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Sequence-Impedance-Based Stability Comparison between VSGs and Traditional Grid-Connected Inverters
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Abstract—Traditional grid-connected inverters (TGCI) could suffer from small-signal instability owing to the dynamic interactions among inverters and a weak grid. In this letter, the small-signal sequence impedance model of the virtual synchronous generator (VSG) is built, and the sequence impedance characteristics of the VSG and the TGCI are compared and analyzed. The sequence impedance of the TGCI is mainly capacitive in the middle-frequency area, and the impedance amplitude is quite high. By contrast, the sequence impedance of the VSG, being consistent with the grid impedance characteristics, is generally inductive, and the impedance amplitude is quite low. Based on the sequence impedance model and the Nyquist stability criterion, the influence of the grid stiffness, number of paralleled inverters, and phase-locked loop (PLL) bandwidth on the stability of the VSG and the TGCI grid-connected system is analyzed. The stability analysis results show that the TGCI loses stability easily whereas the VSG still works well without PLL restrictions under an ultra-weak grid or with a large number of inverters connected to the grid. Therefore, the VSG is more suitable than the TGCI for achieving high penetration of renewable energy generation in an ultra-weak grid from a system stability viewpoint. Finally, experimental results validate the sequence impedance model and the stability analysis.

Index Terms—Virtual synchronous generator; harmonic oscillation; sequence impedance modeling; ultra-weak grid.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, renewable energy generation has developed rapidly owing to increasing fossil fuel shortage and environmental pollution. At present, renewable energy generation is mainly located in remote areas such as deserts, mountainous regions, and islands. Therefore, it is weakly connected to the grid with high impedance [1]. A traditional grid-connected inverter (TGCI) often adopts output current feed-forward decoupling control [2] in which the inverter is controlled as a current source. TGCIs can cause small-signal instability problems owing to the dynamic interaction among inverters and the weak grid [2]. As the number of inverters increases, this problem becomes more serious, thus hindering the large-scale development and application of renewable energy generation.

The impedance-based approach is widely used to analyze the interaction stability of TGCIs [2]-[3]. In [2], an output impedance model was built for a grid-connected inverter in synchronous rotating reference frames (SRRFs), and the influence of the phase-locked loop (PLL) on system stability was studied. The larger the PLL bandwidth, the faster is the system dynamic response but the worse is the system stability. In [3], a sequence impedance model was built for a grid-connected inverter in stationary reference frames (SRFs). The sequence impedance is capacitive in the middle-frequency area and tends to couple with the grid inductance, thus leading to instability. To mitigate the instability of the grid-connected inverter system, the PLL bandwidth should be lowered [4] or active damping control should be used to reshape the inverter impedance [5]. Other studies analyzed the stability of power synchronization control [6] and advanced vector control [7]. These were demonstrated to be excellent control methods for inverters connected to a weak grid.

The virtual synchronous generator (VSG) imitates the external characteristics of a synchronous generator and provides the necessary frequency and voltage support for a weak grid [8]-[13]. Its output characteristic is equivalent to that of the voltage source; this is essentially different from TGCI. A small-signal model for the power loop of a VSG was established, and a step-by-step parameter design method that considered the stability and dynamic performance of the VSG was proposed [10]-[11]. However, studies have not yet examined the interaction stability of the VSG and the weak grid. In [12]-[13], a small-signal time-domain state space model of the VSG was built in SRRFs. However, the VSG can be controlled either in SRRFs [12] or in SRFs [8]. When the VSG is controlled in SRFs, its output voltage and current are alternating time variables with no dc operation point. Therefore, it is difficult to apply the traditional time-domain linearization method to the VSG controlled in SRFs. If SRRFs are fabricated for traditional small-signal linearization modeling, the physical meaning of the impedance model will become confusing.

The premise that the VSG can actively support the grid is based on the fact that the VSG can operate steadily. In this letter, first, the sequence impedance model of the VSG in SRFs is built by using the harmonic linearization method, and the impedance characteristics of the VSG and TGCI are compared and analyzed. Then, the influence of different parameters on the stability of the VSG and TGCI is studied. From a system stability viewpoint, the VSG is shown to be more preferable than the TGCI in an ultra-weak grid or in high penetration of renewable energy generation. Finally, the experimental results validate the sequence impedance model and stability analysis.

II. TOPOLOGY AND CONTROL SCHEME OF THE VSG

Fig. 1 shows the topology and control scheme of the VSG [8]. \( V_{dc} \) is the dc-side voltage of the VSG, and it can be regarded as a
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constant value; $e_a$, $e_b$, and $e_c$ are the inner electric potentials of the VSG; $i_a$, $i_b$, and $i_c$ are the output currents of the VSG; $v_{ac}$, $v_{bd}$, and $v_{ce}$ are the output terminal voltages of the VSG; $L_e$, $C_e$, and $R_I$ are the filter inductance, filter capacitance, and damping resistance, respectively; $L_R$ and $R_R$ are the equivalent inductance and resistance of the grid, respectively; $R_{line}$ and $L_{line}$ are the resistance and inductance of line between the VSG and the point of common coupling (PCC), respectively; and $Z_{eg}(s)$ and $Z_{g}(s)$ are the positive- and negative-sequence impedance of the grid, respectively. Because the filter capacitance is not included in the VSG control system, it can be considered to be a part of the VSG or a part of the grid for impedance-based stability analysis. For simplifying the analysis, we define $Z_{eg}(s) = Z_{g}(s) = (R_L + sL_L + R_{line} + sL_{line})/(R_I + 1/sC_I)$ for a single VSG connected to the PCC. When multiple VSGs are connected to the PCC, $Z_{eg}(s) = Z_{g}(s) = (R_L + sL_L)$. The modulation wave of the VSG is determined by the active and reactive power controllers as follows:

$$P_c = 1.5(v_{ac}i_a + v_{bd}i_b)$$

$$Q_c = 1.5(v_{bd}i_b - v_{ce}i_c)$$  (1)

The modulation wave of the VSG is determined by the active and reactive power controllers as follows:

$$e_{ma} = \sqrt{2}E_m \cos \theta$$

$$e_{mb} = \sqrt{2}E_m \cos(\theta - 2\pi/3)$$

$$e_{mc} = \sqrt{2}E_m \cos(\theta + 2\pi/3)$$  (2)

where $E_m$ and $\theta$ are the RMS and phase angle of the three-phase modulation wave, respectively.

III. SEQUENCE IMPEDANCE MODELING AND IMPEDANCE CHARACTERISTICS ANALYSIS OF THE VSG

The VSG is controlled in SRFs, and therefore, it cannot be modeled in SRFs for traditional small-signal linearization. In this letter, the positive- and negative-sequence output impedance models of the VSG are built by applying the harmonic linearization method. In the time-domain, after adding small-signal perturbations, the phase A output voltage and output current of the VSG are as follows:

$$v_{ac}(t) = V_i \cos(2\pi ft) + V_p \cos(2\pi f_p t + \phi_{v,p}) + V_n \cos(2\pi f_n t + \phi_{v,n})$$

$$i_a(t) = I_i \cos(2\pi ft + \phi_{i,i}) + I_p \cos(2\pi f_p t + \phi_{i,p}) + I_n \cos(2\pi f_n t + \phi_{i,n})$$  (3)

where $V_i$, $V_p$, and $V_n$ are the amplitude of the fundamental voltage, positive-sequence voltage perturbation, and negative-sequence voltage perturbation, respectively; $I_i$, $I_p$, and $I_n$ are the amplitude of the fundamental current, positive-sequence current response, and negative-sequence current response, respectively; $\phi_{v,i}$ and $\phi_{v,n}$ are the initial phase angle of the positive-sequence and negative-sequence voltage perturbation, respectively; $\phi_{i,i}$, $\phi_{i,p}$, and $\phi_{i,n}$ are the initial phase angle of the fundamental current, positive-sequence current response, and negative-sequence current response, respectively.

In the frequency-domain, $v_a$ and $i_a$ can be described as follows:

$$v_a(f) = \begin{bmatrix} V_i & f = \pm f_i \\ V_p & f = \pm f_p \\ V_n & f = \pm f_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$i_a(f) = \begin{bmatrix} I_i & f = \pm f_i \\ I_p & f = \pm f_p \\ I_n & f = \pm f_n \end{bmatrix}$$  (5)

By substituting (7) and (8) into (1) and applying the frequency-domain convolution theorem, the expression of the active power in the frequency-domain can be obtained as follows:

$$P_c[f] = 3[V_i^* I_i + V_p^* I_p + V_n^* I_n + V_i I_n^* + V_p I_p^* + V_n I_i^*]$$

$$P_c[f] = 3[V_i^* I_p + V_p^* I_i + V_n^* I_n + V_i I_n^* + V_p I_p^* + V_n I_i^*]$$  (6)

where $*$ denotes complex conjugation.

According to the active power controller of the VSG in Fig. 1, the expression of $\theta$ can be obtained as follows:

$$\theta = M(s)\omega_{nom}D_{dam} + P_{act}/\omega_{nom} - P_{act}/\omega_{nom}$$  (10)

where $M(s) = 1/(J_s^2 + D_{dam})$, $J$ is the virtual moment of inertia, $\omega_{nom}$ is the rated angular frequency, $D_{dam}$ is the damping coefficient, and $P_{act}$ is the active power reference.
The phase angle perturbation $\Delta \theta$ is introduced into the phase angle $\theta$ of the three-phase modulation wave of the VSG, that is, $\theta = \theta_1 + \Delta \theta$. $\theta_1$ is the phase angle of the fundamental voltage. According to (11), the expression of $\Delta \theta$ in the frequency-domain is as follows:

$$ \Delta \theta[f] = \begin{cases} 
-3M(s)(V_1 I_p + V_1 I_i)/\omega_{\text{nom}}, & f = \pm(f_p - f_1) \\
-3M(s)(V_1 I_h + V_1 I_i)/\omega_{\text{nom}}, & f = \pm(f_h + f_1) 
\end{cases} $$

(11)

Because $\Delta \theta$ is a small perturbation, $\cos \theta$ can be obtained as $\cos \theta (\theta_1 + \Delta \theta) \approx \cos \theta_1 - \Delta \theta \sin \theta_1$ (13)

Based on (12), (13), and the frequency-domain convolution theorem and ignoring the nonlinear coupling at $\pm(f_p - 2f_1)$ and $\pm(f_h - 2f_1)$, the relationship among the voltage perturbation, current perturbation, and $\sin \theta$ is given by:

$$ \cos \theta[f] = \begin{cases} 
-1.5e^{-j\varphi_{\text{vp}}} M(s \pm j2\pi f_1)(V_1 I_p + V_1 I_i)/\omega_{\text{nom}}, & f = \pm f_p \\
-1.5e^{-j\varphi_{\text{vp}}} M(s \pm j2\pi f_1)(V_1 I_h + V_1 I_i)/\omega_{\text{nom}}, & f = \pm f_h 
\end{cases} $$

(14)

where $\varphi_{\text{vp}} = \arcsin(P_e/\omega_{\text{nom}}/V_m v') + \pi/2$; $\arcsin(P_e/\omega_{\text{nom}}/V_m v')$ is the voltage-angle of the VSG.

The amplitude of the fundamental wave in $\cos \theta[f]$ is much smaller than the amplitude of the dc component in $\sqrt{2}E_{\text{mod}}[f]$ (a difference of two orders of magnitude). Therefore, the output of the reactive power controller of the VSG can be assumed to be constant in the steady state for small-signal modeling. Based on (2) and (14) and considering the influence of the sampling delay, PWM delay, and low-pass filter, the frequency-domain expression of $e_{\text{msa}}$ can be obtained as follows:

$$ e_{\text{msa}}[f] = \begin{cases} 
-1.5K(s)e^{j\varphi_{\text{vp}}} M(s \pm j2\pi f_1)(V_1 I_p + V_1 I_i)/\omega_{\text{nom}}, & f = \pm f_p \\
-1.5K(s)e^{j\varphi_{\text{vp}}} M(s \pm j2\pi f_1)(V_1 I_h + V_1 I_i)/\omega_{\text{nom}}, & f = \pm f_h 
\end{cases} $$

(15)

where $K(s) = \sqrt{2}E_{\text{mod}}e^{-j\varphi_{\text{vp}}}/[1 + \omega_0(1 + s/\omega_0)]; \omega_0$ and $\omega_0$ are the cut-off angular frequency of the low-pass filter for the voltage and current signal, respectively, and $T$ is the switching period.

By substituting (15) into (6), the positive- and negative-sequence impedances of the VSG can be obtained as follows:

$$ Z_{\text{vsg},p}(s) = V_p(s)/I_p(s) = 0.75I_p M(s \pm j2\pi f_1)K(s)e^{j\varphi_{\text{vp}}}/\omega_{\text{nom}} + sL_i $$

(16)

$$ Z_{\text{vsg},n}(s) = V_n(s)/I_n(s) = 0.75I_n M(s \pm j2\pi f_1)K(s)e^{j\varphi_{\text{vp}}}/\omega_{\text{nom}} + sL_i $$

(17)

Table I shows the system parameters of the VSG; the control parameters of the VSG are designed with reference to [10]. Fig. 2 shows the frequency response characteristics of the positive- and negative-sequence impedances of the VSG and their simulation measurement results. This figure indicates that the impedance measurement results show good agreement with the built impedance model, thus validating the VSG sequence impedance modeling.

The TGCI usually adopts output current feed-forward decoupling control in SRFs [3]; the detailed control block diagram and control parameters are shown in Fig. 11 in the Appendix. The sequence impedance model of the TGCI was reported in [3]. Fig. 3 shows the frequency response characteristics of the positive- and negative-sequence impedances of the TGCI and their simulation measurement results. In Fig. 3, $Z_{\text{tgci},p}(s)$ and $Z_{\text{tgci},n}(s)$ are the positive- and negative-sequence impedance of the TGCI, respectively.

From Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, the sequence impedance characteristics of the VSG and TGCI are compared as follows:

(1) In the low- and middle-frequency areas, the impedance amplitude of the VSG is far lower than that of the TGCI. This is because the VSG is controlled as a voltage source, and the equivalent output impedance is small. By contrast, the TGCI is controlled as a current source, and the equivalent output impedance is large.

(2) The sequence impedance of the VSG is basically inductive because the VSG has the external characteristics of a synchronous generator. In the low- and middle-frequency areas, the sequence impedance of the VSG is generally consistent with the impedance characteristic of the grid. By contrast, in the middle-frequency area, the sequence impedance of the TGCI is mainly capacitive, making it easy to couple with the grid inductance and thereby cause instability.

### Table I. System Parameters of the VSG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{m}}$</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>$I_{\text{msa}}$</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{rms}}$</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>$E_{\text{mod}}$</td>
<td>$f_1$/Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{\text{rms}}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$P_{\text{msa}}$/kW</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{ps}}/\mu\text{F}$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$Q_{\text{msa}}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{ps}}/\Omega$</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$D_{\text{msa}}$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{\text{ps}}/\mu\text{H}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$Q_{\text{msa}}$</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{\text{ps}}/\Omega$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\omega_0$/(rad/s)</td>
<td>2x4000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I shows the system parameters of the VSG; the control parameters of the VSG are designed with reference to [10]. Fig. 2 shows the frequency response characteristics of the positive- and negative-sequence impedances of the VSG and their simulation measurement results. This figure indicates that the impedance measurement results show good agreement with the built impedance model, thus validating the VSG sequence impedance modeling.
stable. According to (18), whether the VSG-grid system is stable, that is, SCR = TGCIs and the PLL bandwidth, respectively. From Fig. 5(a), when Fig. 5(b), the Nyquist plot moves toward the left with increasing cal point (−1, j0) and the system is more unstable. When SCR ≤ 4, the grid is weaker, the Nyquist plots more easily encircle the criti-

When number of TGCIs, indicating that the system stability deteriorates.

The analysis in Fig. 5 indicates that the TGCI shows poor adaptability to a weak grid and that the system stability is greatly influenced by the number of paralleled TGCI and the PLL band-

width. Therefore, the TGCI is difficult to achieve high penetration renewable energy generation in a weak grid from a system stability viewpoint.

Fig. 6 shows the Nyquist plots of the impedance ratios IR_{vsg}(s) and IR_{vsg}(s) of the VSG with different parameters. IR_{vsg}(s) has two poles in the RHP, and IR_{vsg}(s) has no poles in the RHP. Therefore, when the system is stable, the Nyquist plots of IR_{vsg}(s) should cross the negative real axis at the right side of (−1, j0) once in the counterclockwise direction and those of IR_{vsg}(s) cannot encircle (−1, j0). From Fig. 6, irrespective of whether the grid becomes weaker or the number of VSGs increases, the Nyquist plots of IR_{vsg}(s) cross the negative real axis at the right side of (−1, j0) once in the counterclockwise direction and those of IR_{vsg}(s) do not encircle (−1, j0). Therefore, VSG is more adaptable to a weak grid, and the system remains stable when the VSG penetration is high. In addition, the VSG, like a synchronous generator, does not need the PLL and consequently cannot be affected by the PLL. Therefore, the VSG can effectively solve stability issues, making it suitable for achieving high penetration of renewable energy generation in an ultra-weak grid (SCR ≤ 2) from a system stability viewpoint.

**V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

To validate the sequence impedance model of the VSG and the stability analysis, experimental platforms for an impedance measurement system and a grid-connected renewable energy generation system are built, as shown in Fig. 7. The impedance measurement system shown in Fig. 7(a) uses a programmable ac source (Chroma 61611) to inject the voltage perturbation. Because of the limit of the output ac voltage frequency and the difficulty in extracting the disturbance signal near the fundamental frequency, the range of impedance measurements in the experiment is 15–45 Hz and 55 Hz to 1.5 kHz. In Fig. 7(b), VSGs and traditional grid-connected inverters share the same hardware circuit. Their control schemes are implemented in TI DSP TMS320F2812. All inverters are connected to the PCC. The experimental parameters are the same as those in the previous analysis.
In this letter, the small-signal sequence impedance model of the VSG is built. By using the impedance-based approach, the grid-connected stability of the VSG and the TGCI is compared. The sequence impedance of the VSG is basically inductive; this is consistent with the impedance characteristics of the inductive grid. Under an ultra-weak grid or high penetration of renewable energy generation, the VSG grid-connected system can still run stably without PLL restrictions. From a system stability viewpoint, the VSG is more suitable than the TGCI for achieving high penetration of renewable energy generation in an ultra-weak grid.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

TGCIs and VSGs with different parameters. When SCR is decreased from 11 to 4, the TGCI oscillates; however, when SCR is further decreased to 1, the VSG still operates stably. When the number of TGCIs is increased from one to two, the system begins to oscillate; however, when the number of VSGs is increased to three, the VSG does not oscillate. When the PLL bandwidth of the TGCI is increased to 400 Hz, the system oscillates. Therefore, the VSG shows better grid-connected stability than the TGCI.

APPENDIX

The typical control method of the TGCI is shown in Fig. 11. The control parameters of the TGCI are shown in Table II.

![Fig. 7 Experimental platform. (a) Test system for ac impedance measurement; (b) Grid-connected renewable energy generation with three inverters.](image1)

![Fig. 8 Experimental results of sequence impedance measurement for the VSG. (a) Measurement results of \( Z_{vsg,p}(s) \); (b) Measurement results of \( Z_{vsg,n}(s) \).](image2)

![Fig. 9 Experimental results of TGCIs under different conditions. (a) SCR is decreased from 11 to 4; (b) \( \text{Num} \) is increased from 1 to 2; (c) \( BW_{PLL} \) is increased from 20 to 400 Hz.](image3)

![Fig. 10 Experimental results of VSGs under different conditions. (a) SCR is decreased from 11 to 4; (b) SCR is decreased from 4 to 1; (c) \( \text{Num} \) is increased from 1 to 2; (d) \( \text{Num} \) is increased from 2 to 3.](image4)
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