\lambda_s$ eff are weighted average estimates over the length of the drilling.](image.png)
3.2. Rosborg Gymnasium

The test site is located at Vestre Engvej 61, 7100 Vejle, Denmark (55° 42’ 30” N, 9° 32’ 0” E) (Figure 5). The south extension of the Rosborg Gymnasium building is founded on 200 foundation pile heat exchangers. The thermo-active foundation has supplemented the heating and free cooling requirements of the building since 2011 (4,000 m² heated area). More information about the performance of the installation can be found in Alberdi-Pagola et al. (2016). The north extension of the gymnasium complex is currently under construction. To date, the foundation, that consists of 220 energy piles, has been constructed. The monitoring drilling was bored 30 meters away from the building towards the north.

![Figure 5: The Rosborg Gymnasium building at Vestre Engvej 61, 7100 Vejle, Denmark. The south and north extensions are founded on 200 and 220 energy piles, respectively.](image)
3.2.1. Soil description

A stratigraphic column was developed (Figure 6). The piles are founded in glacial sand 5-6 m below terrain, which is overlain by postglacial, organic mud. The groundwater table is situated around 0.70 m below terrain (Dansk Geoteknik A/S, 1973, Franck Geoteknik A/S, 2013).

Figure 6: Soil description of the samples collected each 0.5 m in the drilling executed at Rosborg North.
3.2.2. Measurements

The samples were kept as intact as possible in sealed plastic bags and they were measured within the next 24 - 48 hours. Despite samples 1 to 7, which are cohesive, the rest (sand) needed to be reconstituted (repacked and compacted) to a realistic density to perform single sided measurements (see Appendix A).

Figure 7 illustrates the depth dependence of the bulk density $\rho$ [g/cm$^3$], water content [%], thermal conductivity $\lambda_s$ [W/m/K] and volumetric heat capacity $\rho c_p$ [MJ/m$^3$/K] of the samples. The weighted average estimates over the length of the drilling give an effective thermal conductivity $\lambda_e$ of $2.14 \pm 0.11$ W/m/K and an effective volumetric heat capacity $\rho c_p$ of $2.47 \pm 0.29$ MJ/m$^3$/K. The organic matter content in the peat sample (samples 7 in Figure 6) is 33%, twice the one measured in the organic clay samples (11-15%).

Figure 7: Stratigraphic profile at Rosborg Norht test site. Bulk density $\rho$, water content, thermal conductivity $\lambda_s$ and volumetric heat capacity $\rho c_p$ measured in the laboratory using the Hot Disk apparatus are also provided. $\rho c_p$ eff and $\lambda_s$ eff are weighted average estimates over the length of the drilling.
4. Thermal properties of concrete

This section aims to measure the thermal properties of the standard concrete (S3 receipt) produced at Centrum Pæle A/S. This receipt is used in the production of precast pile heat exchangers. First, the experimental characterisation of the concrete is provided. Then, the goodness of fit of thermal conductivity and heat capacity prediction models is assessed, by comparing their estimations to the measurements.

4.1. Experimental characterisation

First, the mix constituents and the test specimens are described, after, the laboratory work is summarised and the measurements shown. Later, selected prediction models are applied and to finish, both approaches are compared. The thermal expansion is not measured in this study.

4.1.1. Mix constituents and test specimens

The studied mix is the one used in the production of precast pile heat exchangers (S3 receipt). Details of mix components, laboratory conditions for casting and compressive strength results are given in Table 1. A mineralogical analysis of the aggregates has been carried out (see Appendix B). Quartz is the main component (62%) for the pit sand, while crystalline rocks lead the gravel aggregates (61%). For the determination of the thermal properties, four sliced 100 x 200 mm cylinders were used: samples S1 and S2 belong to the same batch produced the 08/04/2016 while samples S3 and S4 belong to the batch produced the 19/01/2017. Every slide had a 65 mm thickness, approximately.

Table 1: Mix components and physical properties for the S3 receipt. Average values for the two batches. The dosage is kept confidential.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components S3 receipt</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cement (CEM I 52,5, Dyckerhoff Dreifach N)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash (Type B5)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-entraining admixture (MicroAir)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasticizer (Glenium ACE 403)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pit sand 0 - 2mm</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed stone 4 - 8 mm</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pea gravel 8 - 16 mm</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical properties S3 receipt</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water/cement ratio</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air content in fresh concrete [%]</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density [kg/m$^3$]</td>
<td>2370.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength at 28 days [Mpa]</td>
<td>66.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1.2. Measurements

For each specimen the following properties were measured in the laboratory: bulk dry density $\rho_d$ [kg/m$^3$], bulk saturated density $\rho_s$ [kg/m$^3$], bulk natural density $\rho_n$ [kg/m$^3$], water content or humidity [% of weight], porosity, absorption, thermal conductivity $\lambda_c$ [W/m/K] and volumetric heat capacity $\rho_{cp_c}$ [MJ/m$^3$]/K].
The four specimens (S3 receipt) have been measured in oven dry, saturated and normally dry (ambient air) conditions. This way, the potential lower and upper limits and intermediate conditions for the thermal properties are covered. More information about these processes is provided in Appendix A. Table 2 provides the average porosity and absorption of the concrete specimens.

Table 2: Measured porosity and absorption of the concrete specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Volume of permeable voids [%]</th>
<th>Absorption [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Repeated measurements have been taken with the Hot Disk apparatus for each sample at a room temperature between 19 to 23°C for the three water content conditions (dry, saturated and normally dry), summarised in Table 3. More information about the measurements and the test are provided in Appendixes A and B.

Table 3: Summary of samples and measurements for each condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Number of measurements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Oven dry</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Normally dry</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Oven dry</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Normally dry</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Oven dry</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Normally dry</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Oven dry</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Normally dry</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The measured thermal properties of the four specimens are plotted in Figure 8. Each marker contains the average of five repeated measurements and the error bars comprise the 95% confidence interval, which account for systematic and random errors. The average values of the measurements in dry, normally dry and saturated conditions of the four specimens are summarised in Table 4 and illustrated in Figure 9. The measured values are in accordance with the values reported in Neville (1995).
Figure 8: Measured thermal properties of S3 receipt concrete with the transient plane source method. The error bars indicate the 95% confidence level.

Due to a change in humidity of 3.7% (saturated conditions), the thermal conductivity of the dry concrete decreases up to 16% while the volumetric heat capacity decreases 28%. It is a considerable change, considering the low modification in humidity.

Table 4: Summary of mean values of the thermal property measurements and their uncertainties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample condition</th>
<th>Density $\rho$ [kg/m³]</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity $\lambda_c$ [W/m/K]</th>
<th>Volumetric heat capacity $\rho_{cpc}$ [MJ/m³/K]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oven dry</td>
<td>2318 ± 8</td>
<td>2.30 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.69 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normally dry</td>
<td>2370 ± 16</td>
<td>2.69 ± 0.18</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td>2405 ± 9</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.15</td>
<td>2.37 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average values for 4 specimens:

Volume of permeable voids = 8.8 ± 0.8%; Absorption = 3.7 ± 0.3% (ASTM C642-13)
Figure 9: Average of measured thermal properties of S3 receipt concrete with the transient plane source method. The error bars indicate the 95% confidence level. Average values for 4 specimens, according to ASTM C642-13: volume of permeable voids: 8.6 ± 0.8%; absorption = 3.7 ± 0.3%.

Pomianowski M. (personal communication, January 2017) suggests that the drying process of the concrete could take months and, therefore, the results reported in this study might not have been measured in completely dry conditions.
4.2. Application of prediction models of thermal properties

Campbell-Thorne’s model (Equation 3) and the Hashin-Strikman’s model (Equation 4) have been selected, as they have been considered more suitable since the pore nature (connections and shapes) is unknown in the studied concrete. For the volumetric heat capacity, the model of mixing theory is applied.

The use of prediction models requires to know the materials compounding the concrete. A mineralogical analysis of the aggregates has been done (Appendix C) and the main components have been identified for each aggregate. The continuous phase is defined as the cement paste and fly ash while the particle phase involved all the aggregates (the air content was neglected). The thermal properties considered for each of the components are given in Table 5. These values are chosen from the literature (Appendix B).

Table 5: Thermal properties of the concrete components assumed for the prediction models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Density $\rho$ [kg/m$^3$]</th>
<th>Mass fraction</th>
<th>Volume fraction</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity $\lambda$ [W/m/K]</th>
<th>Volumetric heat capacity $\rho c_p$ [MJ/m$^3$/K]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pit sand, 0/2 mm, main comp. quartz (62 %)</td>
<td>2640</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6.00 (Saturated state) 5.00 (Dry state)</td>
<td>2.10 (Saturated state) 2.00 (Dry state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed stone, 4/8mm, main comp. crystalline (61 %)</td>
<td>2660</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.60 (Saturated state) 3.00 (Dry state)</td>
<td>2.20 (Saturated state) 2.00 (Dry state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pea gravel, 8/16mm, main comp. crystalline (61 %)</td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.60 (Saturated state) 3.00 (Dry state)</td>
<td>2.20 (Saturated state) 2.00 (Dry state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.20 (Saturated state) 1.20 (Dry state)</td>
<td>2.65 (Saturated state) 2.65 (Dry state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash (Bentz et al., 2011 &amp; Kim et al., 2003)</td>
<td>2380</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.89 (Saturated state) 0.89 (Dry state)</td>
<td>1.71 (Saturated state) 1.71 (Dry state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.58 (Saturated state) 0.58 (Dry state)</td>
<td>4.19 (Saturated state) 2.20 (Dry state)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-entraining admixture (MicroAir)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (Saturated state) - (Dry state)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasticizer (Glenium ACE 403)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (Saturated state) - (Dry state)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air (3%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- (Saturated state) - (Dry state)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3. Discussion

As shown in Figure 10, the prediction models overestimate the measurements for dry conditions while they target very close the thermal properties in saturated conditions, as concluded by Khan (2002). Porous media approaches are recommended to get more information about the pore nature. The measured values are in accordance with the values reported in Neville (1995). However, the measurements seem very sensitive to the humidity content of the samples.
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Figure 10: Predicted thermal properties of concrete against experimentally obtained values a) volumetric heat capacity $\rho_c$ and b) thermal conductivity $\lambda$.

5. Conclusion

Pile heat exchangers, also known as energy piles, are thermally active building foundation elements with embedded geothermal pipes fixed to the steel reinforcement in which a circulating fluid exchanges heat with the pile and the surrounding soil. As such, the foundation of the building both serves as a structural component and a heating/cooling supply element. The precast piles act as heat exchangers and, therefore, the thermal properties of the concrete and the surrounding soil highly influence the heat transfer phenomena within the pile-soil system.

This document measures the thermal properties of the soil from two locations and the standard concrete (S3 receipt) produced at Centrum Pæle A/S by means of the Hot Disk apparatus (transient plane source method), following Dansk Standard (2015).

The measurements of the soil specimens are in agreement with the ranges proposed in VDI (2010) and Abu-Hamdeh (2003). And the measurements for the concrete specimens are in accordance with the values reported in Neville (1995). However, the measurements seem very sensitive to the humidity content of the samples. A further comparison with prediction models of thermal properties has been done for the concrete samples. These models closely reproduce the thermal properties in saturated conditions but they differ in dry conditions, due to the complexity of considering the nature of pores.

Future research on the thermal properties of concrete should focus on: i) performing more measurements at different water contents and with different mixes to establish empirical rules to compute the thermal properties in terms of the bulk density. ii) Further study the nature of the pores of the concrete and analyse the validity of more complex prediction models, such as the ones recommended in Khan (2002). Regarding soil thermal properties, due to the fact that non-cohesive soils are harder to measure, unified measurement protocols should be developed, putting together the experience from several laboratories, so that the measured values are comparable.
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8. Appendices

A) Soils

This appendix provides pictures of the measurement process of soil thermal properties and information about the measurement parameters.

The cohesive samples were halved and in undisturbed conditions (Figures 11 and 12).

Figure 11: a) Halved moraine clay sample. b) Hot Disk kapton sensor ready to be placed between two specimens of soil. c) On-going Hot Disk testing, two-side measurement of a moraine clay sample (depth 8.5 m at Langmarskvej test site).

Figure 12: a) Hot Disk kapton sensor (15 mm in diameter) ready to be placed between two specimens of a silty sand sample. b) On-going Hot Disk testing, two-side measurement of the silty sand sample (depth 1.0 m at Rosborg North site).
Regarding non-cohesive samples, the sand was repacked into small containers to allow the single sided measurement procedure (Figure 13). The non-cohesive sample was taken off the sealed bag and placed into the containers layer by layer (1.5 cm approx.), compacting them with a hammer until the water (natural moist content) emerged to the surface.

Table 6 shows the parameters and sensors used for the measurement of different type of soils.

**Table 6: Summary of recommended experimental parameters for different soils based on measurements performed at the GeoLab at VIA University College, Horsens, DK, for the present study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>Plastic clay</th>
<th>Clay till</th>
<th>Silty sand</th>
<th>Organic clay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity [W/m/K]</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal diffusivity [mm²/s]</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature increase [K]</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor to use: Name/radius [mm]</td>
<td>8563 / 10</td>
<td>5501 / 6.5</td>
<td>5501 / 6.5</td>
<td>5501 / 6.5</td>
<td>5501 / 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen thickness [mm]</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen diameter [mm]</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement time [s]</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40, 80</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating Power [mW]</td>
<td>400, 800</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>250, 300</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B) Concrete

This appendix provides pictures of the measurement process of concrete thermal properties and gives information about the measurement parameters, the drying and saturating processes and the mineralogical analysis.

Measurement process and parameters

The concrete samples were halved and measured as shown in Figure 14.

![Figure 14: a) Hot Disk kapton sensor (15 mm in diameter) ready to be placed between two specimens of concrete. b) On-going Hot Disk testing, two-side measurement of a concrete sample.](image)

Table 7 shows the parameters and sensors used for the measurement of concrete samples.

Table 7: Summary of recommended experimental parameters for concrete specimens based on measurements performed at the GeoLab at VIA University College, Horsens, DK, for the present study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sample</th>
<th>Concrete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity [W/m/K]</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal diffusivity [mm²/s]</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature increase [K]</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensor to use: Name/radius [mm]</td>
<td>4922/14.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen thickness [mm]</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen diameter [mm]</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement time [s]</td>
<td>80, 160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heating Power [mW]</td>
<td>1000, 1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oven drying and saturating concrete specimens
First, the drying in the oven was performed. After 48 hours of drying, the lost in humidity was below 0.2% from day 2 to 3 (Figure 15).

![Weight evolution over oven-drying process for each specimen. Concrete drying at 105 °C.](image)

Second, to measure the porosity and absorption of the concrete the following standard was followed ASTM C 642: 1975 (ASTM Standards, 2013). The specimens were vacuumed in a desiccator instead of boiled (Figure 16). Once saturated, the second round of measurements was made.

![Desiccator.](image)

Measuring relative humidity in GeoLab and determining water content of normally dried specimens
The specimens, after saturation, were kept in the GeoLab at VIA University College for some days in order to normally dry them. The relative humidity and the temperature has been monitored over 2 days (Figure 17). The average temperature is 21 °C while the relative humidity is 40.5 %. According to Hansen (1986) in pp- 41, a concrete with a water-cement ratio of 40 at 20 °C the hygroscopic content at a relative humidity of 40 % is around 1.2 weight %. In this study it has been measured as 2.1%.
Thermal property measurements of soil and concrete samples

Figure 17: temperature and relative humidity measurements in the GeoLab at VIA University College in Horsens (DK).

Mineralogical analysis

The three types of aggregate have been analysed, prior cleaning and drying. For the Sand 0 – 2 mm, the fraction bigger than 1 mm has been analysed. Table 8 shows the composition of the sample. The main component in the pit sand is quartz.

Table 8: Mineralogical analysis of sand 0-2 mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number of grains</th>
<th>Composition [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystalline</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flint</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the Gravel 4 – 8 mm, Table 9 shows the composition of the sample. The main components in the crushed stone are crystalline rocks, such as granite.

Table 9: Mineralogical analysis of sand 4-8 mm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number of grains</th>
<th>Composition [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystalline</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flint</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the gravel 8-16 mm the same mineralogy as for gravel 4-8 mm has been assumed.
Thermal property values from literature

The reference values for the thermal properties have been obtained from VDI (2010) and they are summarised in Table 10. These values are used for the prediction models.

Table 10: Thermal property values considered for prediction models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of rock</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity [W/m/K]</th>
<th>Volumetric heat capacity [MJ/m³/K]</th>
<th>Density [kg/m³]</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartzite</td>
<td>5.0 - 6.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2500 - 2700</td>
<td>VDI 4640 (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystalline</td>
<td>1.9 - 4.6</td>
<td>1.8 - 2.6</td>
<td>2500 - 2700</td>
<td>VDI 4640 (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flint</td>
<td>4.5 - 5.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2500 - 2700</td>
<td>VDI 4640 (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>2.1 - 4.1</td>
<td>2.1 - 3.0</td>
<td>2200 - 2700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable rock</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>