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Summary

Recently much attention has been paid to the behavior of volatile organic chemicals (VOCs) in the environment. This is due to the fact that the environmental pollution with these hazardous chemicals has drastically increased during the last decades. The present study is limited to consider the transport and fate of VOCs in the gaseous phase, thus contributing to the overall understanding of VOCs behavior in soil, which eventually will facilitate future cleanup.

The diffusion and adsorption of VOCs were studied in several laboratory experiments on different homogeneous soils. The effects of differences in soil-texture, and soil-water content on adsorption, manifested in the vapor/solid partition coefficient, $K_{0'}$, were investigated in batch experiments for trichloroethylene (TCE) and toluene using the EPICS (Equilibrium Partitioning in Closed Systems) method. For low relative vapor pressures it was found that $K_{0'}$-values for wet soils (> four molecular layers of water) were consistent with values predicted by Henry's constant ($K_H$) and the aqueous/solid partition coefficient, $K_0$. Also under wet conditions $K_{0'}$ was linearly related to soil-water content, $w$. In dry soils (< four molecular layers of water) sorption increased by up to several orders of magnitude, and $K_{0'}$ became highly non-linearly related to soil-water content. A one parameter, exponential model was developed, which described well the log $K_{0'}$-w curve in the non-linear region. Use of this model can reduce the number of experiments necessary to obtain the $K_{0'}$-w relationship for a given soil. The model can be incorporated into existing numerical VOC transport models.

For the whole range of vapor pressures the applicability of the multicomponent BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) model to describe adsorption of VOC vapors in the presence of water on soils was investigated. Adsorption isotherms were obtained by using both the EPICS method (for low vapor pressures) and a High Vacuum Technique (for higher vapor pressures). For relative humidities (RH) corresponding to less than one molecular layer of water coverage and at low vapor pressures the model underpredicted the adsorbed amounts of VOC in binary mixtures in which only water and one VOC was present. At RHs corresponding to between one and two molecular layers of water coverage, model predictions compared well with experimental data. At RHs corresponding to about two layers of water, the model overpredicted the adsorbed amounts.
Diffusion, with simultaneous adsorption, of TCE, toluene and freon$_{12}$ was measured on packed soil cores over a range of constant soil-water contents. Vapor retardation factors, calculated from vapor partition coefficients, along with the measured diffusion allowed a calculation of diffusion coefficients, $D_p$, for the three chemicals. $D_p/D_0$ (diffusion coefficient in soil divided by diffusion coefficient in free air) values for the VOCs, TCE and toluene, agreed very well with values for the non-reactive tracer freon$_{12}$ for higher soil-water contents. Only values obtained for air-dry soil were under-predicted. Hence in most cases considering transient diffusion of VOC gases, it is reasonable to use simple equilibrium partition theory to account for the adsorption. The experimental work for determination of effective diffusion of reactive tracers can be limited to the determination of $D_p/D_0\cdot \varepsilon$ relations ($\varepsilon$ is the volumetric soil-air content) for a non-reactive tracer and measurements of partition coefficients for the reactive tracers.

Diffusion, adsorption and emissions of TCE gas were also measured in column experiments with fluctuating soil-water contents. Decrease in soil-water contents resulted in an increase in the soil adsorption capacity up to several orders of magnitude. Both increase and decrease in soil-water content had an effect on the volatilization flux, but not as significant as expected. This could be explained by the fact that water vapor constantly was transported upwards from more wet soil layers. Another reason could be a constant very high flux of gaseous VOCs that was applied from the bottom of the soil column. This flux constantly maintained all adsorption sites occupied. The volatilization flux was highly influenced by changes in temperature.

The time-domain reflectometry (TDR) technique was used to determine the changes in soil-water content in the column experiments mentioned above. Small TDR-probes which could be placed close to each other and close to the soil-surface were developed. The effect of different diameters, spacings and lengths of probe rods was examined. The volume of soil that influences the measurement was found to be highly dependent on the spacing of the rods and not so much on the rod diameter. For rod spacings of 1, 2, and 5 cm, measurements could accurately be made as close to the soil surface as 1, 1.5, and 2 cm, respectively. The data was used to successfully validate a newly proposed model by Knight et al. (1994) which describe the spatial sensitivity of TDR.
Dansk resumé (Danish summary)

I de senere år er man blevet mere og mere interesseret i, hvorledes flygtige organiske kemikalier (VOCer) opfølger sig efter de er blevet udledt i vort miljø. Dette er begrundet i, at forurenningen med disse farlige stoffer drastisk er steget gennem de sidste år. Det foreliggende studium er afgrænset til at omhandle transport og jordfysiske reaktioner af VOCer i gasfasen. Dette vil forhåbentlig kunne bidrage til den overordnede forståelse af VOCers opførsel i jord, og dermed være med til at lette de fremtidige oprensningsforanstaltninger.

Diffusion og adsorption af VOCer blev studeret i adskillige laboratorieforsøg på forskellige homogene jorde. Adsorptionens (udtrykt ved ligevægtskonstanter, \(K_0'\)) afhængighed af ænderinger i jordtekstur og vandindhold blev undersøgt i forskellige "batch"-eksperimenter for trichlorethylen (TCE) og toluen, vha EPICS (Equilibrium Partitioning in Closed Systems) metoden. For lave damptryk kunne det konkluderes, at \(K_0'\)-værdier for vade jorde (\(>\) fire molekylelag vand) stemte overens med værdier bestemt vha Henry's konstant (\(K_H\)) og vand/stof ligevægtskonstanter, \(K_0\). Ligeledes i våd jord viste det sig, at der var en lineær sammenhæng mellem \(K_0'\) og jordens vandindhold, \(w\). I tør jord (\(<\) fire molekylelag vand) steg adsorptionen med op til flere størrelsesordiner og sammenhængen mellem \(K_0'\) og \(w\) fik en kraftig ikke-lineær karakter. Der blev udviklet en en-parameter model, som var i stand til at beskrive log \(K_0'\)-\(w\) kurven i det ikke-lineære område. Brug af denne model vil kunne reducere antallet af eksperimenter, som er nødvendige for at kunne fastlægge sammenhængen mellem \(K_0'\) og \(w\) for en given jord. Modellen foreslåes indbygget i eksisterende numeriske VOC transportmodeller.

Diffusion og samtidig adsorption af TCE, toluen og freon 12 blev målt på pakkede jordsøjler ved forskellige konstante vandindhold. Tilbageholdelsesfaktorer for gas (beregnet via gasligevægtskonstanter), sammen med den målte diffusion muliggjorde en beregning af diffusionkoefficierter, $D_p$, for de tre kemikalier. Værdier af $D_p/D_o$ (diffusionskoefficient i jord divideret med diffusionskoefficient i fri luft) for TCE og toluen, stemte for højere vandindhold godt overens med værdier bestemt for den ikke-reaktive "tracer" freon 12. Kun værdier for luftført jord var underestimerede. Man kan derfor i langt de fleste tilfælde af transient diffusion af VOC gasser, anvende simpel ligevægtskoefficientsteori til at tage højde for adsorptionen. Ligeledes kan det eksperimentielle arbejde forbundet med beskrivelsen af diffusionen af reaktive "tracer" begrænse til en bestemmelse af $D_p/D_o$-forholdet ($\epsilon$ er jordens volumetriske luftindhold) for en ikke-reaktiv "tracer" suppleret med målinger af ligevægtskonstanter for de reaktive tracere.


Time-domain reflectometry (TDR) teknikken blev brugt til at bestemme ændringerne i jordens vandindhold i søjlerforøgne nævnt ovenfor. Der blev udviklet små mini TDR-prober som kunne placeres tæt på hinanden og ligeledes tæt på overfladen. Effekten af forskellige diametre, afstande og længder af probebenene blev undersøgt. Det kunne konkluderes, at størrelsen af det jordvolumen, som influerede på målingen, var i høj grad afhængig af afstanden mellem probebenene og ikke videre afhængig af valget af diametrene. For afstande mellem probebenene på 1, 2 og 5 cm kunne en måling foretages nøjagtigt i afstande fra
jordoverfladen på henholdsvis 1, 1,5 og 2 cm. Måleresultaterne blev med succes anvendt til at validere en ny model udviklet af Knight et al. (1994) som beskriver størrelsen af målevolumen for TDR.
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In this thesis the publications will be referred to by their Roman numerals.
1. Introduction

Over the past two decades the chemical contamination of our environment and its effects on human life have created a fast growing concern at all levels in our society. The problem has arisen due to the last 100 years of industrial development during which the soil has been used as a disposal medium, often using the philosophy of: "Out of sight, out of mind". But cliches do not always hold true. In the United States alone $10 billion is currently being spent annually on environmental cleanup and at this rate, cleanup will last well into the next century (~ 75 years) costing approximately $750 billion (Bredehoeft, 1994). Likewise in Europe (including Denmark) we see the effects of years of inconsiderate handling of chemicals, resulting in numerous cleanup projects. Figure 1 shows a map of known polluted sites and registered deposit sites in the county of Viborg, Denmark (where Research Centre Foulum is located).

![Fig. 1. Contaminated sites in the county of Viborg Denmark (Viborg Amtsråd, 1993). Red dots represent contaminated sites and stars represent registered waste deposit sites.](image)
There is no reason to believe that the number of known sites here or anywhere will not increase significantly during the next decade. This is, among other things, due to the fact that groundwater movement is very slow, thus it often takes decades before society becomes aware that contamination exists. Keeping in mind the third world, where industrial development has only just begun, the intensity of future pollution problems might be severely increased.

The most common groundwater contaminants in the United States are volatile organic compounds, VOCs, (Culver et al., 1991) and recently chemicals from this group were also found in drinking water at several places in Denmark (e.g., Helweg, 1994). The principal documented cases of VOC soil and groundwater contamination result from leaks or spills at manufacturing plants, leakage at chemical waste disposal sites, and leakage from gas stations. At the same time many household products, such as oil-based paints, drain cleaners, spot and paint removers, fabric protectors etc. contain VOCs. This along with e.g. filling of gasoline, visiting dry cleaners, inhalation of contaminated ambient air (especially in traffic, near filling stations or in houses where VOCs have entered from the soil), and ingestion of contaminated drinking water create the potential for a significant human background exposure (Barbee, 1994) (see Fig. 2).

Fig. 2. There are numerous sources resulting in human exposure to VOCs.
The potential for chronic low-level and short-term high level exposure is a more than sufficient reason to develop a thorough understanding of the environmental fate and toxicological effects of VOCs to minimize human exposures and health risks posed by these chemicals.

Among the most common VOCs in the environment belong toluene and in particular trichloroethylene, TCE (see Fig. 3).

![Chemical structures of TCE and toluene](image)

**Fig. 3.** a: TCE (C₂H₃Cl₃) is an unsaturated, chlorinated, aliphatic compound with a low molecular weight (131.4 g/mol). At room temperature it is a volatile, non-viscous liquid with a higher density (1.46 g/ml) than water. It is moderately soluble in water (1100 mg/l at 25°C) and has a high vapor pressure (69 mmHg at 25°C). b: Toluene (C₇H₈) is an aromatic compound that consists of a benzene ring in which a single methyl group has been introduced. It has a low molecular weight (92.13 g/mol), and is at room temperature a volatile, non-viscous liquid with a lower density (0.6669 g/ml) than water. The solubility in water is low (534.8 mg/l at 25°C) and the vapor pressure is relatively high (28.4 mmHg at 25°C). (Howard, 1990).

Chronic or repeated exposure to TCE and toluene can cause severe health problems, e.g., nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps, sleepiness, swelling, loss of coordination, double vision, anorexia, blindness, muscle pains, liver damage, kidney damage, brain damage, and coma. Reproductive effects have been reported in animals. At high level exposure death may occur from respiratory arrest. TCE and toluene are classified as "probably carcinogenic to humans". (Fisher Scientific, 1991; CEPA, 1992; and CEPA, 1993).

After TCE and toluene enter the soil medium several factors influence the transport, accumulation, and fate of these chemicals. Because of their nature, they tend to be rather
mobile in the subsurface. In the vadose zone they can move either dissolved in the soil-water or much more importantly, due to their low molecular weight, low water solubility, and high vapor pressure, volatilize and move by diffusion in the gaseous phase. Since the diffusion in air is $10^3$ to $10^4$ times faster than diffusion in water, these chemicals volatile character drastically increases their overall spreading. This is leading to an accelerated movement towards the groundwater and likewise to the atmosphere where gases can migrate in to houses and office buildings and cause the risk of human inhalation. After entering the groundwater they can move as dissolved constituents or dense (TCE) or light (toluene) non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPLs or LNAPLs, respectively). Since they are uncharged, non-polar molecules and subsurface soils or aquifer materials typically have very low organic carbon contents, the dissolved amounts do not adsorb strongly, but are on the contrary quite mobile.

Toluene and TCE are biodegradable in soils under specific conditions (e.g., Claus and Walker, 1964; Nelson et al., 1987; Armstrong et al., 1991; Ensley, 1991; Fan and Scow, 1993). After having spread to the subsurface environment where microbial activity is low this might, however, be a very slow process. Also at high concentrations, e.g. in the vicinity of a contamination plume, the chemicals are toxic to the microbial population. Microbial degradation can end up creating intermediate products, which are even more hazardous to humans (e.g. in case of TCE: vinyl chloride) than TCE and toluene itself.

During gaseous movement through the soil TCE and toluene will simultaneously adsorb onto the soil minerals. Because of the non-polar characteristics of these chemicals, water-vapor will very strongly compete for adsorption sites. In addition VOCs also compete among themselves; this phenomenon is labelled competitive adsorption. Adsorbed chemicals in the soil compartment will cause an extended period of release and existence of VOCs in the environment.

The objectives of the present work is to contribute to the overall understanding of the movement and environmental fate of VOCs by determining some of the effects of changes in soil physical properties like soil texture and soil-water content on the diffusion, adsorption, and emissions of gaseous volatile compounds in and from soils. And, based on this, to develop model concepts, which by incorporation into existing numerical VOC transport models can
improve predicting the spreading of gaseous VOCs in the soil environment.

Included in the objectives are development/implementation of soil physical measurement methods, which are necessary for the above analysis, e.g. non-destructive measurements of soil-water content with high resolution time domain reflectometry (Article V) and measurements of specific surface area (Articles I and II).
2. Diffusion of gaseous volatile organic compounds

Because of their high vapor pressure, VOCs almost instantly partition into the gas-phase. Thus the gaseous movement, highly dominated by diffusion, plays an important role in the overall spreading of a given contamination.

The diffusion of gases in soils can be described by Fick’s first law (Eq. [1]), which states that the rate of transfer of material by diffusion is directly proportional to the concentration gradient or rate of concentration change with respect to distance (e.g., Rolston, 1986)

\[
\frac{F}{At} = f = -D_p \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right)
\]

where \( F \) is the amount of gas diffusing (g gas), \( A \) is the cross-sectional area of the soil (cm\(^2\) soil), \( t \) is time (s), \( f \) is the gas flux density (g gas cm\(^2\) soil \( s^{-1} \)), \( C \) is concentration in the gaseous phase (g gas cm\(^3\) soil air), \( x \) is distance (cm soil), and \( D_p \) is the soil-gas diffusivity (cm\(^3\) gas cm\(^{-1}\) soil \( s^{-1} \)). For unsteady diffusion in soils Eq. [1] is introduced into the equation of continuity leading to Fick’s second law. Assuming \( D_p \) is a constant and therefore independent of \( C \) and \( t \) we get (e.g., Rolston, 1986)

\[
\varepsilon \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_p \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}
\]

where \( \varepsilon \) is the volumetric soil-air content (cm\(^3\) air cm\(^3\) soil).

Several sink terms must be introduced into Eq. [2] in order to correctly describe the transport since VOCs interact with the soil. Those sink-terms represent adsorption onto soil organic matter and soil minerals, dissolution into soil-water, and biological and chemical degradation. The two first mentioned will be addressed later, while the biological and chemical degradation will not be addressed further in this study.

The value of \( D_p \) is substantially lower than the diffusivity in bulk air, \( D_b \) (cm\(^3\) gas cm\(^{-1}\) air \( s^{-1} \)), since only a smaller fraction of the soil volume is occupied with continuous air-filled pores,
which at the same time have a tortuous character. $D_p/D_0$ has been related empirically and theoretically to $\varepsilon$ by numerous authors (e.g., Penman, 1940a,b; van Bavel, 1952; Currie, 1970; Millington and Quirk, 1961; Troeh et al., 1982; Currie, 1984; Sallam et al., 1984; Shimamura, 1992). None of the proposed relationships have, however, shown to be universal applicable for different soil types. Different laboratory and field methods for determination of $D_p$ are described in Rolston (1986) and Glauz and Rolston (1989). Table 1 shows a comparison of measured values of $D_p/D_0$ for diffusion of freon$_{12}$ (a non-reactive tracer) in Yolo silt loam and calculated values using four different models. The calculated values are given relatively to the measured values.

Table 1. Relative $D_p/D_0$-values (i.e., compared to $D_p/D_0$ measured for freon$_{12}$) for Yolo silt loam, predicted from four different models: Troeh et al., 1982: $D_p/D_0=\left(\varepsilon-u/(1-u)\right)^v$, where $u$ and $v$ are fitting parameters equal to 0.12 and 1.23 for Yolo silt loam, respectively (Article I), Penman, 1940: $D_p/D_0=0.66\varepsilon$, Millington and Quirk, 1961: $D_p/D_0=\varepsilon^{0.67}/n^2$, where $n$ is porosity, and Currie, 1970: $D_p/D_0=\varepsilon/\sqrt{n^2}$. For easy comparison, the measured $D_p/D_0$ values are set equal to 100%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\varepsilon$ (cm$^3$/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Freon$_{12}$</th>
<th>Troeh et al.</th>
<th>Penman</th>
<th>M-Q</th>
<th>Currie</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1225</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1692</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1810</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2752</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3792</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4189</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general the Penman model over-estimates the measured values, whereas both the Millington-Quirk(M-Q) and in particular the Currie model under-estimate the values. Note that for increasing values of $\varepsilon$ the accuracy also increases. The Troeh et al. model is a fitting model and therefore agree well with the measured values, except at the lowest $\varepsilon$ value (Article I). The parameter $u$ usually has a value larger than zero, which indicates that $D_p$ reaches zero.
while there still is some air-filled porespace in the soil. Very wet soils, for example, have a volumetric air-content that will be in the form of isolated air pockets. Therefore $D_p$ reaches zero at $\varepsilon > 0$ because those air pockets are disconnected and the channels of diffusion therefore blocked (Article I).

The Millington-Quirk equation has been widely used in the literature when modelling solute transport in soils, including VOC transport (e.g., Jury et al., 1983; Jury et al., 1990; Culver et al., 1991; Møldrup and Hansen, 1993; Møldrup et al., 1994; Lindhardt, 1994). Lindhart (1994) suggests that the found discrepancies between modelled and measured (in laboratory experiments) emissions of o-xylene may be partly related to the description of the tortuosity. It can be concluded that in situations where diffusion in the gaseous phase plays a dominant role for the overall transport the relationship between $D_p/D_0$ for the actual soils should be determined experimentally (Article I).

Like mentioned above VOCs do not behave as non-reactive tracers like freon. If adsorption onto the soil solids and dissolution into the soil-water are taken into account, Eq. [2] becomes

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_p \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial S_s}{\partial t}$$

where $S_w$ is amount of VOC-gas dissolved in soil-water (g VOC cm$^{-3}$ soil), and $S_s$ is amount of VOC-gas adsorbed onto soil solids (g VOC cm$^{-3}$ soil). Assuming that the dissolution of VOC in soil-water can be described by Henry’s Law the relation between gas phase and solution phase concentrations is

$$S_w = \frac{C}{K_H}$$

where $K_H$ is Henry’s Constant (cm$^3$ H$_2$O cm$^{-3}$ air), and $\theta$ is the volumetric soil-water content (cm$^3$ H$_2$O cm$^{-3}$ soil). Similar, assuming that adsorption to soil solids happens from the aqueous phase and can be described by the equilibrium aqueous/solid partition coefficient, $K_0$ (cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$), the relation between aqueous and sorbed phase concentrations is
\[ S_s = K_D \frac{C}{K_H} \rho_b \]  

where \( \rho_b \) is the soil bulk density (g soil cm\(^{-3}\) soil). Taking the derivatives of \( S_w \) and \( S_b \) with respect to time and substituting Eqs. [4] and [5] into Eq. [3] gives

\[ R e \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_p \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} \]  

where \( R \) is the retardation factor and is given by

\[ R = 1 + \frac{\theta}{\epsilon K_H} + \frac{\rho_b K_D}{\epsilon K_H} \]  

When the soil becomes so dry that Henry’s Law is no longer valid and partition cannot be described using \( K_0 \), the solid/vapor partition coefficient \( K_0' \) (cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)) is introduced where

\[ S_s + S_w = K_D' C \rho_b \]  

Using the same procedure as above the retardation factor for this case can be described as

\[ R = 1 + \frac{K_D' \rho_b}{\epsilon} \]  

Figure 4 shows results of diffusion experiments with TCE and toluene in which Eq. [6] has been used along with Eqs. [7] and [9] to determine the respective \( D_p \) values for these two chemicals. Since \( D_p/D_b \) values for different types of gases are virtually identical at a specific soil-air content the fact that \( D_p/D_b \) values for the reactive tracers, TCE and toluene, are identical to the values for the non-reactive tracer, Freon12, suggests that the dissolution/adsorption processes can be adequately described by including simple retardation factors as those given in Eqs. [7] and [9]. Only at the highest soil-air content this approach does not hold, which might be explained by faster diffusion at this air-content, and thus, lack of equilibrium. Also, \( K_0' \) values for dry soils are very high and extremely dependent on very
small changes in water content, which can result in uncertainty in the $K_0'$-value used to calculate $D_p$ (Article I). The $K_0'$ dependency on soil water content will be discussed further in Chapter 3.

Figure 5 shows an example of results from a diffusion experiment on Ødum sandy loam which is compared to numerical simulations where Eq. [6] and [7] have been used (Article III). The introduction of a retardation factor (Eq. [7]) clearly retards the propagation of the concentration front. The diffusion and simultaneous adsorption can as shown be very well simulated by using the simple retardation concept. For all concentrations the simulated and measured concentrations agree very well.
Fig. 5. Measured and simulated concentration profiles for Odum sandy loam at four different times after start of the transport process. Relative concentrations are calculated as the measured concentration in a specific depth divided by the concentration at the VOC source (here at depth -20 cm). The depths -20 to 0 (below the horizontal line) represent a chamber with VOC gases in which diffusion takes place in air (Article III).

Therefore for all relative vapor pressures it is reasonable to use the simple equilibrium partition concept. Thus, it would be convenient to use this concept incorporated into simple, numerical models (Article III) and semi-analytical models (like the one presented in Article VI) to simulate VOC transport and fate.
3. Adsorption of gaseous volatile organic compounds

Adsorption is the process through which a net accumulation of a substance occurs at the common boundary of two contiguous phases. It is a surface phenomenon that takes place because of (and often changes) the forces active in the surface boundaries, which result in characteristic boundary energies. Adsorption can be divided into two broad classes: Physical and chemical adsorption.

*Physical* adsorption is relatively nonspecific and takes place because of weak forces of attraction or van der Waals forces between molecules (same type of relatively nonspecific intermolecular forces that are responsible for the condensation of a vapor to a liquid). The adsorbed molecules are not fixed to a specific site on the adsorbent surface, but can move around i.e., diffusion in the adsorbed phase is possible. The adsorbed gasses may condense and thereby form several superimposed layers on the adsorbent surface. The equilibrium of physical adsorption is attained very fast and is a reversible process. Physical adsorption is usually only important for gases below their critical temperature, i.e., vapors.

*Chemical* adsorption can be either fast or slow and can occur both above and below the critical temperature of the adsorbate. It happens as a result of strong forces that are comparable to those leading to the formation of chemical compounds. It differs qualitatively from physical adsorption since chemical specificity is higher and the energy of adsorption is large enough to suggest that full chemical bonding has occurred. Normally the adsorbed material forms only one molecular layer on the surface and molecules are not "free" to move from one site to another. Gas that is chemisorbed may be difficult to remove (not reversible), and desorption can be accompanied by chemical changes (e.g. heating of the adsorbent might be necessary to remove the adsorbate).

Volatile organic compounds like TCE and toluene consist of non-polar molecules. Even though much of the molecular framework of soil organic matter also is electrically uncharged the non-ionic structure can nevertheless still react strongly with the uncharged part of the VOC by van der Waals interactions. The induced van der Waals interaction is the result of correlations between fluctuating polarizations created in the electron configurations of two nearby non-polar molecules. Even though the time-averaged polarization induced in each
molecule is zero (remember it is non-polar), the correlations between the two induced polarizations do not average to zero. Therefore a net attractive interaction is produced between the two molecules at very small intermolecular distances (Sposito, 1989).

When a molecule has been adsorbed its motion is restricted to two dimensions. Thus gas adsorption processes are accompanied by a decrease in entropy, $\Delta S$. Since also free energy, $\Delta G$, is decreased, the enthalpy (or heat of adsorption), $\Delta H$, must be negative (Shaw, 1991) because

$$\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$$

where $T$ is temperature. Hence the adsorption of gases and vapors on solids is always an exothermic process. The amount of gas adsorbed therefore increases with decreasing temperature. The heats of physical adsorption of gases are usually similar to their heats of condensations (Shaw, 1991). Ong and Lion (1991a) found values of $\Delta H$ for TCE as high as -10 and -20 Kcal/mol for oven-dried sorbates (aluminum oxide, iron oxide, kaolinite, and montmorillonite). Since this is in the range of the heats of condensation, this indicate that the sorption of TCE is indeed a physical sorption process. I.e., the molecules are adsorbed with the van der Waals mechanism.

Different methods for determining solid/vapor adsorption isotherms for VOCs are described and used in Articles I, II, III, IV. Brunauer (1945) suggested that there are five different shapes of isotherms as illustrated in Fig. 6.

![Fig. 6. The five different types of adsorption isotherms (after Brunauer, 1945). $P$ and $P_0$ are vapor pressure and saturated vapor pressure, respectively.](image-url)
Multi-layer adsorption

Figures 7a-d show measured adsorption isotherms for TCE single-component (no other VOCs or water vapor present) adsorption onto four different oven-dry soils (Article IV, and Petersen (1994) unpublished data). They all have a Type II shape. The Type II isotherms are in general frequently encountered and represent multi-layer physical adsorption. The "knee point" (point B) in Fig. 6 on the Type II isotherm is normally recognized as representing the formation of an adsorbed monolayer.

For high concentrations (i.e., high vapor pressures) typically very close to a concentration plume ("hot-spot"), multi-layer adsorption will occur. In those cases the adsorption capacity
of the soil when both water (water vapor) and VOC are present can be estimated from the multi-component BET equation, Eq. [11]. The isotherms shown in Fig. 7a-d can be used along with the single-component BET equation (Article IV) to determine the monolayer capacity $W^m$, and the two constants $B$ and $n$, which are parts of the multi-component BET equation for adsorption of species $i$ from a vapor mixture containing $s$ species (Article IV),

$$W_i^m = \frac{W_i^m E_i X_i}{(1-E)+E_0(1-E^n)} \left[ \frac{B_i(1-E^n)}{E_0} \sum_{k=3}^{n} E^{k-2}(1-E^{n-k+1}) \right]$$

where $E_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{s} x_i$, $E = \sum_{i=1}^{s} X_i$, $W_i$ is sorbed mass of species $i$ per soil mass, $W_i^m$ is the monolayer capacity (mass of species $i$ required to form a monolayer coverage on adsorbent surface per soil mass), $X_i$ is relative vapor pressure ($P/P_0$), $B_i$ is related to the molar heat of adsorption of the adsorbate on bare mineral surface, and $n$ is an integer signifying the maximum possible number of layers $i$ on the adsorbent surface for single component systems. When $n=1$ Eq. [11] yields the Langmuir equation, which is also represented by the Type I isotherm (Fig. 6) and which is restricted to monolayer adsorption.

The maximum number of adsorption layers, $n$, is an important parameter in correctly describing multicomponent adsorption. At low VOC relative vapor pressures, the amount adsorbed is irrespective of the value of $n$ and a simplified equation based on $n=\infty$ can be used. At higher relative vapor pressures, the choice of $n=\infty$ leads to overestimation of adsorption and a finite $n$ should be used (Article IV).

When using Eq. [11] for modelling purposes the values $W_i$ for the VOC of interest can be introduced into Eq. [2] as a sink term which will then be dependent on the concentration of both water vapor and other VOC species in the soil. Equation [11] can successfully be used to model VOC adsorption on soils over the range of water content corresponding to between one and two molecular layers. It under-predicts the adsorption amount at lower water contents and low VOC relative vapor pressures. At higher water contents it lacks a suitable vapor solution theory so that the measured adsorption isotherms have to be employed. However, the amount of over-prediction may be small enough to render its use for modelling purposes worthwhile (Article IV).
Adsorption described by linear partitioning

The simplest method for modelling the effect of gaseous VOC adsorption on VOC transport is to assume that the VOC partitions linearly to equilibrium between the adsorbed and gaseous phases. This is then incorporated into the diffusion equation, Eq. [2], by introducing the retardation factor, R, like described in Chapter 2. Traditionally R has been predicted by using Eq. [7], which state that the partitioning can be described by employing Henry's Law for dissolution

\[ C_{\text{gas}} = K_h C_{\text{liquid}} \]  \hspace{1cm} [12]

and the aqueous/solid partition coefficient \( K_p \) (e.g., Jury, 1983; Jury, 1990; Mendoza and McAlary, 1990; Mendoza and Frind, 1990; Mølndrup et al., 1994). Recently research has been conducted which, though, question the validity of applying \( K_p \) and Henry's Law to predict the vapor phase sorption (e.g., Chiu and Shoup, 1985; Peterson et al., 1988; Ong and Lion, 1990a,b; Culver et al., 1991; Ong et al., 1992; Articles I, II, III). The solid/vapor partition coefficient, \( K_{p'} \), has been introduced and has been shown to be highly dependent on the soil-water content. Figure 8 shows an example of this dependency for two different soil types (Articles I and II).

![Fig. 8. Curves relating \( K_{p'} \)-values to change in soil-water content here expressed as number of molecular layers of water adsorbed on the total specific soil surface. Note that for soil-water contents higher than four molecular layers \( K_{p'} \)-values fall on the lines indicating the validity of applying Henry's Law and the aqueous/solid partition coefficient, \( K_p \) (Article I, II). For soil-water contents lower than four molecular layers \( K_{p'} \) is highly underestimated if predicted from \( K_h \) and \( K_p \).]
For soil-water contents higher than what is equivalent to four molecular layers coverage of water \((w_4)\) the Henry’s Law and \(K_0\) assumption is valid, and \(K_0'\) can be described by

\[
K'_D = \frac{K_D}{K_H} + \frac{w}{K_H \phi \rho}
\]  

[13]

where \(\phi\) is the aqueous activity coefficient (=1), and \(\rho\) is the density of water (=1 g/cm\(^3\)). (Ong and Lion, 1991a,b; Articles I,II). (Note: Inserting Eq. [13] into Eq. [9] yields Eq. [7]).

For soil-water contents lower than four molecular layers this approach is clearly in error cf. Fig. 8. At oven-dry conditions \(K_0'\) is up to several orders of magnitude higher than at \(w_4\). Thus when modelling transport of gaseous VOCs in dry soil layers, \(K_0'\)’s extremely high dependency on soil-water content should be taken into account.

To describe the shape of the curves in Fig. 8 from oven-dry conditions through to four molecular layers of water ("non-Henry range") a one-parameter, exponential model for \(\log K_0'\) as a function of soil-water content, \(w\) (g \(H_2O\) g\(^{-1}\) soil) was suggested, Eq. [14] (Article II)

\[
A = (A_0 - \beta) e^{-\alpha w} + \beta \quad 0 \leq w \leq w_4
\]

[14]

where \(A=\log K_0'(w)\), \(A_0=\log K_0'(w=0)\), \(w\) is water content by weight, \(w_4\) is the water content at four molecular layers of water, \(\beta\) is a fitting parameter, and \(\alpha\) is a function of \(\beta\). To observe the criteria \(A=A_0\) for \(w=0\), and \(A=(\log K_0'(w=w_4))=A_4\) for \(w=w_4\), \(\alpha\) as a function of \(\beta\) is given by Eq. [15],

\[
\alpha = \ln \left( \frac{A_4 - \beta}{A_0 - \beta} \right) / w_4
\]

[15]

where \(\alpha\) is conceptualized as a measure of the exchange rate of adsorbed VOC molecules by water molecules (Article II). The two-part model for VOC vapor adsorption \((K_0'-w)\) where Eq. [13] and Eq. [14] are valid for soil-water contents higher and lower than four molecular layers, respectively, is illustrated in Fig. 9.
Fig. 9. Two-part model concept for VOC vapor adsorption as a function of soil-water content. The parameter w, is the saturated soil-water content (Article II).

The $K'_0$-w curve can therefore be determined by relatively few experiments: 1. Determination of total specific surface area to determine the soil-water content equivalent to four molecular layers of water. 2. Determination of $K_0$, which along with Eq. [13] describe the curve for w higher than or equal to four molecular layers of water. 3. Determination of $K'_0$ at oven-dry conditions, and 4. Determination of $K'_0$ at one or more soil-water contents between oven-dry and four molecular layers of water (Article II).

Often $K_0$ is determined by applying the widely used empirical equation $K_0 = f_{oc} K_{oc}$, where $f_{oc}$ is the fraction of organic carbon in the soil, and $K_{oc}$ is the organic carbon partition coefficient. By using $K_{oc}$ values of 138 cm$^3$/g and 98 cm$^3$/g for TCE and toluene, respectively (Jury et al., 1990) this equation over-predicts $K_0$ values measured on Yolo silt loam (Article I) with 150% for TCE and 18% for toluene. For a variety of sorbents, Schwarzenbach and Westall (1981) found, that $K_0$-values for non-polar organic compounds could be estimated from Eq. [16]

$$\log K_D = 0.72 \log K_{ow} + \log f_{oc} + 0.49$$  \hspace{1cm} [16]
where $K_{ow}$ is the octanol-water partition coefficient. By using $K_{ow}$ values of 2.42 and 2.73 for TCE and toluene, respectively (Howard, 1990), Eq. [16] over-predicts the $K_{o}$ values with more than 200% for both chemicals. Accurate $K_{o}$ values should therefore be determined experimentally (Article I).

Inclusion of the model concept (Eqs. [14] and [15]) for water contents lower than $w_4$ and the Henry's Law approach for water contents higher than $w_4$ into conventional VOC transport models seems promising for analyzing the effects of VOC vapor adsorption upon VOC transport.

**Effects of soil-texture and specific soil surface area**

Besides soil-water content, soil texture plays an important role considering the adsorption capacities of soils, i.e., the magnitude of $K_{o}$ and $K_{o}^*$. Under wet conditions, at which the adsorption capacity can be described by using $K_{o}$, the amount adsorbed is highly dependent on the organic matter content of the soil. Figure 10 illustrates this dependency, which has a highly linear fashion.

![Figure 10](image_url)

*Fig. 10. Relationship between $K_{o}$ and % organic carbon. $K_{o}$ values reported by Ong and Lion (1991b) are included (Article II).*
For dry soils, on the contrary, the mineral fraction of the soil is taking over, since adsorption sites directly on the adsorbent will be free for VOC adsorption. Since the mineral fraction of a soil generally contributes significantly towards the total specific surface area, SA (cm$^3$/g) (Foth, 1978), this is a factor which can indicate the extent of adsorption sites in the soil. Methods for measuring this parameter have been described and used in (Cihacek and Bremner, 1979; Carter et al., 1986; Petersen and Jacobsen, 1994; Articles I, II, IV). For oven-dry sorbents $K_0'$ has been found to be highly dependent on SA (Ong and Lion, 1991b). The important role of the mineral fraction in the adsorption of gases under oven-dry conditions is consistent with results of other researchers (e.g., Newman, 1987; Rhue et al., 1988; Ong and Lion, 1991b). Figure 11 shows a plot of $K_0'$ at oven-dry conditions vs. SA.

![Figure 11](image)

**Fig. 11.** Relationship between $K_0'$-values at oven-dry conditions and total specific surface area. $K_0'$-values reported by Ong and Lion (1991b) are included (Article II).

There is no significant relationship between the two factors. This might partly be explained by the fact that mainly the external surface area of soil particles contribute to the adsorption
capacity of VOC gases (Article IV), whereas SA determined above is total surface area. It is therefore recommended that $K_{0'}$ values for oven-dry conditions be determined experimentally and not by use of empirical equations (Article II).

The coefficient $\alpha$, given by Eq. [15], which is conceptualized as a measure of the exchange rate of adsorbed VOC molecules by water molecules, has been found to be highly dependent on the specific surface area of the soil (Article II). Figure 12 shows this dependency for four different soil types (Article II). With knowledge of the specific surface area of a soil of interest, $\alpha$ can possibly be determined from the regression equation shown in Fig. 12, thereby reducing the number of experiments necessary for determining the $K_{0'}$-w curves.

![Graph showing relationship between $\alpha$ and specific surface area (SA)](image)

**Fig. 12. Relationship between $\alpha$ and specific surface area (Article II).**

The decrease in the curves in Fig. 8 with an increase in soil-water content is really not as much dependent on an actual increase in the amount of water present as the increase in water-film thickness (or layers of adsorbed water molecules). The water-film thickness dictates how strongly the attractive force (from the sorbent) acts on a VOC molecule i.e., the larger the distance between sorbent and molecule the weaker the force. Asymptotically far from the surface the force (potential) decreases as $Z^{-2}$ where $Z$ is the distance of the molecule from the surface (Kreuzer and Gortel, 1986). This decrease is illustrated in Fig. 13a.
Keeping the shape of the curve illustrated in Fig. 13a in mind it can explain some of the tendencies discovered in the curvature of the graphs shown in Fig. 8, and described mathematically by Eqs. [14] and [15]. An example of the $K_0$-$Z$ curves for Yolo silt loam is illustrated in Fig. 13b in a non-logarithmical way. The reason why the actual decrease is "stretched" (Fig. 13b) compared to theory (Fig. 13a) can partly be explained by the adsorptive characteristics of the adsorbed water molecules, which is not included in Fig. 13a. An adsorbed water molecule does not only add to the distance between the sorbent and the molecule, but does, in itself, slightly increase the adsorption capacity.

The magnitude of the specific surface area of a soil is to a large extent dependent on the amount of clay in the soil, and the type of clay mineral. The smaller the particles the higher the surface area. Figure 14 shows the relation between specific surface area and clay size fraction for 29 soils (Petersen and Jacobsen, 1994, Article II)
It is obvious that the clay size fraction plays a dominant role in the specific surface area. The scatter of the data may partly be explained by differences in the type of clay minerals in the soils since Danish soils contain a variety of different clay minerals and by the fact, that the percentage of clay mineral by weight can be more or less than the percentage of particles of clay size (< 2 um). The surface area of both the silt and sand fractions is reflected in the curve not intersecting 0 at 0% clay content. However, the contribution made by these fractions to the overall surface area seems fairly small.

It is evident that the surface area, and therefore clay content will play an important role in the adsorption of water molecules and in how readily they are desorbed during a drying period. Figure 15 and 16 show the relationship between specific surface area and the soil-water content at -15 bar and -0.1 bar pressure potential, respectively.
Fig. 15. Soil-water content present at -15 bar pressure potential (the so-called wilting point) as a function of specific surface area and clay content. (Petersen, 1994 unpublished data).

Fig. 16. Soil-water content present at -0.1 bar pressure potential as a function of specific surface area and clay content (Petersen, 1994 unpublished data).
Figure 15 shows the same tendency as described above for VOC vapor, namely for dry soils, specific surface area, and thereby the mineral fraction, plays a dominant role for the adsorption capacity (here adsorption of water). For the more wet soils illustrated in Figure 16, the specific surface area does not play a significant role for the adsorption.

Transferring the soil-water contents at -15 bars pressure potential into molecular layers of water and plotting it versus specific surface area (Fig. 17) it is interesting to notice that the majority of points are located between 4 and 8 molecular layers of water. Only the very coarse-textured soils are outside of this range. An explanation may be that small, water-filled pores dominate for coarse-textured soils, rather than an average water-film thickness for the other finer textured soils.

![Graph showing relationship between specific surface area and molecular layers of water](image)

*Fig. 17. Relationship between specific surface area and molecular layers of water (Petersen, 1994 unpublished data).*

Thus, there seems to be a connection between the soil-water content at the wilting point and the soil-water content above which Henry's Law and the aqueous/solid partition coefficient can be applied. It might be that below this point water molecules stop acting as free water...
since they are highly adsorbed. Due to the negative charge of the soil surface and the polar nature of a water molecule, water molecules tend to coat the surfaces of the soil particles. Distinctly different than free mobile water, this bound water will be aligned in a well-structured pattern. In fact, bound or hygroscopic water is held so tightly that much of it is considered non-liquid. Henry’s constant for bulk water does not apply when the activity of the water has been drastically changed due to binding on a surface. Conceptually, one can consider bound water to be part of the soil. (Shoemaker et al., 1990).

Since water retention data are often available, the soil water-content present at the wilting point can be used as an indication (rule of thumb) for whether adsorption can be described the traditional way by applying Henry’s Law and the aqueous/solid partition coefficient or whether non-Henrian adsorption onto the mineral fraction should be taken into account.
4. Emissions of gaseous volatile organic compounds

Emission of VOCs into the atmosphere, due to e.g., volatilization and VOC laden soil particles carried by wind action, is a major pathway influencing the fate of these compounds. Emissions take place from industrial sites, hazardous waste treatment and disposal facilities, above shallow groundwater plumes and anywhere the contamination might have spread due to the transport in the soil or migration in groundwater. This can cause human exposure at the contaminated sites and also downwind from them. The VOC emissions are highly dependent on the transport and especially the gaseous diffusion in the vadose zone.

Volatilization as used here is defined as the loss of chemicals from surfaces in the vapor phase, i.e., vaporization followed by movement into the atmosphere (Spencer et al., 1982). Volatilization of VOCs is rather complicated and difficult to predict because of the many factors affecting their adsorption, movement, and persistence as discussed in the previous chapters. Volatilization from soil involves desorption from the soil particles, movement to the soil surface, and vaporization into the atmosphere.

When water is not evaporating, the volatilization rate depends upon rate of movement of the VOC through the soil compartment towards the soil surface by diffusion. The rate at which VOCs move away from the surface is also diffusion controlled. The chemical escapes to the atmosphere by molecular diffusion in the gaseous phase through a stagnant air-layer at the soil surface. The volatilization flux away from a surface by diffusion will be proportional to the diffusion coefficient in air, $D_0$, and to the concentration of the chemical at the soil surface. If the concentration of VOC in the atmosphere is assumed negligible the volatilization flux, $q$ ($\mu g$ VOC cm$^2$ min$^{-1}$), is given by Eq. [17] (e.g., Jury et al., 1990; Møldrup et al., 1994)

\[
q = D_0 \frac{C_0}{d}
\]  

where $C_0$ is the concentration of VOC at the soil surface [g cm$^{-3}$], and $d$ is the thickness of the stagnant boundary layer [cm].

Figure 18 shows an example of measured and simulated volatilization from a soil (Yolo silt...
loam) from which water is not evaporating (Article III) In the simulations adsorption is taken into account by introducing the retardation factor as described in Chapter 2.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 18.** Measured and simulated volatilization flux for Yolo silt loam without evaporating water. A thickness of the stagnant boundary layer \(d\) of 0.475 has been used as suggested by Jury (1983), (Article III).

As illustrated, measured and simulated volatilization fluxes agree very well. The results of the simulations are independent on the value of \(d\). The magnitude of \(d\) depends on factors like air flow rate and air turbulence.

Temperature influences volatilization rates mainly through its effect on vapor pressure. Temperature may also influence volatility through its effect on movement of the chemical to the surface by diffusion or mass flow in the evaporating water or through its effect on the soil-water adsorption-desorption equilibrium. Increases in temperature are usually associated with increases in volatilization rate (Spencer et al., 1982). Figure 19 illustrates the effect of change in temperature on the volatilization flux for Yolo silt loam.
As can be seen, the size of the volatilization flux clearly increases with increasing temperature. The effect of changing temperature on $D_p$ can be described by Eq. [18] (e.g., Shonnard and Bell, 1993)

$$D_p(T_2) = D_p(T_1) \left( \frac{T_2}{T_1} \right)^n$$

where $T$ is temperature in degrees Kelvin, and the suggested range of $n$ has been found to be within 2.0 at low temperatures and 1.65 at high temperatures (Bird et al., 1960). The increase in $D_p$ determined by Eq. [18] for the increase in temperature given in Fig. 19 can not explain the increase in volatilization flux in Fig. 19. Instead factors like increased vapor pressure and increased desorption (decreased adsorption) must be taken into account.

Due to the extreme dependency of soil mineral adsorption capacity on changes in soil-water content (Fig. 8) the rate of volatilization must be highly dependent on fluctuations in soil surface water contents. One will expect a diurnal cycle: During day time sun-shine and dry wind (low relative humidity) may severely dry the upper-most part of the soil compartment,
which will restrict emissions of VOCs to the atmosphere due to an highly increased adsorption capacity. Increase in temperature will have an opposite effect, though, since adsorption decreases with increasing temperature, cf. Chapter 1. During night time with dewfall and increased relative humidity of the air, the polar water molecules will cause desorption of VOC molecules and thus increased volatilization. Figure 20 illustrates the changes in adsorption capacity due to changes in soil-water content in a soil profile, which was dried and rewetted from above by sweeping the soil surface with air having a relative humidity of 0% and 100%, respectively.

Fig. 20. Change in soil-water content and $K_0$ for Yolo silt loam in six depths as a function of time. Drying and wetting were obtained by sweeping a dry or wet air-stream across the soil surface (Article III). Note the different y-axis values.
The change in $K_0'$ is determined by applying Eqs. (14)-(15) (Articles II and III). The increase in adsorption capacity is extremely pronounced in the top one centimeter of the soil. The lowest soil-water content obtained is equivalent to 0.22 molecular layers of water, which is well within the non-Henry range (cf. Chapter 2). If the soil simultaneously had been influenced by increased temperature and thus all water molecules was removed (even chemically bonded water) $K_0'$ would have increased to 3401 cm$^3$/g (Article I) for this soil. One would expect this phenomenon to highly restrict the volatilization from the soil compartment and into the atmosphere. Hence even a few millimeters of soil could store big amounts of adsorbed VOC gas, which would be released as soon as water molecules were re-introduced to the surface. Figure 21 shows the response in volatilization flux due to the decrease in soil-water content shown in Fig. 20, and hence increase in adsorption capacity. Also the response to the later increase in soil-water content (wetting) is shown.

![Figure 21. Response in volatilization flux for Yolo silt loam due to drying and wetting with a sweep air-stream across the soil surface (Article III). From start of the experiment and until drying was initiated the relative humidity of the sweep-air was 100%. During the drying and wetting periods RH in the sweep-air was maintained at 0% and 100%, respectively.](image-url)
As seen, the decreased soil-water content does not seem to have the expected effect of decreasing the volatilization fluxes. Immediately after the drying is initiated, the volatilization flux increased in a rapid peak. This phenomena may be explained by the fact that the water which immediately start to evaporate contains dissolved VOC. This leads to a sudden increase in VOC concentration in the liquid phase which, by Henry’s partitioning, will result in a corresponding increase in VOC concentration in the gas phase.

Despite the large decrease in soil-water content and consequently the increase in adsorption capacity during the drying period, the soil mineral adsorption does not seem to restrict the volatilization flux. This could be explained by the fact that the constant very high flux of gaseous VOCs that, for this specific case (Article III) was applied from the bottom of the soil column, constantly maintained all adsorption sites occupied. Evidently, the increase in the adsorption capacity is gradual enough that it does not decrease the volatilization flux significantly. Even though \( K_0' \) is increasing, so is the flux, \( q \) (since the air-filled porosity and therefore \( D_p \) is increasing). Hence, it seems that the relative increase in \( q \) is more than enough to overwhelm the gradual increase in the adsorption capacity. Overall, the flux keeps gradually increasing. The situation is analogous for example to a leaky container of VOC, buried relatively close to the soil surface. This is, however, in contrast to situations where the source of contamination is deep under the soil surface and concentrations at the surface are quite low.

For these conditions, the top few mm of soil, in periods when the soil-water content is low and adsorption capacity is high, may still temporarily restrict the volatilization flux of VOCs to the atmosphere (Article III). When re-wetting is initiated, the volatilization flux again increase drastically, which is expected since the adsorption capacity increases greatly during drying. This behavior is a reflection of water molecules out competing the VOC molecules sorbed on the soil particle surfaces.

In general further studies, both experimental and modeling, are essential in order to completely describe the effects of fluctuating RH on the volatilization rates. The simultaneous effects of diurnal changes in RH and temperature should be considered, both in laboratory setups and under field conditions, at which the impact from the sun could have an important effect.
5. Concluding remarks

During an environmental investigation of a contaminated site, the authorities often must estimate whether a soil compartment contaminated with volatile organic compounds (VOCs) will influence the outdoor and indoor environment, and hence cause human health risks. I.e., whether a given soil contamination results in an unacceptable degree of chemical volatilization. Calculations are often based on knowledge of chemical concentrations in the soil or groundwater and some physical and chemical properties of the chemicals and the soil (Miljøstyrelsen, 1992). Results of these calculations are also the basis for the selection of a feasible cleaning or encapsulation method, e.g. soil-venting or protecting clay layers.

During the calculations of a potential contamination risk it is important to keep in mind that soil characteristics like relationships between the soil diffusivity and the volumetric soil-air content and between VOC partition coefficients and soil-water content should be carefully considered. This study showed that empirical expressions or simplified equations often can lead to wrong estimates of these transport dominating factors.

When planning a reasonable program for measurements of chemical concentrations and chemical volatilization fluxes at a contaminated site, it must be kept in mind that measurements at a specific time only represent a snapshot. Based on the findings in the present work a snapshot at the "wrong" time can easily lead to erroneous conclusions. It was shown in batch experiments, that the adsorption capacity of a soil is extremely dependent on soil-water content. At very low soil-water contents the adsorption capacity increased with several orders of magnitude compared to moist soils. A control measurement of concentrations and volatilization flux during the daytime, where sunshine causes severe drying of the soil-surface, might therefore result in different conclusions compared to measurements performed during nighttime with dewfall or on a rainy day. In transient transport experiments the effects of successive drying and wetting showed that this resulted in pronounced responses in the volatilization flux. These responses should be taken into account in the estimations of risk, but at the same time the risk could be over-estimated if conclusions are based on measurements performed solely at a time where those fluctuations took place.

In conclusion measurements should be repeated over a carefully planned period of time.
including both daytime and nighttime. Additionally, VOC concentration and flux measurements must be accompanied by measurements of soil-water content, temperature, and soil organic matter content. As shown in this study a continuous and non-destructive determination of soil-water content even in the uppermost centimeter of the soil, which highly influences the VOC adsorption capacity, could relatively easy be performed by high resolution time domain reflectometry.
6. Related future perspectives

The present work has answered some of the questions related to gaseous VOC transport. At the same time it has opened up for new ideas and inspired to continuous work in related areas, of which some will be mentioned below.

- To do drying/wetting emission column experiments in which chemicals have been incorporated into the soil compartment. Thus ensuring that the induced changes in adsorption sites are not overwhelmed by the flux of VOC from a constant liquid source. Meanwhile a heated drying method could be applied facilitating the removal of chemically bound water.

- Perform field studies in which relative humidity and temperature fluctuate naturally and simultaneously and investigate the effects on the volatilization loss of VOCs and surface applied pesticides.

- Investigate the importance of adsorption kinetics for unsteady transport of VOCs and pesticides.

- Develop a numerical model taking into account competitive adsorption, adsorption kinetics, immiscibility, and water-vapor transport and validate against experimental column data.

- Develop semi-analytical solutions based on simple equilibrium partition theory for rapidly screening the relative fate of a large number of VOC compounds.

- Investigate the importance of transport of VOCs or pesticides adsorbed onto colloids through macropores or through surface runoff (erosion), thus taking into account soil structure.
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Article I.
Volatile Organic Vapor Diffusion and Adsorption in Soils

L. W. Petersen,1 D. E. Rolston, P. Moldrup, and T. Yamaguchi

ABSTRACT

Knowledge of the relationship between $D_v/D_s$ (diffusion coefficient in soil divided by diffusion coefficient in free air) and the volumetric soil-air content, $e$, is important when modeling gaseous movement of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in soils. The effective diffusion ($D_e$, diffusion and retardation) of trichloroethylene (TCE), toluene and freons in loam soil was calculated from soil parameters and equilibrium partition coefficients. Partition coefficients were measured in batch experiments. It was found that for water contents higher than four molecular layers of water surface coverage, solid-vapor partition coefficients, $K_v$, were consistent with values predicted by Henry's Law constants ($K_v$), and aqueous-solid partition coefficients, $K_s$, for less than four molecular layers of water, sorption increased by orders of magnitude. The vapor retardation factors, along with the measured effective diffusion, allowed calculation of diffusion coefficients $D_e$ for the investigated species by using the analytical solution to diffusion in a two-chamber apparatus. Values of the ratio $D_v/D_s$ were generally higher than the values predicted by the Millington-Quirk equation, and lower than the values predicted by the Penman equation. Comparison with the nonreactive tracer freon, $D_v/D_s$ values for TCE and toluene agreed very well for higher water contents. Values obtained for air-dry soil, however, were under-predicted. The experimental work for determination of the effective diffusion of reactive tracers can, therefore, for sufficiently high water contents be limited to the determination of $D_v/D_s$-e relations for a nonreactive tracer and measurement of $K_v$, $K_s$, and $K_a$ values for the reactive tracers.

GASEOUS TRANSPORT, especially gaseous diffusion, plays an important role in the movement of VOCs in soils. Since VOCs readily partition to the gas-phase from a liquid spill, the overall spreading of the contamination is increased, because the diffusion in air is 10 to 100 times faster than diffusion in water.

Due to the importance of diffusion in the gaseous phase, several investigators have attempted to find a relationship between the ratio of the diffusion coefficient in soil to the diffusion coefficients in free air, $D_v/D_s$, and the volumetric soil-air content, $e$ (e.g., Pennman, 1940a,b; van Bavel, 1952; Millington and Quirk, 1961; Troeh et al., 1982; Currie, 1984; Sallam et al., 1984; Shimamura, 1992). This previous work shows that no single relationship between $D_v/D_s$ and $e$ is universally applicable for different soil types. A specific relation must therefore, if possible, be obtained experimentally for the soil of interest.

It is generally assumed that the ratio of $D_v/D_s$ is independent of the nature of the diffusing gases. Shimamura (1992) investigated this for three different nonreactive tracers ($N_2$, $CH_4$, and $H_2$) and found good agreement. Theoretically, it will therefore be sufficient to determine the $D_v/D_s$-e relationship for one gas and use that to determine the behavior of other gases knowing only their respective diffusion coefficients in free air.

Adsorption is another important abiotic process that influences the fate and transport of VOCs in soils. This process is highly dependent on the amount of moisture present in the soil (Chiu and Shoup, 1985; Valsaraj and Thibodeaux, 1988; Rao et al., 1989; Rhee et al., 1989; Thibodeaux et al., 1991; Ong and Lion, 1991a,b), since polar water molecules are strong competitors for adsorption sites relative to nonpolar organic compounds.

Experimental determination of diffusion coefficients for adsorptive tracers is considerably more complex than for nonreactive tracers since the decrease in concentrations due to adsorption needs to be quantified.

The objective of this work was to examine whether or not it is sufficient to establish the $D_v/D_s$-e relationship for a nonreactive tracer like freon-12 and use that to determine the effective diffusion of reactive tracers, like TCE and toluene, using equilibrium partition coefficients to quantify the adsorption.

THEORY

Unsteady diffusion of gas in soils can be described by the following equation,

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2}$$

where $C$ is the volumetric soil-air content (cm$^3$ air/cm$^3$ soil), $D$ is the gas diffusion (cm$^2$ air/cm$^2$ soil), $D_s$ is the soil-gas diffusivity (cm$^2$ air/cm$^2$ soil), $t$ is time (s), $z$ is distance (cm), and $R$ is the retardation factor. Where Henry's Law is applicable, $R$ can be determined using Henry's constant, $K_v$, which governs the distribution of the VOC between aqueous and vapor phase, together with the aqueous/partition coefficient, $K_v$ (cm$^3$/g), for sorption of dissolved VOCs onto soil particles, i.e.,

$$R = 1 + \frac{\theta}{\varepsilon K_v} + \frac{\theta_\phi}{\varepsilon K_v}$$

where $\theta$ is the volumetric soil-water content (cm$^3$ H$_2$O/cm$^3$ soil), and $\phi$ is the bulk density (g soil/cm$^3$ soil). For low water contents where Henry's Law and Eq. [2] are not valid, Eq. [3] can be used:

$$R = 1 + \frac{\theta_\phi}{\varepsilon}$$

Abbreviations: VOC, volatile organic compounds; TCE, trichloroethylene; EDME, ethylene diglycol monooethyl ether; EC, electrical conductivity; CEC, cation-exchange capacity; FID, flame ionization detector; BP/EC, equilibrium partitioning in closed systems.
where \( K_0 \) is the solid/vapor partition coefficient (cm³/g) and \( R \) is equal to 1 for a nonreactive tracer.

Initial and boundary conditions for the two-chamber diffusion apparatus used in this work are illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. It is assumed that the gas within the air chambers is perfectly mixed at all times due to the much larger diffusivity in air than in soil. Salam et al. (1984) provided evidence that this assumption is valid. In this study it was experimentally confirmed that concentrations of gas samples taken from two sampling ports placed at each end of the inlet chamber (Fig. 2) were equal. The boundary condition at the left soil boundary, \( x = -L \) (Fig. 1), is

\[
C_L = C_0 + \left( \frac{D_p}{H} \right) \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=-L} \, dt
\]

and the boundary condition at \( x = L \) is

\[
C_L = -\left( \frac{D_p}{K} \right) \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \bigg|_{x=L} \, dt
\]

where \( C_L \) and \( C_R \) are inlet and exit chamber concentrations, \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration (g/cm³), and \( H \) and \( K \) are the effective length of the inlet and exit chambers (Fig. 1) (Giauz and Rolston, 1989).

The analytical solution to diffusion in a two-chamber diffusion apparatus at the left soil boundary in contact with the inlet chamber is (Giauz and Rolston, 1989)

\[
\frac{C}{C_0} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{\gamma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\alpha_n)(\gamma \alpha_n)}{(\beta_n^2 - \gamma)}}
\]

where

\[
\gamma = \frac{H}{K}, \quad \beta_n = \frac{H}{L \beta_R}, \quad \tau = \frac{D_p}{rRL^2}
\]

\[
A(\alpha_n) = \frac{-\alpha_n \beta_n^2 - \gamma}{\gamma}
\]

\[
B(\alpha_n) = \alpha_n^2 (\gamma \beta_n) + \alpha_n^2 \left( \frac{1}{\gamma \beta_n} + \frac{1}{\gamma \beta} + 2 \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

\[
\gamma + \frac{2}{\beta_n^2} + \frac{2}{\beta_R^2}
\]

and \( \alpha_n \) are the roots of

\[
tan2\alpha_n = \frac{\alpha_n (1 + \gamma)}{\alpha_n^2 \beta_n^2 - \gamma}
\]

Fig. 1. Initial and boundary conditions for two-chamber diffusion apparatus.
tially confirmed in this study for TCE are $K_d = 0.397$ and $L = 1.06$, and for tetrachloro- $K_d = 0.261$ and $L = 1.05$.

For linear isotherms, the solid/vapor partition coefficient, $K_d$, is the slope obtained from the left hand side of Eq. [13] against $MH$ (Ong and Lion, 1991). In Eq. [13] arises from equating mass balance equations for bottles with solvent to bottles without solvent.

$$\frac{V_a C_b}{V_a C_s} = 1 = K_d \frac{M}{V_s}$$

where $V_a$ and $C_b$ are the gas volume and concentration in bottles without solvent, and $V_s$ and $C_s$ are the gas volume and concentration in bottles with solvent. The Langmuir equation was used to fit data from nonlinear isotherms, where $W$ is the amount adsorbed per mass of soil (g VOC/g soil), $\alpha$ is the monolayer capacity (g VOC/g soil), $K$ is a constant related to the bonding energy, and $\theta$ is given by $W/K$ and is applicable to the linear region of the isotherm.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The soil used in the experiments was a Yolo silt loam. It was collected from the top 20 cm of an agricultural field on the campus of the University of California, Davis. The soil was air dried, passed through a 2-mm sieve, and mixed thoroughly to obtain a homogenous mixture. Some characteristics of the soil are given in Table 1. Total surface area was determined by the ethylene glycol monomethyl ether (EGME) method (Heilmann et al., 1965; Carter et al., 1986). Pretreatments to remove organic matter and saturation were conducted isothermally at 100°C. Radiation was chosen as the method of desorption (Glaux and Rolston, 1989). The effective length of the soil chamber used was either 10 or 20 cm, depending on the soil content, and the diameter was 7.67 cm. The optimum size of the chambers were determined using the error analysis given in Glaux and Rolston (1989).

Initially, the piston was closed, separating the inlet chamber from the soil chamber. Gas was introduced to the inlet chamber and allowed to equilibrate to an initial concentration, $C_0$, determined by gas chromatography. The piston was pulled back and diffusion through the soil column initiated. A volume of air equal to the volume of the retracted piston rod was introduced to each end of the soil core to avoid pressure differences between the two end chambers. Gas samples were taken at several successive times from the inlet chamber. The $D_b$ was calculated at each sampling time and then averaged across the sampling period. The coefficient of variation for $D_b$ never exceeded 15% and was in general on the order of 2 to 4%.

When sampling, 1 mL of gas was removed with a gas-tight syringe (Hamilton 1001H, Hamilton Company, Reno, NV) and analyzed on a Hewlett Packard 5890A gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID). For TCE and tetrachloroethene analysis, the packed gas chromatograph column was a 3.04 m (10 ft) 20% SP-2100, 0.1% Carbosil 1500, on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport (Supelco Inc., Bellefonte, PA), operated isothermally at 140°C. For trichloroethylene, the column was a 6 ft HayeSep Q on 80/100 mesh (Alltech, San Jose, CA), operated isothermally at 140°C. Zero grade helium was used as the carrier gas.

For the higher water contents, stainless steel cylinders were packed with air dry soil. Additional 10-cm columns were attached to each of the soil cores prior to packing. The middle part, which was expected to have the most homogeneous packing, was used in the experiment. The cores were carefully sprayed with water to obtain the desired volumetric water and air contents. The cores were allowed to equilibrate for 2 to 3 wk. The uniformity of this packing technique was tested using gamma attenuation. Also water contents of soil in the cylinder and end pieces were determined gravimetrically and showed no differences. For the lower water contents the soil was sprayed with water before packing, and additional end pieces were attached during packing. Water contents ranged from 0.04 cm$^3$ H$_2$O/cm$^3$ soil to 0.36 cm$^3$ H$_2$O/cm$^3$ soil, and air contents ranged from 0.12 cm$^3$ air/cm$^3$ soil to 0.42 cm$^3$ air/cm$^3$ soil. During the experiments, the apparatus was placed horizontally and rotationally to avoid differences in water content inside the soil column.

Since tetrachloroethene and TCE are biodegradable (e.g., Claus and Walker, 1964; Nelson et al., 1987; Armstrong et al., 1991; Ensley, 1991), packed soil cores with water contents higher than air-dry soil were irradiated to prevent microbial activity (McLaren et al., 1962; Eno and Poponen, 1964). Radiation was chosen as the sterilization method because it offers a possibility for minimizing changes in the soil since neither heat nor chemicals are involved. Any possible changes due to radiation are mostly associated with the organic matter content of the soil (Eno and Poponen, 1964); a soil like Yolo silt loam (fraction of organic C, $f_{oc} = 0.005$) will therefore not be altered nearly as much as a highly organic soil. Preparation of experiments with sterile soil were performed in a laminar flow hood to avoid airborne contamination. Water content and bulk density were determined after each diffusion experiment by drying the soil for 24 h at 105°C. The soil air content was calculated from porosity and water content. Experiments were carried out at room temperature ($\approx 25^\circ$C).

The diffusion coefficients in free air were calculated using the Fuller correlation (Fuller et al., 1966). The $D_b$ values obtained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Characteristics of the soil (Yolo silt loam) used in the diffusion and adsorption experiments.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface area, m$^2$/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic C, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical conductivity, mili-mho/cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium-exchange capacity, meq/100g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four molecular layers of water (g water/g soil)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25.5 cm and $K = 413.3$ cm (actual length = 20 cm and 30 cm), respectively. Effective length corresponds to the volume of the chamber divided by the surface area of the soil core. The length of the soil column used was either 10 or 20 cm, depending on the water content, and the diameter was 7.67 cm. The optimum size of the chambers were determined using the error analysis given in Glaux and Rolston (1989).
for freon-12, TCE, and toluene were 0.0763 cm³/g, 0.0835 cm³/g, and 0.0803 cm³/g, respectively.

The headspace technique, equilibrium partitioning in closed systems (EPICS) (e.g., Peterson et al., 1988; Ong and Lion, 1991a,b) was used for determining partition coefficients. The method can briefly be described as a mass balance technique that involves measurement of an equilibrium headspace vapor concentration in sealed glass bottles by gas chromatography. A system with known gas volume and mass of sorbent is compared with a control that contains no sorbent. Sorbent masses ranged from 0.2 to 60 g. The amount of sorbent was increased for increasing water contents. For each adsorption isotherm, seven different sorbent masses were used with three replicates for each mass. The sorbent was introduced to glass bottles with a volume of 60 or 220 mL. The bottles were wrapped with paper to prevent phorodecomposition of the VOCs and sealed with Teflon Miniert valves (Dynatech, Baton Rouge, LA). Two and a half milliliters of air were withdrawn from and 2.5 mL of saturated VOC vapor was introduced to each bottle. Saturated vapors were taken from the headspace of a 3 L flask containing 1 L of liquid VOC (certified toluene and TCE were obtained from Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ). All bottles were rotated for 24 to 36 hr at 25 °C to reach equilibrium. Preliminary work showed that equilibrium was reached after this period of time. One milliliter of headspace was removed for concentration analysis.

The $K_d$ values were determined from adsorption isotherms of a water saturated system. Adsorption isotherms, used to calculate $K_d$ values, were determined for ten different water contents ranging from 0 to 21 g H₂O/g soil. Water contents less than air-dry ($≈ 68\%$ relative humidity) were obtained by mixing specific amounts of oven dry and air dry soils. Water contents higher than air dry were obtained by spraying air dry soil with a predetermined mass of water.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Sorption

Figure 3 shows adsorption isotherms obtained with the EPICS method using Eq. [13]. Linear isotherms were characteristic for soil-water contents higher than or equal to 0.02 g H₂O/g soil, i.e., from soil water contents slightly less than air dry soil. This is in agreement with what other investigators have found for hydrated sorbents (Chiu and Shoup, 1985; Rao et al., 1989; Rhue et al., 1989; Ong and Lion, 1991a,b). An increase in moisture content results in a drastic decrease in $K_d$ values for both gases (Fig. 3). For Yolo silt loam, air dry soil corresponds to between one and two molecular layer of water coverage. A strong dipole interaction between the water molecules and the polar surface of the soil particles may account for the inhibition of nonpolar VOC vapor adsorption (Rao et al., 1989), especially since VOC molecules are thought to be adsorbed with relatively weak van der Walls forces. Partition coefficients were, without exception, higher for toluene than for TCE at the same water content. This was expected even though the monolayer capacity for TCE on Yolo silt loam is higher than that for toluene (Amanal et al., 1994; $W^*$ values for TCE and toluene on Yolo silt loam were 9.7 and 5.3, respectively). For the same relative vapor pressure (vapor pressure divided by saturated vapor pressure, $P/P_o$) of TCE and toluene, a larger mass of TCE will be adsorbed per gram of soil; however, $K_d$ is a function of concentration,

For Yolo silt loam, air dry soil corresponds to between 0.076 and 0.10 g H₂O/g soil. This is in agreement with what other investigators have found for hydrated sorbents (Chiu and Shoup, 1985; Rao et al., 1989; Rhue et al., 1989; Ong and Lion, 1991a,b). An increase in moisture content results in a drastic decrease in $K_d$ values for both gases (Fig. 3). For Yolo silt loam, air dry soil corresponds to between one and two molecular layers of water coverage. A strong dipole interaction between the water molecules and the polar surface of the soil particles may account for the inhibition of nonpolar VOC vapor adsorption (Rao et al., 1989), especially since VOC molecules are thought to be adsorbed with relatively weak van der Walls forces. Partition coefficients were, without exception, higher for toluene than for TCE at the same water content. This was expected even though the monolayer capacity for TCE on Yolo silt loam is higher than that for toluene (Amanal et al., 1994; $W^*$ values for TCE and toluene on Yolo silt loam were 9.7 and 5.3, respectively). For the same relative vapor pressure (vapor pressure divided by saturated vapor pressure, $P/P_o$) of TCE and toluene, a larger mass of TCE will be adsorbed per gram of soil; however, $K_d$ is a function of concentration,

$K_d = K_b \cdot C_{soil}$

where $C_{soil}$ is the soil water content by weight (g H₂O/g soil). $\phi$ is the aqueous activity coefficient ($≈ 1$), and $\rho$ is the density of water ($≈ 1$ g/cm³). The points where measured $K_b$ values fall on the line indicate the applicability of Henry's Law.

Aqueous/solid partition coefficients, $K_d$, used in the above equation were determined by the EPICS method and Eq. [12]. The $K_d$ values were 0.58 cm³/g and 0.87 cm³/g,

![Figure 3](image_url)
for TCE and toluene, respectively. Both values are significantly smaller than values predicted by the widely used empirical equation $K_0 = f_{oc} K_{oc}$. By using the $K_{oc}$ values of 138 and 98 cm$^3$/g for TCE and toluene, respectively (Jury et al., 1990), this equation over-predicts the $K_0$ value with 150% for TCE and 18% for toluene. For a variety of sorbents, Schwarzenbach and Westall (1981) found that $K_0$-values for nonpolar organic compounds could be estimated from Eq. [17].

$$\log K_0 = 0.72 \times \log K_{ow} + \log f_{oc} + 0.49 \quad [17]$$

where $K_{ow}$ is the octanol-water partition coefficient. By using $\log K_{ow}$ values of 2.42 and 2.73 for TCE and toluene, respectively (Howard, 1989), Eq. [17] over-predicts the $K_0$ values with >200% for both chemicals. Accurate $K_0$ values should therefore be determined experimentally.

**Diffusion**

Figure 5 shows examples of the decrease in relative concentrations for freon-12, TCE, and toluene with time, as measured in the inlet-chamber of the diffusion apparatus. Each packed soil column was run with all three gases. When changing to another gas, excess gas from the previous run was flushed out. Thus, only changes between the diffusion coefficients in air and the retardation factors changed between runs with different gases. Examination of $D_p$-values alone reveals that $C/Co$ for TCE would be expected to decrease slightly faster than for toluene, which would decrease slightly faster than freon-12. However, due to partitioning, the order is different as shown in Fig. 5. As previously mentioned, partition coefficients for toluene were higher than those for TCE; the decrease in VOC concentration are, therefore, highly dependent on the retardation of vapors. Generally, for all experiments, when plotting $\log(C/Co)$ as a function of time, the relative concentration decreased in a nearly linear fashion.

Using Eq. [6] to [10], it was investigated whether the faster decrease in $C/Co$ for toluene and TCE compared with freon-12 could be accounted for by the equilibrium partition coefficients measured by the EPICS method. Retardation factors (Eq. [2], [3]) were calculated based on equilibrium partition coefficients and introduced into Eq. [6] to [10]. Calculated $D_p$ values for the three gases divided by their respective $D_0$ values should be identical at a specific volumetric air-content, if in fact equilibrium partition coefficients can be used. Figure 6 compares $D_p/D_0$ values for freon-12, TCE, and toluene across a range of volumetric air contents. The $D_p/D_0$ values for TCE and toluene agree very well with values for freon-12. This indicates, that diffusion is slow enough to allow adsorption sites to be filled and, hence, to allow equilibrium to be reached. Differences between the values can be ex-
The approach has been applied to reactive tracers and measurement of soil sorption, where vapor/solid partitioning is slow, affecting 

\[ D_v = \frac{(\mu - u)^4}{(1 - u)} \]

where \( u \) and \( v \) are fitting parameters.

The parameter \( u \) usually has a value larger than zero, which indicates that \( D_v \) reaches zero while there still is some air-filled porosity in the soil (Troeh et al., 1982). Very wet soils, for example, have a small volumetric air-content that will be in the form of isolated air pockets. \( D_v \) reaches zero because those air pockets are disconnected and the channels of diffusion therefore blocked. The \( u \) value where zero diffusion occurs is not universal, but for several soils it has been found to be between 0.1 and 0.2 (Wesseling and van Wijk, 1957). The parameter \( v \) controls the curvature of the line representing the equation on a graph. Troeh et al. (1982) stated that \( 0 \leq u \leq 1 \), \( 0 \leq v \leq 1 \), and \( 1 \leq v \leq 2 \). For our measured data, \( u = 0.12 \) and \( v = 1.23 \) with an \( R^2 \) of 0.997. The values for \( u \) and \( v \) are within the above limits and in agreement with what Troeh et al. (1982) found for various experimental data, over a range of soil types. For the data from 15 different studies considered by Troeh et al. (1982), \( u \) ranged between 0 and 0.15, and \( v \) between 1.1 and 2.

CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions can be drawn from the present study:

(i) Effective diffusion of reactive tracers like TCE and toluene can be determined from relatively limited experimental work; i.e., determination of \( D_v/D_h \) relations for a non-reactive tracer and measurement of \( K_F \) and \( K_0 \) values for the reactive tracer.

(ii) This approach has better accuracy at higher water contents, where the relatively slower diffusion allows adsorption sites to be filled, and where vapor/solid partitioning is slow. At very low water contents the approach highly under-predicts the diffusion coefficients. This might be explained by faster diffusion, lack of equilibrium and uncertainties in the \( K_0 \) values.

(iii) For the investigated Yolo silt loam, Henry's Law is applicable for water contents higher than four molecular layers of water. Thus, for water contents greater than four molecular layers, retardation factors can be determined from knowledge of only \( K_0 \) and \( K_F \). For less than four layers, however, the approach is inaccurate, and \( K_0 \) should be measured.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the NIEHS Superfund Basic Research Program (P42ES04695), the Ecotoxicology Program of the University of Wisconsin Toxic Substances Research and Teaching Program, the Center for Ecological Health Research (EPA-CSE319653010), and the Danish Research Academy. Although the information in this document has been funded in part by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, it may not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency and no official endorsement should be inferred. A travel grant from the Japanese Ministry of Education (Monbusho International Scientific Research Program: Joint Research, no. 04044127) is gratefully acknowledged by the authors.

REFERENCES


Article II.
The Effect of Moisture and Soil Texture on the Adsorption of Organic Vapors


ABSTRACT

The fate of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) moving as vapors in the subsurface is dependent on their interaction with the soil. Adsorption of VOC vapors is greatly influenced by soil texture and soil-water content. The effects of differences in texture and soil-water content on vapor partition coefficients for trichloroethylene (TCE) were examined. Batch experiments were conducted for a variety of soils and at different soil-water contents, \( w \), to determine the relationship between the vapor/solid partition coefficient, \( K_{v/s} \), and \( w \). In dry soils, \( K_{v/s} \) was nonlinearly related to soil-water content because, in that range, water molecules compete with VOC molecules for adsorption sites on the soil surface. Under wet conditions, \( K_{v/s} \) became linearly related to water content according to Henry's law, indicating that adsorbed water molecules were acting as a solvent for VOC molecules. In general, \( K_{v/s} \) under wet/dry conditions did not relate well to total specific surface area of soils, most likely because VOC molecules adsorb only on the outside surfaces of soil particles (due to their nonpolarity), rather than the total surface area present. In the dry range, adsorption was dominated by soils with high specific areas (i.e., high clay content), while soils with higher organic carbon content manifested higher adsorption amounts in the wet moisture range. A one-parameter, exponential model well described the log \( K_{v/s} \)-\( w \) curve in the nonlinear region. The model parameter, \( a \), was found to be highly dependent on the specific surface area of the soil. The proposed \( K_{v/s}(w) \) model incorporated in conventional VOC transport models seems promising for analyzing the effects of VOC vapor adsorption on VOC subsurface transport.

L. W. Petersen and O. H. Jacobsen, Danish Ministry of Agriculture, Institute of Plant and Soil Science, Research Centre Poulsen, P.O. Box 23, DK-8330 Tjol, Denmark; P. Moldrup, Environmental Engineering Lab., Dep. of Civil Engineering, Aalborg Univ., Solskærvej 57, DK-9000 Aalborg, Denmark; Y. H. El-Farhan and D. E. Rolston, Soils and Bio-geochemistry, Dep. of Land, Air, and Water Resources, Univ. of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA; and T. Yamaguchi, Dep. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Hiroshima Univ., 1-1-1 Kagamiyama, Hiroshi-Higashiyama-ku, 724, Japan. Received 6 June 1994. *Corresponding author (pkp@eina.fodum.min.dk).


The extent of pollutant sorption on soils is greatly influenced by the physical and chemical composition of soils. Properties like soil organic matter and clay content can be directly linked to magnitudes of chemical adsorption. In addition, when VOC vapors are involved, soil water content, \( w \), (g water/g soil) becomes another factor greatly affecting sorption. Numerical analysis by Culver et al. (1991) and Ong et al. (1992) suggested that vapor sorption onto soil minerals significantly retards the transport of VOCs under dry conditions. Thus, in modeling the transport and fate of VOC vapors, the relationship between the vapor partition coefficient and the soil water content must be fully determined to obtain correct estimates of chemical amounts adsorbed onto the soil.

In general, soil-water content defines whether clay content or organic carbon content dominate adsorption. In dry soils, surface reactivity is dominated by the clay fraction of the soil. Under oven-dry conditions, solid/vapor partition coefficients have been correlated to the specific surface area of soils and, thus, their clay content (e.g., Jurinak, 1997; Rhue et al., 1988; Ong and Lion, 1991a, b). On the other hand, under wet conditions the aqueous/solid partition coefficient has been highly correlated to the organic carbon content of a soil (e.g., Chiou et al., 1979; Ong and Lion, 1991b). Methods for predicting vapor sorption coefficients across water contents and various soil types do not exist.

The relationship between the vapor partition coefficient and water content consists of two regions: linear and...
nonlinear. The linear region occurs in the wet soil range in which dissolution of VOCs can be described by Henry’s law. The nonlinear region occurs in soils at the very dry water content range. Ong and Lion (1991a, b) and Petersen et al. (1994) presented data sets for very different textured soils, illustrating this highly nonlinear behavior. It is important to note, however, that the concept of partition coefficients only applies at the low range of VOC relative vapor pressures, at which adsorption is linearly related to the vapor pressure. At high vapor pressures, the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) theory (Brunauer and Volman, 1937; Choi and Shoup, 1985; Poe et al., 1988; Amali et al., 1994) can be used to describe the whole adsorption isotherm over the full range of relative vapor pressures.

The main objective of this work is to determine the shape of the curve relating vapor partition coefficients to soil-water content over the full range of water contents at low VOC relative vapor pressures, and to present a realistic VOC vapor adsorption model for water contents from oven-dry to saturation. The VOC used was TCE (volumetric data from Petersen et al. [1994]) were included in the model analysis). This work also attempts to describe how different soil fractions dominate adsorption as water contents vary.

**THEORY**

The aqueous/solid partition coefficient, \( K_o \) (cm/cm³), can be determined as the slope obtained from plotting the left-hand side of Eq. [1] against \( M/V_o \) (Peterson et al., 1988). Equation [1] arises from combining mass-balance equations for bottles containing sorbent, a gas phase, and a liquid phase with bottles containing only a gas phase and a liquid phase to give:

\[
\frac{C_{oa}(\lambda K_oV_o + V_o \alpha)}{C_{oa}(\lambda K_oV_o + V_o \alpha)} = K_0 \frac{M}{V_o + \lambda K_oV_o} + 1
\]  

where \( V_o \) and \( V_o \alpha \) are liquid and gas volumes in a bottle without sorbent (cm³), \( V_o \) and \( V_o \alpha \) are liquid and gas volumes in bottles with sorbent, \( C_{oa} \) and \( C_{oa} \alpha \) are headspace concentration in bottles without and with sorbent (g/cm³), \( M \) is mass of sorbent (g), \( K_o \) is the dimensionless Henry’s constant, and \( \lambda \) is the activity coefficient for VOC in 0.1M NaCl. NaCl is used because it adequately swamps out any ionic influences attributed to the sorbent (Ghabbari and Lion, 1985). Values of \( \lambda \) and \( K_o \) must be determined separately. Petersen et al. (1994) determined these to be \( K_o = 0.397 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ air/cm}^3 \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \lambda = 1.06 \) which are in agreement with the values measured by Garbarini and Lion (1985).

For linear isotherms, the solid/vapor partition coefficient, \( K_o \) (cm²/g), can be determined as the slope obtained from plotting the left-hand side of Eq. [2] against \( M/V_o \) (Ong and Lion, 1991a, b). Equation [2] arises from equating mass balance equations for bottles with sorbent to bottles without sorbent:

\[
\frac{V_o C_o S - V_o C_o \alpha}{V_o C_o \alpha} = K_0 \frac{M}{V_o C_o \alpha} + 1 \tag{2}
\]

where \( V_o \) and \( C_o \) are the gas volume and concentration in bottles without sorbent and \( V_o \) and \( C_o \) are the gas volume and concentration in bottles with sorbent. For nonlinear isotherms, the Langmuir equation is used to determine \( K_o \) as follows (Ong and Lion, 1991a, b):

\[
W = \frac{C_o V_o S - C_o V_o \alpha}{1 + K_o V_o S} \tag{3}
\]

where \( W \) is amount adsorbed per mass of soil (g VOC/g soil), \( W^* \) is the monolayer capacity (g VOC/g soil), \( K \) is a constant related to the binding energy, and \( K_o \) is given by \( W^* \) and is applicable to the linear region of the isotherm (i.e., low \( C_o \)).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Adsorption experiments were conducted on four different soils: a coarse angular sand, a Yolo silty sand (fine-silty, mixed, nonacidic, thermic Typic Xerorthent), a sandy loam (Oudum, Denmark) (fine-sandy, mixed, mesic, calcareous, Typic Aqualuvis), and a sand (Lundgaard, Denmark) (coarse sand, mixed, mesic, Orthic Haplustoll). The soils were initially air-dried, passed through a 0.2-mm sieve (no. 10), and mixed thoroughly to obtain a homogeneous mixture. Some characteristics of the soils are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Water Content, %</th>
<th>Organic Carbon, %</th>
<th>Organic Matter, %</th>
<th>EC, mS/m</th>
<th>Organic Carbon, %</th>
<th>Organic Matter, %</th>
<th>EC, mS/m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coarse Sand</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo Silty Sand</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo sandy loam</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yolo sandy loam</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, different soils from the Danish Soil Library (1945-70) were used in this study. Characteristics for these soils are given in Hansen (1976). Specific surface areas were determined for all these soils using the EGME method, and adsorption isotherms at oven-dried conditions were determined for selected soils.

The headspace technique, Equilibrium Partitioning In Closed Systems (EPICS) (e.g., Peterson et al., 1988; Ong and Lion, 1991a, b; Petersen et al., 1994; Amali et al., 1994) was used for determining partition coefficients. The method can briefly be described as a mass-balance technique that involves measurements by gas chromatography of the headspace vapor concentration in sealed glass bottles. A system with known gas volume and mass of sorbent is compared to a control that contains no sorbent. The sorbent masses ranged from 0.2 to 60 g. The amount of sorbent was increased for increasing water content. For each adsorption isotherm, six different
sorbent masses were used, with three replicates for each mass. The sorbent was introduced into amber glass bottles with a gas-tight syringe (Hamilton of the isotherms are all nonlinear, which is in agreement with what other researchers have found for oven-dry soils (e.g., Amali et al., 1994; Ong and Lion, 1991a, b). The \( K_0' \) values therefore are determined by fitting Eq. [3] to the data. Table 2 gives \( K_0' \) values for the four soils under oven-dry conditions along with \( K_0' \)-values for six different soils from the Danish Soil Library. As can be seen, there is a tremendous difference between the adsorption capacities of the 10 different soils. This might be explained by differences in texture and, hence, in specific surface area. Soil specific surface area is highly correlated to clay content, as can be seen from Fig. 3, in which surface areas, determined by the E.G.M.E. method for the four soils and several soils from the Danish Soil Library, are plotted against clay size fraction. The data correlate very well (\( R^2 = 0.91 \)) and the scatter can mainly be explained by differences in surface areas for different clay minerals. In this case it may be more correct to use a nonlinear expression since, as seen from Fig. 2, with a clay-content of 0 there is some surface area present corresponding to the silt and sand fractions. In Fig. 3, \( K_0' \)-values for oven-dry soils are plotted against E.G.M.E. surface areas. Included in the plot are \( K_0' \)-values reported by Ong and Lion (1991b). \( K_0' \)-values do not correlate well with specific surface area (\( R^2 = 0.61 \)). Although surface area and clay size fraction is well correlated independent of the mineralogical components, the VOC sorptive affinity for different minerals may be independent of bulk surface area and dependent more on the mineralogical composition. The low correlation may also partly be explained by the fact that mainly the

![Fig. 1. Adsorption isotherms for oven-dried soils.](image)

![Fig. 2. Relationship between total specific surface area and clay content.](image)

![Fig. 3. Relationship between \( K_0' \)-values for oven-dry soil and total specific surface area.](image)
external surface area of soil particles contribute to the adsorption capacity of VOC gases (Amali et al., 1994), whereas the surface areas determined in this study are total surface areas. The EGME method to determine surface area was used in the present study because of its simplicity and to be able to compare results with the measurements performed by Ong and Lion (1991a,b) since this is the only study, to our knowledge, which presents data for $K_D$ at oven-dry conditions and specific surface area. In conclusion we recommend that $K_D$-values for oven-dried conditions be determined experimentally and not by using empirical equations relating surface area and $K_D$.

In general, as water content increases, adsorption isotherms tend to take on a linear form and the adsorption capacity decreases. This is due to water molecules out-competing VOC molecules for adsorption sites, since the former is highly polar. Figure 4 shows adsorption isotherms for Odmun sandy clay at five different water contents. The slope of the isotherms, and hence the $K_D$-values, decreases with increasing water content and then stabilize at an almost constant value. This phenomenon is illustrated in Fig. 5 for all four soils. $K_D$-values are plotted against the numbers of molecular layers of $H_2O$ equivalent to the different water contents used in the experiments. The solid and dashed lines are produced from Eq. [4] (Ong and Lion, 1991b; Petersen et al., 1994):

$$K_D = \frac{K_D}{K_{OD}} \frac{w}{\rho w}$$

where $w$ is the water content by weight (g $H_2O$/g soil), $\varphi$ is the aqueous activity coefficient (= 1), and $\rho$ is the density of water (≈ 1g/cm$^3$). The points at which measured $K_D$-values fall on the lines indicate the applicability of Henry’s law; this point seems to be located at approximately four molecular layers of water for all soils except the aquifer material. We believe that the problem with the placement of the line for the aquifer material, lies in the determination of $K_D$. The regression of Eq. [1] to the data only had an $R^2$ of 0.71 (several repetitions of the experiment did not increase the accuracy) whereas for the other soils it was close to 1. Using the EPICS method and Eq. [1] can give problems on soils with very low adsorption capacity, since experimental errors overwhelm measurable differences due to different treatments. Ong and Lion (1991a) found the applicability of Henry’s law to be above approximately five molecular layers of water for TCE adsorption on a variety of minerals, and thus in agreement with our findings. $K_D$-values increase slightly for water contents higher than four molecular layers. This is due to dissolution of VOC molecules in the surface-bound water, that is, the adsorbed water molecules start to act as real water when sufficient amount of layers are present. Aqueous/solid partition coefficients, $K_D$, used in Eq. [4], were determined at saturated moisture conditions by the EPICS method and Eq. [1]. $K_d$-values for the four soils are given in Table 2. $K_D$-values are highest for the two Danish soils, which was expected because of their higher organic carbon contents. Figure 6 shows the relation between $K_D$ and percentage organic carbon; using results from this study together with values from Ong and Lion (1991b). The correlation is very good ($R^2 = 0.97$). The adsorptive effect of the amount of organic carbon can also be seen in Fig. 5. At very low water contents the mineral surfaces are the main contributor to the sorption capacity. The two American soils adsorb the highest...
values reported by Ong and Lion (1991a) are included. The $K_0$ value for the aquifer material was omitted.

amounts which for the aquifer material cannot be explained by the total surface area and clay content. After only a few layers of water molecules have been adsorbed on the two Danish soils with the higher amount of organic carbon adsorb the highest amount of VOC.

Petersen et al. (1994) concluded that accurate determination of $K_0$ should be done experimentally and not from the widely used empirical equations. We suggest that a whole curve (like the one in Fig. 5) describing the $K_0$-values dependency on water content can be determined by only a few experiments. First determination of total surface area to determine the water content equivalent to four molecular layers of water, and determination of $K_0$ (aqueous/solid partition coefficient) to be used along with Eq. [4] to describe the curve for water contents higher than four molecular layers of water molecules. To describe the shape of the curve from oven-dry conditions to four molecular layers of water molecules (non-Henry-range) we have used a one-parameter, exponential model for log $K_0$ as a function of $w$, Eq. [5],

$$ A = (A_0 - \beta)e^{-\alpha w} + \beta \quad 0 \leq w \leq w_m $$

where $A = \log K_0(w)$, $A_0 = \log K_0(w = 0)$, $w$ is water content by weight, $w_m$ is the water content at four molecular layers of water, $\beta$ is a fitting parameter which also is introduced to handle possible negative log $K_0$ values and $\alpha$ is a function of $\beta$. To observe the criteria $A = A_0$ for $w = 0$ and $A = \log K_0(w = w_m) = A_m$, for $w = w_m$, $\alpha$ as a function of $\beta$ is given by Eq. [6],

$$ \alpha = -\frac{A_m - \beta}{A_0 - \beta} $$

yielding

$$ A = (A_0 - \beta)e^{\left(-\alpha w\right)} $$

Equation [7] can be curve-fitted to the measured log $K_0$-values data by finding the $\beta$-value that minimizes the root mean square error (RMSE),

$$ \text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_{\text{meas}} - A_{\text{model}})^2} $$

where $n$ is number of data points.

Values of $\beta$ determined by using the least square fit of Eq. [7] to the measured data, and $\alpha$ calculated from Eq. [6] are given in Table 3 along with the RMSE of the fit. Equation [7] gives a very close fit to the measured data. Note that $\alpha$ increases with decreasing surface area of the soils as expected. For Yolo loam we have included adsorption data for toluene. Data for Yolo loam were measured by Petersen et al. (1994). It is interesting to note that $\alpha$-values for TCE and toluene are almost identical. Thus $\alpha$ seems to be independent of the nature of the VOC, which is only bound by weak van der Waals forces, and indeed dependent on the surface area of the soil. Comparisons between the $\alpha$-values (Table 3) and the measured total surface areas (Table 1) show that $\alpha$ is related to the specific surface area of a soil in a highly linear fashion. This is illustrated in Fig. 7. With an $R^2$ of 0.994, $\alpha$ relates linearly to the specific surface area, SA, as given in Eq. [9],

$$ \alpha = 84.1 - 0.585 \times \text{SA} \quad \text{[9]} $$

Figure 8a-d show the curve-fits to measurements for TCE or toluene on three soils using Eq. [7] and [8]. As seen, the extreme increase in $K_0$ with decreasing soil-water content, $w$, is accurately represented by an exponential relationship between log $K_0$ and $w$. The suggested model (Eq. [7]) therefore seems appropriate to include in VOC transport models to analyze the effects of VOC vapor sorption onto soil minerals. We note that a simple, exponential relationship between $K_0$ and water...
content within the non-Henry range as assumed in the numerical analysis of Culver et al. (1991) did not fit our data well. This relationship would indicate that the log $K_0$-w curves shown in Fig. 8a-d should be straight lines which is obviously not the case. Hence, Culver et al. (1991) may have underestimated the nonlinearity of the $K_0$-w relation in their calculations.

To reduce the numbers of experiments necessary to establish the $K_0$-w curve in the non-Henry range we have investigated whether the $K_0$-w curve could be estimated correctly from only three data sets \([w; A]\). The data points at \(w = 0 (A = A_0)\) and \(w = w_m (A = A_4)\) must be used (cf. Eq. [7]) along with a point at \(w = w_t (A = A_t)\) placed somewhere in between these two target points. We have concluded that \(w_t\) should be chosen well within the non-Henry range in such a way that \(A_t\) be placed approximately between \(A_0\) and \(A_4\) that is, \(A_t \approx 0.5 A_0\). Since typical values of \(A_0\) and \(A_t\) are negligible compared to \(A_4\) (cf. Table 3), \(A_t\) can be expressed as \(A_t \approx A_0 e^{-w}\) (cf. Eq. [7]). The corresponding optimal water content at which to conduct the adsorption experiment can therefore be determined from Eq. [10],

\[
\ln(0.5) = -\alpha
\]  

where an estimate for \(\alpha\) may be obtained from Eq. [9]. Applying this procedure on the Yolo silt loam data using \(w_t = 0.02 \text{ gH}_2\text{O/g soil}\) (corresponding to the \(w\) value calculated from Eq. [10] and [9]) yielded nearly the same \(\alpha\)-values (\(\alpha = 43.1\) for TCE and \(\alpha = 35.6\) for toluene) compared to fitting Eq. [7] to all six measured data points within the non-Henry range (\(\alpha = 37.3\) for TCE and \(\alpha = 34.3\) for toluene cf. Table 3).

In the above model (Eq. [5]-[7]) the point of four molecular layers coverage of water has been chosen as the matching point in agreement with the findings of Ong and Lion (1991a, b). In some applications it could be an advantage to apply a continuous function covering the whole range of soil-water contents from oven-dry conditions to saturation, instead of a two-part model.

For this purpose we suggest the model given in Eq. [11] and [12], which results in a smoother transition between the nonlinear and linear regions.

\[
A = (A_0 - \beta(w))e^{-w} + \beta(w)
\]

where

\[
\beta(w) = \log \left(\frac{K_0}{K_H} + \frac{w}{K_0\alpha}\right)
\]  

For this suggested approach (Eq. [11] and [12]), physical significance is attached to the variable \(\beta(w)\) because it represents the aqueous/solid and vapor/aqueous components of the overall partitioning. The parameter \(\alpha\) is still a function of the minimum \(\alpha(w)\) and the degree of curvature of the nonlinear part of the curve. The results of a sensitivity analysis using Eq. [11] and [12] with different choices of \(\alpha\) is given in Fig. 9a.

The model given by Eq. [11] and [12] has an disadvantage in a fitting process since many measurement points are needed to establish a good fit. For our data it was
Curve fitted, does not correlate well to the total specific surface area of sensitivity analysis in, for example, existing chemical transport models. Here it could be used as an easy approach to evaluate the effects of vapor/solid adsorption on chemical fate and transport.

The EPICS method used to determine the above adsorption isotherms is only valid for the relatively low vapor pressure range (Peterson et al., 1988; Ong and Lion, 1991b; Amali et al., 1994), since the $K_0$-values are determined for the linear part of the adsorption isotherms. For high concentrations and hence high relative vapor pressures of VOCs, adsorption isotherms can be described by the BET-theory (e.g., Juriak and Volman, 1957; Chiou and Shoup, 1985; Poe et al., 1988; Amali et al., 1994).

CONCLUSIONS

1. For VOC adsorption, at oven-dry conditions, $K_0$ does not correlate well to the total specific surface area of soils. Thus, $K_0$ at these conditions should be determined experimentally and not from empirical equations relating total specific surface area to $K_0$.

2. Water molecules apparently start acting as a solvent to VOC molecules at water contents equal to or greater than four molecular layers, for all soils examined in this paper. Consequently, that is also the point where Henry's law becomes applicable.

3. For the lower VOC concentration range where the partition coefficient concept is applicable, we have suggested a two-part model for $A = \log K_0'$ as function of the soil-water content ($w$). Part 1: An exponential, closed-form model (Eq. [7]) within the non-Henry part (water contents less than four molecular layers) where the model parameter $\alpha$ is shown to be highly dependent on the specific surface area of the soils. Part 2: A linear model within the Henry part according to Henry's law [yielding $A = \log (K_0 / K_0' + w/(K_0' \cdot w))$]. The two-part model concept for VOC-vapor adsorption ($\log K_0'$-$w$) is illustrated in Fig. 10. Inclusion of this two-part model concept and especially Eq. [7] in simulation models for VOC transport is suggested for analyzing the effects of VOC vapor sorption onto soil minerals.

4. The $K_0'$-$w$ curve should be determined experimentally. This, though, can be done by relatively few experiments: 1. Determination of total specific surface area to determine the water content equivalent to four molecular layers of water. 2. Determination of $K_0'$ which along with Eq. [4] describe the curve for $w$ higher than or equal to four molecular layers of water. 3. Determination of $K_0'$ at oven-dry conditions, and finally 4. Determination of $K_0'$ at one or more water contents between oven dry and four molecular layers of water. For choosing at which intermediate water content $K_0'$ should be determined we suggest using Eq. [10].
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Article III.
Transient Diffusion, Adsorption, and Emission of Volatile Organic Vapors in Soils with Fluctuating Low Water Contents

L. W. Petersen,* Y. H. El-Farhan, P. Moldrup, D. E. Rolston, and T. Yamaguchi

ABSTRACT

Laboratory experiments were conducted on large packed soil columns (15 cm diam., 40 cm length) under unsaturated conditions. Trichloroethylene (TCE) was introduced at the bottom of the columns. The emissions of TCE from the soil surface were monitored. The soil-water content at the soil surface was changed by alternating dry and water-saturated air sweeping over the column surface, thus changing the vapor sorption capacity of the soil. The retardation of VOC gas transport, due to the change in adsorption capacity with change in soil-water content, was determined by sampling the soil-air and the sweep air flushing the column headspace. Soil-water content was monitored by the time-domain reflectometry (TDR) technique. The transport of VOC gases from experiment initiation until steady-state was simulated using a numerical simulation model, in which diffusion and equilibrium partition theory were incorporated. Measured and simulated concentration profiles as well as volatilization fluxes agreed very well. The decrease in soil-water content, caused by sweeping dry air over the column surface, resulted in an increase in the soil adsorption capacity up to several orders of magnitude (mainly in the top few centimeters of soil). Both increase and decrease in soil-water content affected the volatilization flux manifested in large rapid peaks of TCE volatilizing from the surface. This behavior was likewise simulated using a numerical model with changing diffusion coefficients and retardation factors as function of soil-air content, and good agreement was obtained between model simulations and measured data.

The presence and persistence of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in soil and groundwater systems are of serious concern, due to their potential hazardous effect on human health. Accurate prediction of the movement and fate of these compounds is very complex, since many of the processes occur simultaneously, interact among themselves and have high dependency on changes in soil physical properties. Because of their volatile character, VOCs readily partition to the gas-phase and thereby increase the overall spreading of the contamination in soil. This contamination front will eventually reach the soil surface and cause emissions into the atmosphere, creating potential for human exposure. Emissions take place from industrial sites, hazardous waste treatment and disposal facilities, above shallow groundwater plumes, and anywhere the contamination might have spread due to transport in soil or migration in groundwater. Emissions of VOCs are highly dependent on their transport (especially gaseous diffusion) in the vadose zone. Emissions of chemicals have been studied for pesticides (e.g., Spencer and Cilith, 1973), and recently research has been conducted on the emissions to the atmosphere of VOCs (e.g., Drivas, 1982; Stiver and Mackay, 1984; Jury et al., 1990; Shonnard and Bell, 1993; Nye et al., 1994).

Both experimental work (e.g., Chiu et al., 1985; Chiu and Shoup, 1985; Petersen et al., 1988; Rhue et al., 1988; Ong and Lion, 1991a,b; Petersen et al., 1994, 1995a) and computer models (e.g., Culver et al., 1991; Ong et al., 1992) have shown that vapor-phase sorption in dry soils is substantially higher (several orders of magnitude) than in wet soils. This is due to the extremely high sorptive capacity of dry soil particles. This behavior will also have an impact on the emissions of VOCs to the atmosphere, since the top soil layer can become very dry, and therefore have the potential of putting a cap on the emissions of VOCs from the soil.

The objectives of the present work are (i) to examine the effects of alternating soil-water contents on the diffusion, adsorption, and emissions of TCE in and from unsaturated soil above a liquid contamination source; (ii) to examine whether simple equilibrium partition theory, incorporated into a numerical diffusion model, can be used to describe experiments on transient transport and emission of TCE at constant and fluctuating low soil-water content; and (iii) to examine whether a layer of dry soil at the soil surface potentially can put a cap on the emissions of VOCs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Transient diffusion, adsorption, and emission experiments were conducted on Yolo silt loam (fine-silty, mixed, non-acid, thermic Typic Xerorthent) and a sandy loam from Odum, Denmark (fine-sandy, mixed, mesic, calcareous, Typic Acrudalf). Some relevant characteristics of the two soils are given in Table 1. Analysis methods are described by Petersen et al. (1994, 1995a).

The experiments were conducted in a diffusion apparatus consisting of only stainless steel and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) to prevent the VOC from adsorbing on or diffusing through the walls. The apparatus consisted of a bottom reservoir containing pure liquid TCE and TCE vapor in air, a middle column (15 cm in diam. and 40 cm high) packed with prewetted soil, and a top chamber through which sweep-air could be flushed over the surface of the soil column (Fig. 1). Initial soil-water contents and bulk-density for the different experiments are given in Table 2. Additional columns were attached to each end of the soil column before packing. The middle part, which was expected to have the most homogeneous packing, was used in the experiments. Immediately after pack-

Abbreviations: VOC, volatile organic chemical; TCE, trichloroethylene; TDR, time-domain reflectometry; GC, gas chromatograph.
ing, two stainless steel screens were placed at the bottom of the soil column. One was a fine mesh-screen to prevent grains from falling through (but not affecting diffusion) and the other a strong coarse-mesh screen to carry the weight of the soil. Finally, the bottom and the top chambers were attached to the column.

Thirteen mini TDR-probes were installed horizontally into the soil column at the following depths from the soil surface: 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 20, 25, 30, and 35 cm. The probes were constructed as described in Petersen et al. (1995b), with a rod diameter, rod spacing, and rod length of 2 mm, 2 cm, and 12 cm, respectively. The only exception was the probe placed closest to the soil surface. This probe had a rod spacing of 1 cm to achieve a smaller sample volume around the probe (Petersen et al., 1995b) and thus provided the ability to measure close to the soil-surface without any influence on the measurement from the higher impedance of the air above the soil. The TDR rods were fitted with small PTFE plugs to prevent any contact between the rods and the stainless steel wall. Miniature o-rings were placed on the outside of the PTFE plugs, making a compression fit against the steel wall and thus preventing any VOC leaks around the TDR rods (Fig. 1). The TDR probes were pressed against the column wall by a plastic bar screwed into the column as indicated in Fig. 1.

Each TDR probe was calibrated individually to obtain the correct relationship between the volumetric soil-water content and the soil dielectric constant. Calibration curves were obtained by fitting measured values of the dielectric constant and the volumetric soil-water content to a third-order polynomial. These calibration curves were used along with probe-specific values of trace offset and probe length (Petersen et al., 1995b) to determine the volumetric soil-water content at each depth as a function of time.

The TDR measurements were done automatically using a Tektronix 1502B cable tester (Tektronix Inc., Beaverton, OR) equipped with an RS 232 computer interface (Tektronix model SP233 option port module) and connected to a Tektronix TDS 4513 port relay scanner, a 15 box, and a personal computer (Fig. 1). Software for controlling the total TDR system and collecting and analyzing TDR traces is described by Thomsen and Thorsen (1994), Thomsen (1994), and Petersen et al. (1995b). The TDR measurements were taken once every hour.

Gas samples could be obtained at the outlet of the top sweep-air chamber and at 13 locations in the soil column. In the top chamber, a Mininet (Dynatech, Baco Rouge, LA) PTFE sampling valve was screwed directly into a specially made, stainless-steel fitting, thus allowing for gas sampling. In the soil column, the same principle was used along with 3 cm long TCE needles that were introduced into the column after packing (Fig. 1). Before installation, a metal wire was placed inside the needle to prevent clogging during the installation. The Mininet provided a compression fit onto the needle and thus prevented any leakage. The needles were placed in the soil columns at the same depths as, but in a 90 degree angle from, the TDR probes. During gas sampling, 25 mL of gas was removed from each sampling valve. Since gas samples were only 25 µL out of a total vapor phase in the column of approximately 3 L, convective flow of gas due to sampling was not likely to be induced. Gas samples were analyzed on a Varian 3000 Series gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID). The packed GC column was a 3 m (10 ft) 0.28 mm SP 2100, 0.1% Carbowax 5500, on 100/120 mesh Supelcoport (Supelco Inc.), operated isothermally at 140 °C with N2 as the carrier gas.

The experiments were initiated by separating the bottom chamber from the apparatus by a stainless steel/PTFE piston (Fig. 1). The liquid TCE was introduced into the bottom reservoir and left to equilibrate for approximately 20 min. At time zero, the piston was pulled back and diffusion through the soil column initiated. Simultaneously, a constant flow of air was swept over the soil surface through the top flow chamber. The flow was controlled by an ADC air supply unit with mass flowmeter (Analytical Development Co. Ltd., Herts, UK) that adequately swept away TCE emissions from the soil surface. The flow rate was kept constant at 400 mL min⁻¹ and 350 mL min⁻¹ for experiments on Yolo silt loam and Øorum sandy loam, respectively, replacing the air of the flow chamber every few minutes. The relative humidity of the sweep air was alternated between 100 and 0% (measured with a Testo 610 Hygrometer, Buhl & Børsøe A/S, Denmark) by either bubbling it through four bottles of distilled water or passing the sweep air through bottles containing silica gel.

The course of the experiments was divided into three periods: Period I, which covers from the start of the experiment until the concentration profile was at steady-state—during this period the relative humidity of the sweep air was kept constant at 100%; Period II (drying), in which the relative humidity of the sweep air was changed to 0% and kept constant; and Period III (wetting), in which the relative humidity of the sweep air was changed to 100% and kept constant.

Adsorption isotherms, and partition coefficients at different soil-water contents for the two soils are presented in Petersen et al. (1994, 1995a) and will not be discussed here.

### THEORY

Under conditions where the VOC is only a small fraction in the VOC-air mixture, Ficks 2nd law can be used to describe diffusion (Amali and Roslon, 1993). Very high relative vapor pressure of TCE was only present in the vicinity of the bottom chamber containing liquid TCE. Barbe and Bresil (1986) did not observe any significant deviation from Ficks law when ternary systems containing one VOC diffused in a stagnant mixture of O2 and N2. Therefore, in this study, Ficks law was thought to be applicable to describe diffusion in favor of the Stefan-Maxwell equations. Also the soil-gas diffusivity, Da (cm² soil air cm⁻¹ soil min⁻¹), can be assumed to be independent of the gas concentration, C (g VOC cm⁻³ air). Hence, the unsteady gas-phase diffusion with dissolution and sorption in soils, under steady state water regime corresponding to Period I of the experiments, is given by

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_a \left( \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} \right)
\]

(1)

where \( x \) is the volumetric soil-air content (cm³ air cm⁻³ soil), \( t \) is time (min), \( S_a \) is amount of VOC-vapor dissolved in water (g VOC cm⁻³ soil), and \( S_0 \) is amount of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Yolo</th>
<th>Øorum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface area, m² g⁻¹</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon, %</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC, millimhos cm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC, meq 100 g⁻¹</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>13.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand, %</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt, %</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay, %</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four molecular layers of H₂O, g H₂O g⁻¹ soil</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VOC-vapor adsorbed to soil solids (g VOC cm\(^{-3}\) soil). For high soil-water contents, \(w\) (higher than four molecular layers) (Petersen et al., 1994, 1995a), dissolution of VOCs can be described by Henry's Law (Eq. [2]), which governs the equilibrium distribution of the VOC between aqueous and vapor phase

\[
C = K_H C_{aq}
\]  

where \(C_{aq}\) is the VOC concentration in the aqueous phase, and \(K_H\) is the dimensionless Henry's constant (0.397 for TCE; Petersen et al., 1994), yielding

\[
S_w = \frac{C}{K_H}
\]  

where \(S_w\) is the volumetric soil-water content (cm\(^3\) H\(_2\)O cm\(^{-1}\) soil).

Under the same assumptions, and neglecting adsorption kinetics, the sorption of dissolved VOCs onto soil particles can be described by the aqueous/solid partition coefficient, \(K_0\) (cm\(^3\) g\(^{-1}\)), yielding

\[
S_0 = K_0 \frac{C}{X_B} \rho_s
\]  

where \(\rho_s\) is the soil bulk density (g soil cm\(^{-3}\) soil). Taking the derivatives of \(S_w\) and \(S_0\) with respect to time and substituting into Eq. [1] gives...
Soil-air content, soil-water and soil-air contents and dry bulk density in packed columns. Calculated number of molecular layers of water correspond to the initial soil-water content.

Table 2. Initial soil-water and soil-air contents and dry bulk density in packed columns. Calculated number of molecular layers of water correspond to the initial soil-water content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil type</th>
<th>Yolo silt loam</th>
<th>Oelom sandy loam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil-water content</td>
<td>0.674</td>
<td>0.1197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry bulk density</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil-air content</td>
<td>0.4122</td>
<td>0.3027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial molecular layers of H₂O</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
R \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_o \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} \tag{5}
\]

where \( R \) is the retardation factor and is given by

\[
R = 1 + \frac{K_D}{K_H} \frac{D_o}{\epsilon} \tag{6}
\]

For low soil-water contents (<4 molecular layers of H₂O), the adsorption capacity of soils increases drastically (Ong and Lion, 1991a,b; Petersen et al., 1994, 1995a) and Henry's Law is no longer valid. Thus, the adsorption/desorption should instead be described by applying the solid/vapor partition coefficient \( K_D \) (cm² g⁻¹), yielding

\[
S_t + S_w = K_D C_p \tag{7}
\]

Using the same procedure as above, the retardation factor for this case can be described as

\[
R = 1 + K_D \frac{D_o}{\epsilon} \tag{8}
\]

It is assumed that sorption will be linear. This assumption is correct for low relative vapor pressures. Also, above relative humidities (RH) of 0.5, sorption isotherms for VOCs are linear when their relative vapor pressures are less than 0.5 (Chiou and Shoup, 1985). Since relative vapor pressures were very high only at the bottom of the soil column where also RH was constantly high the assumption of linear isotherms will only introduce minor errors.

For the conditions of the experimental apparatus given schematically by Fig. 2, the following transport equations apply

\[
R \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = D_o \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} \quad x_1 \leq x \leq x_2 \tag{9a}
\]

\[
0 = D_o \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} \quad 0 \leq x \leq x_1, x_2 \leq x \leq x_3 \tag{9b}
\]

where \( D_o \) is the gaseous diffusion coefficient in free air (cm² min⁻¹) and with the initial and boundary conditions as follows.

Initial Conditions

Liquid TCE was introduced to the inlet chamber with the surface of the liquid TCE being at \( x = x_1 \) and \( t = -t \), with the soil column isolated from the bottom TCE reservoir using a piston mechanism (see the Materials and Methods section). After a period of time \( (t = 0) \) passed, the piston was slowly pulled back from the soil surface \( (t = 0) \) and the experiment initiated. Some mixing of the VOC vapor might have occurred during movement of the piston. This will influence the concentration profile only at very early times. The vapor concentration as a function of distance within the bottom chamber was calculated according to Eq. (9a) for the period \( t < -t < 0 \) as well as for the period \( t > 0 \). The initial conditions can be represented mathematically by

\[
C(x, -t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \tag{10a}
\]

\[
C(x, 0) = 0 \quad x_1 \leq x < x_2 \tag{10b}
\]

\[
C(x, 0) = f(x) \quad x_2 \leq x < x_3 \tag{10c}
\]

where Eq. (10c) represents the vapor concentration profile within the TCE reservoir at \( t = 0 \).

Boundary Conditions

\[
C(0, t) = 0 \tag{11a}
\]

\[
C(x_1, t) = C_D \tag{11b}
\]

\[
-D_o \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} = -D_p \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \quad x = x_1 \tag{11c}
\]

where \( C_D \) is the vapor concentration of TCE at the surface of the liquid TCE.

VOC Diffusion and Adsorption during Unsteady Water Regime

During unsteady water regime, corresponding to Period II and III, the retardation factor and the volumetric soil-air content are changing with time. Likewise, the soil-gas diffusivity is varying in space. Therefore, the following transport equation applies instead of Eq. (11a) during Period II and III:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\epsilon R C) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D_o \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) \tag{12}
\]

For Yolo loam, the soil-gas diffusivity function, \( D_o (t) \), could be determined from the relationship given in Eq. (113) (Troeh et al., 1982; Petersen et al., 1994), which was established specifically for this soil.

\[
D_o = \frac{D_o (t)}{1 - 0.12 \left( \frac{H}{H_0} \right)^{1.23}} \tag{13}
\]

The value of the diffusion coefficient in free air, \( D_o \), was calculated using the Fuller correlation (Fuller et al., 1966) to

Table 3. Parameter values used in Eq. (14) and (15). Values are from Petersen et al. (1995a); details on the determination of the parameters can be found herein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Yolo silt loam</th>
<th>Oelom sandy loam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A_1 )</td>
<td>3.332</td>
<td>2.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_2 )</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta )</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>58.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta )</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chosen as the actual \( w \) value (g H₂O g⁻¹) soil closest to the estimated soil-water content at four molecular layers (Petersen et al., 1995a).
Fig. 3. Measured and simulated concentration profiles for TCE transport, in Yolo silt loam, from start of the experiment until steady-state (Period B). The sweep-gas was kept with a constant relative humidity of 100% to prevent evaporation and thus drying of the soil surface. The depths -20 to 0 cm (below the solid horizontal line) represent the bottom VOC chamber. Depths 0 to 40 cm is the soil column.

give 0.0835 cm² s⁻¹ for TCE (at 25°C). For the Ædum sandy loam, a Dₘ value was determined from the transient flux data (Fig. 5b). This value agreed well with the Dₘ value predicted from Eq. (13); thus, Eq. (13) was also used for calculating Dₘ for this soil.

The change in TCE retardation and thus in K, with changing soil-water content is predicted by applying Eq. (14) and (15) (Petersen et al., 1995a) for soil-water contents less than the equivalent of four molecular layers of water as follows

\[ A = (A_0 - \beta) e^{-\omega \alpha} + \beta \quad (0 \leq \omega \leq \omega_e) \quad [14] \]

where A = log K(\omega), A₀ = log K(\omega = 0), \omega is water content by weight, \omega_e is the water content at four molecular layers of water, \beta is a fitting parameter, and \alpha as a function of \beta is given by

\[ \alpha = \frac{\ln(D_{sl} - \beta)}{\omega_e} \quad [15] \]

where \alpha is a constant related to the specific surface area of
Fig. 4. Measured and simulated concentration profiles for TCE transport in Qdum sandy loam, from start of the experiment until steady-state (Period 1). The sweep-gas was kept with a constant relative humidity of 100% to prevent evaporation and thus drying of the soil surface. The depths -20 to 0 cm (below the solid horizontal line) represent the bottom VOC chamber. Depths 0 to 40 cm is the soil column.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Period 1: From Start until Steady-State

The concentration profiles along the soil column at different times after the start of the experiment and until steady-state are given in Fig. 3 and 4 for Yolo silt loam and Qdum sandy loam, respectively. The profile reached steady state relatively fast where the concentrations increased linearly toward the TCE reservoir. During this period the soil-water content was constant with depth.

The concentration profiles along the soil column at different times after the start of the experiment and until steady-state are given in Fig. 3 and 4 for Yolo silt loam and Qdum sandy loam, respectively. The profile reached steady state relatively fast where the concentrations increased linearly toward the TCE reservoir. During this period the soil-water content was constant with depth.

The concentration profiles along the soil column at different times after the start of the experiment and until steady-state are given in Fig. 3 and 4 for Yolo silt loam and Qdum sandy loam, respectively. The profile reached steady state relatively fast where the concentrations increased linearly toward the TCE reservoir. During this period the soil-water content was constant with depth.

PETERSEN ET AL.: VOLATILE ORGANIC VAPORS IN SOILS
The transport and retardation of TCE can be simulated numerically by discretizing Eq. [9a,b]. The Crank-Nicholson Finite Difference method was used to solve the equations for the three regions—(i) TCE vapor reservoir; (ii) soil; (iii) the stagnant air boundary layer—with the continuity of flux condition enforced at each interface between the different regions. Depth increments used were 0.1 cm and time increments were adjusted to maintain mass balance (typically 0.001–5 min). No model parameters were fitted; instead, all were taken from related studies (Petersen et al., 1994, 1995a).

Results of the numerical simulations are shown by the solid lines in Fig. 3 and 4. For Yolo silt loam, the soil was initially dryer than what is equivalent to four molecular layers; hence, the retardation factor ($R$) was determined by Eq. [8] using a $K_{b}$ value of 2.147 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ (from Eq. [14]). The air-filled porosity for Yolo silt loam was fairly high (see Table 2). Thus, the diffusion was fast compared with Odonum sandy loam, and equilibrium was therefore reached sooner. In general, measured and simulated values agreed extremely well with slightly more noise in the data for the Odonum sandy loam. This may be attributed to the smaller air-filled porosity in this soil due to its high water content causing the accuracy of soil-air sampling to decrease.

The amount of VOC lost by emission from the soil compartment can be simulated by assuming that the gas escapes by molecular diffusion in the gaseous phase through a stagnant air layer, $d$, at the soil surface. Assuming that the concentration above the stagnant layer is zero, the volatilization flux, $q$ (g VOC cm$^{-2}$ soil m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), can be calculated using Eq. [17]

$$q = D_v \frac{C_{s+a}}{d} \left( \frac{dx}{dt} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} [17]

where $C_{s+a}$ is the concentration of VOC at a distance $dx$ from the top of the stagnant air layer. The distance $dx$ is smaller than $d$, where $d$ is the thickness of the stagnant boundary layer. A value of 0.1 cm (equivalent to the general depth increment used in the simulations) was used for $dx$ for the determination of the flux in Eq. [17]. A value of $d = 0.475$ cm was used in the simulations (Jury et al., 1990). Sensitivity analysis showed that the simulated emission was virtually unaffected by changing $d$ between 0.2 and 1.0 cm.

The measured flux of TCE from the soil surface was determined using Eq. [18]

$$q = C \frac{Q}{A} \left( \frac{dx}{dt} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} [18]

where $C$ is the TCE concentration in the outlet sweep air (g VOC cm$^{-3}$ air), $Q$ is the sweep-air flow rate (cm$^3$ air s$^{-1}$), and $A$ is the cross sectional area of the soil-column surface (cm$^2$). Measured and simulated volatilization fluxes from the start of the experiments until steady state was reached are shown in Fig. 5a and 5b for both soils. Measured and simulated fluxes agreed very well, indicating that simple partition theory can be applied to describe gaseous diffusion and emission of VOC in these experiments.

**Fig. 5.** Measured and simulated volatilization fluxes for (a) Yolo silt loam, and (b) Odonum sandy loam. From start of the experiment until steady state (Period I).

**Periods II and III: Drying and Wetting**

After steady-state was reached, the relative humidity of the sweep air was changed to 0% RH (Period II). The change in soil-water content with time at selected depths (measured with TDR) is shown in Fig. 6 and 7. The predicted increase in adsorption capacity ($K_s$) due to the decrease in soil-water content (molecular layers of water) is shown in the same figures.

The increase in adsorption capacity was very pronounced in the top 1 cm of the soil columns, especially for Yolo silt loam. The lowest soil-water contents obtained for Yolo silt loam and Odonum sandy loam was equivalent to 0.22 and 0.88 molecular layers of water, respectively. If the soils simultaneously had been influenced by increased temperature and all water molecules removed (even chemically bonded water), $K_s$ would increase to 3401 and 174 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ for Yolo silt loam and Odonum sandy loam, respectively (Petersen et al., 1995a). One would expect this phenomenon to greatly restrict the volatilization from the soil compartment and into the atmosphere. Hence, even a few millimeters of soil could store large amounts of adsorbed VOC gases, which would be released as soon as water molecules were reintroduced to the soil surface (represented here in Period III by sweeping air with RH 100% over the soil surface after the drying period). Figure 8 shows the
response in volatilization fluxes for the two different soils due to the decrease in soil-water content (Fig. 6 and 7), obtained by sweeping dry air over the soil surface, and hence an increase in adsorption capacity. Also, the figures show the response to the later increase in soil-water content. The decreased soil-water content did not seem to have the expected effect of decreasing the volatilization fluxes. Immediately after drying was initiated, the volatilization flux increased in a rapid peak. This phenomenon may be explained by the fact that the water that immediately started to evaporate contained dissolved VOC. This led to a sudden increase in VOC concentration in the liquid phase which, by Henry's partitioning, would have resulted in a corresponding increase in VOC concentra-

Fig. 6. Change in soil-water content and $K_d$ for Yolo silt loam in four depths as a function of time after start of the experiment. Note the different y-axis for the 1-cm depth.

Fig. 7. Change in soil-water content and $K_d$ for Ódum sandy loam in two depths as a function of time after start of the experiment.
surface and concentrations at the surface are quite low. For these conditions, we suggest that the top few millimeters to a leaky container of the soil surface. This is, however, in contrast to situations where the source of contamination is deep underground, significantly. Even though the flux, was more than enough to overwhelm the gradual increase (VOCs), the situation is analogous for example for Yolo silty loam. The VOC emissions from a soil surface, two- or threefold error in the prediction may occur if measurements were made with disregard to sudden changes in soil-water contents (for example such changes may occur in the morning where the soil surface dries out or evenings when water vapor condenses on the soil surface). Figure 8 also shows simulations of the emissions of TCE during period II and III. In these simulations, it is taken into account that \( D_r \) becomes a variable since \( \varepsilon \) is changing with time and \( D_r \) is a function of \( \varepsilon \). For this purpose soil-water contents measured with the TDR were read into the numerical model, and, using linear interpolation, soil-water contents were determined for each time step, which consequently allowed for the explicit determination of \( D_r, R, \) and \( \varepsilon \) throughout the simulation. For both soils, the second peak resulting from wetting is predicted by the model whereas the first peak is not predicted for any of the soils. For the Yolo soil the simulated second peak is smaller than the measured. As discussed, the first peak must be caused by other physical phenomena than those incorporated into the numerical model. In general, measured and simulated volatilization fluxes agree well for the whole duration of the experiment.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The transient diffusive and volatilization fluxes of gaseous VOCs could be described well by applying simple partitioning theory. Simulations of gaseous emissions were not highly dependent on the size of the stagnant boundary layer.

Theoretically, a decrease in soil-water content should greatly increase the adsorption capacity of the soil. When decreasing the soil-water content in the experiments, the increased adsorption capacity had some effect on the volatilization flux, but not as much as expected. This may partly be explained by the fact that a constant high flux of VOC vapor from the source of contamination was maintaining all adsorption sites occupied.

Measurements of VOC emissions are extremely dependent on soil-water contents. Full knowledge of fluctu-
tions in soil-water content can be critical for measuring emissions correctly. A sudden increase in soil-water content can cause a sharp increase in VOC emissions that may be overlooked if the measurements were not concurrent to the soil-water changes.
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Abstract

Volatile organic chemical (VOC) and water vapors are present simultaneously in the soil gas phase. Any modeling of VOC vapor flow must account for the strong competition they experience from water and any competition between themselves. To account for these competitions, the multicomponent form of the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller equation with finite number of adsorption layers was tested. Of the three input constants required in the multicomponent model, two can be obtained from single species adsorption experiments. The third constant was found to be bounded within a fairly narrow range; although its physical meaning is debatable, its value can be approximated fairly accurately. The data used to check the applicability of this model were for the adsorption of trichloroethylene and toluene on a sand and Yolo silt loam (fine-silty, mixed, nonacid, thermic Typic Xerorthent). For relative humidities (RHs) corresponding to less than one molecular layer of water coverage and at low to very low toluene and trichloroethylene (TCE) vapor pressures, it underpredicted the adsorbed amounts of both species from their binary mixtures with water on both soils. At RHs corresponding to between one and two molecular layers of water coverage, predictions compared well with data. At RHs corresponding to about two layers of water, the model overpredicted the adsorbed amounts. At toluene relative vapor pressures above 0.1 the model reasonably described the adsorbed amount on sand at two RHs corresponding to one to two water layers. This model was further tested on published adsorption data of para-xylene and water on soil. It was reasonably successful in describing adsorption of para-xylene from its binary mixture with water above para-xylene relative vapor pressure of 0.069 and water relative humidity of 0.084. No competition between TCE and toluene was observed at the low vapor pressures in our experiments. Simulations of adsorption in the ternary systems of TCE, toluene, and water followed the same pattern as for the binary simulations.

1. Introduction

Realistic modeling of vapor flow for volatile organic chemicals (VOCs), especially in fairly dry soils, requires models of adsorption of these vapors to
soil particles. The strong competition of polar water with nonpolar VOC molecules for adsorption sites has been recognized by many researchers [1–5]. Under dry conditions, adsorption on the external surfaces of minerals is the primary VOC vapor sorption mechanism [4, 6–9]. The vapor adsorption isotherms on dry soils follow the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) isotherms [3, 6, 8]. The most observed of the BET isotherms is the Type II which is characteristic of vapor condensation forming multilayer adsorbates on non-porous or macro-porous adsorbents [10]. As the relative humidity (RH) in the soil increases from zero, strong polar interactions between water molecules and soil minerals predominate over the relatively weak Van der Waals forces between the VOC vapor molecules and mineral surfaces [7, 8]. Chiou and Shoup [3] showed that BET adsorption isotherms of a few chlorobenzenes became linear as RH increased to 0.50. Above a RH of 0.50, isotherms became linear for VOC relative vapor pressures (actual vapor pressure/saturated vapor pressure) of less than 0.50. Rhue et al. [9] found that at RH values close to a monolayer of water coverage on a kaolinitic soil and on a silica gel, the adsorption of para-xylene decreased much more noticeably than at lower or higher RH values. At higher RH values, Henry’s partitioning into water and partitioning into soil organic matter become the dominant uptake mechanisms for VOC vapors over adsorption on external mineral surfaces [5]. Jurinak and Volman [6] obtained data on adsorption of ethylene dibromide on soils up to a relative vapor pressure of 0.9. They obtained a good fit of the BET equation to the data up to relative vapor pressures of 0.6–0.7. Poe et al. [8] used the BET equation to fit adsorption data of a few widely different chemicals on four types of soils. Their correlation coefficients indicate good fits over the range of data used. The success of the BET equation to fit single vapor adsorption data, particularly in the 0.05–0.3 relative vapor pressure range, has prompted its widespread use in calculations of monolayer coverage and soil surface area from nonpolar compounds (Sing et al. [10]).

Models of multicomponent adsorption must account for the competition of water vapor with the VOC vapors and any competition between the individual VOC vapors. Even though competition between nonpolar VOC species for adsorption sites is expected to be much less intense than competition with water, the strength of competition is expected to increase with the polarity of the species [3, 8]. The extension of the single component multilayer BET isotherm to a multicomponent form presented by Hill [11, 12] may offer some possibilities in this regard. Valsaraj and Thibodeaux [4] used a binary form of Hill’s general equation to get simplified isotherms to estimate partial pressures of VOCs above soils. They point out that this equation applies best to results at low VOC relative vapor pressures. Their equation allows an infinite number of adsorption layers thereby assuming that a free liquid surface can be formed on the surface of soil minerals. Thibodeaux et al. [13] used a similar equation to theoretically investigate the adsorption of slightly volatile organic chemical (SVOC) vapors and water on atmospheric aerosols. Their equation indicated the correct behavior of the SVOC isotherm at various RHs. However, the
applicability of their equation to soils is not clear. Rhue et al. [9] proposed a formula for the mass fraction of an adsorbed species in the total mass adsorbed from a binary vapor system. An equation derived based on their equation greatly overpredicts the total mass adsorbed at low water contents but its predictions are reasonably close to measured values at higher RHs. Pennel et al. [14] compared the results of the Valsaraj and Thibodeaux [4] equation and Rhue et al. [9] equation. They collected single and binary adsorption data of para-xylene on a kaolinitic soil at low to medium para-xylene relative vapor pressure ranges and at RHs corresponding to less than one molecular water layer. The models used in their paper also assume that an infinite number of adsorption layers could form on the surface. They concluded that at low RHs, the binary BET equation underestimated the adsorption of both water and para-xylene due to noncomplete monolayer coverage by water. However, it is not known how the BET equation performs at higher RHs and VOC relative vapor pressures.

In this paper we will investigate the applicability of the multicomponent BET model to adsorption of VOC vapors in the presence of water on soils. We will set the maximum number of adsorbed layers \((n)\) to be finite and investigate the implications of this choice for multicomponent adsorption modeling. The predictions of the multicomponent BET equation can be compared theoretically against the forms used by Valsaraj and Thibodeaux [4] and Thibodeaux et al. [13], to investigate the possible strengths and limitations of choosing a finite \(n\) value. Moreover, we will compare the predictions of the multicomponent BET model with measured trichloro ethylene (TCE) and toluene vapor adsorption data from binary and ternary mixtures with water on a sand and a Yolo silt loam. Finally, we will compare the multicomponent BET model predictions with the results obtained using the equation of Rhue et al. [9] and with their measured data on adsorption of para-xylene on Na-saturated kaolin at various water contents.

2. Theory

The single species multilayer BET model [15, 16] is based on the following assumptions: (1) energetically and geometrically homogeneous adsorbent surface, (2) no lateral interaction among the adsorbed molecules, (3) adsorption of the molecules of the second and higher adsorbed layers follows the condensation–evaporation properties of the liquid state. Hill [11] assumes the last postulate to be equally applicable to adsorption from a mixed vapor system. Assuming that the adsorbed solution obeys Raoult's law, namely that the mixture of sorbed species behaves as an ideal solution at all compositions, eq. (14) in Hill [11] takes the following form for adsorption of species \(i\) from a vapor mixture containing \(s\) species,

\[
w_i = \frac{\omega_i^0 E_0 x_i}{(1 - E) + E_0 (1 - E^s)} \left[ \frac{B_i (1 - E^s)}{E_0} + \sum_{k=2}^{s} E_0^{k-2} (1 - E^{s-k+1}) \right]
\]

(1)
where $E_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_i B_i$, $E = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n} x_i$, $w_i$ is sorbed mass of species $i$ per soil mass, $w_0^n$ is mass of species $i$ required to form a monolayer coverage on adsorbent surface per soil mass, $x_i$ is relative vapor pressure (vapor pressure/saturated vapor pressure), and $B_i$ is related to the molar heat of adsorption of the adsorbate on bare mineral surface [3]. Finally, in eq. (1), $n$ is an integer signifying the maximum possible number of layers of ion the adsorbent surface for single-species systems. In eq. (1) the summation is only taken when $n \geq 2$.

In eq. (1), the assumption of ideality leads to the simplified form of the parameter $E$. However, one would expect eq. (1) to be less applicable to sparingly soluble compounds than to more soluble ones. Furthermore, the applicability range would be expected to be restricted to lower VOC relative vapor pressures.

All the various forms of the BET equation presented in the literature are simplifications of the general multicomponent BET (MBET) form. When considering adsorption of a single species and $n=\infty$, eq. (1) reduces in a linear form to

$$\frac{x_i}{w_i(1-x_i)} = \frac{1}{w_0^n B_i} + \frac{(B_i - 1)x_i}{w_0^n B_i}$$

This form is applied to $0.05 \leq x_i \leq 0.3$ to obtain $w_0^n$, $B_i$, and adsorbent surface area [10]. For single species adsorption and finite $n$, eq. (1) gives the form used by Jurinak and Volman (1957) and Poe et al. (1988) to fit adsorption data of single species of VOC vapors on dry soils. When applied to a binary vapor system and $n=\infty$, it furnishes the form used by Valsaraj and Thibodeaux [4], Thibodeaux et al. [13], and Pennell et al. [14]. When $n=1$ (i.e., adsorption is restricted to a monolayer), the single species form of eq. (1) reduces correctly to a Langmuir type equation.

The effect of the parameters $B$ and $n$ on the shape of eq. (1) for adsorption of a hypothetical VOC species on dry soils is plotted in Fig. 1. As $B$ increases, a 'knee' is formed at the lower $x_i$ values and $w_i/w_0^n$ increases to give a sharp drop to zero. The point where the knee occurs is often taken to indicate the stage at which monolayer coverage is complete and multilayer adsorption is about to begin [10, 17]. As $n$ increases, higher adsorption is predicted at higher $x_i$ values until for $n=\infty$, $w_i \rightarrow 1$ as $x_i \rightarrow 1$. As $x_i$ decreases, the difference in adsorption between isotherms for various values of $n$ also decreases. This shows that the choice of $n=\infty$ in the MBET equation may be appropriate in modeling multicomponent adsorption at low VOC relative vapor pressures but leads to gross overestimation of adsorption capacity at high relative vapor pressures. Even though the BET theory does not provide a physical basis for why the number of layers should be restricted to a finite value, the presence of capillaries in soils is possibly one cause of this [17]. Fig. 1 indicates that proper choice of $n$ and $B$ may allow various isotherms to be fitted if the monolayer capacity $w_0^n$ is known. In binary form, eq. (1) can be used to look at the effect of increasing RH on the shape of the isotherm and strength of adsorption for a given VOC on
Fig. 1. The effect of $B$ and $n$ on the shape of eq. (1) applied to a single vapor system.

Fig. 2. Effect of water relative vapor pressure (RH) on MBET isotherms of toluene adsorption on Yolo silt loam at 25°C.

soil. Fig. 2 illustrates theoretical isotherms for toluene on Yolo silt loam at various RH values. The major effects are a linearization of the isotherm with an accompanying reduction in adsorption amounts as RH increases. These effects have been experimentally documented by Chiou and Shoup [3], Rao et al. [18], and Rhue et al. [9], among others.

Rhue et al. [9] presented equations similar to BET which could be used to quantify competitive adsorption in a binary system. Their derivation assumes that the two adsorbates form immiscible films on the adsorbent surface. This assumption is opposite to what is used in deriving eq. (1) which requires the adsorbates to form an ideal solution on the adsorbent surface. When $S_0$ (bare
mineral surface) in their equations is substituted by the external surface area for their soil (given in their paper), their equations lead to an expression giving the mass of a given compound in the total mass adsorbed from a binary vapor system. The final equation obtained is

\[ w_i = \alpha_i B_i S_{ext} \frac{x_i}{(1-x_i)^2} \]  

(3)

where \( x_i \) is the mass of adsorbate \( i \) occupying unit area of surface and is given by Rhue et al. [9] to be 0.50 mg/m² for para-xylene, \( S_{ext} \) is the soil specific external surface area (m²/g), and additional parameters have previously been defined.

The parameter \( n \) in eq. (1), when applied to single species adsorption, signifies the maximum number of adsorption layers. However, in a multicomponent situation, the physical meaning of \( n \) requires further investigation. A first hypothesis is that as in a single species system, \( n \) in a multicomponent system refers to the maximum number of layers of the multicomponent adsorbate. A second hypothesis is that \( n \) refers to an ‘equivalent’ maximum number of layers of species \( i \) on the surface of a soil-water adsorbent complex. We will look at which of these hypotheses may better explain the data.

3. Materials and methods

Adsorption was measured on a coarse riverbed sand and Yolo silt loam (finesilty, mixed, non-acid, thermic Typic Xerorthent). Some relevant characteristics of the two soils are given in Table 1. Total surface areas were determined by the ethylene glycol monoethyl ether (EGME) method [19, 20]. Pretreatment to remove organic matter and saturation with Ca²⁺ were omitted [21]. The organic carbon content of the soils was analyzed by a modified Walkley and Black method [22]. The pH was measured in a saturated paste by pH electrode, while electrical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Riverbed sand</th>
<th>Yolo silt loam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EGME surface area (m²/g)</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic carbon (%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC (milli mho/cm)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC (meq/100 g)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
conductivity (EC) of saturation extracts was measured using a platinized platinum-iridium conductivity electrode and a conductance-resistance meter. Cation exchange capacities (CECs) were determined by saturation with barium acetate [23]. Particle size analysis to determine sand, silt, and clay fractions was performed by hydrometer [24]. Initially the soils were air dried, passed through a 2.0-mm sieve (No. 10), and mixed thoroughly to obtain a homogeneous mixture.

To obtain adsorption data in the very low relative vapor pressure range, the equilibrium partitioning in closed systems (EPICS) method [5, 25] was used. The method can briefly be described as a mass balance technique that involves measurement of the headspace vapor concentration in sealed glass bottles by gas chromatography. A system with known gas volume and mass of adsorbent is compared to a control which contains no adsorbent. The adsorbent masses ranged from 0.2 to 60 g. Amount of adsorbent was increased for increasing water content. For each adsorption isotherm, six different adsorbent masses were used with three replicates for each mass. The adsorbent was introduced into glass bottles with a volume of 63 or 248 ml. The bottles were wrapped in paper to prevent photo-decomposition of the VOCs and sealed with teflon Mininert valves (Dynamech, Baton Rouge, LA). A volume of 2.5 ml of air in each bottle was replaced by an equivalent volume of saturated VOC vapor. All bottles were rotated for 24–36 h at 25 °C to reach equilibrium. Preliminary work showed that equilibrium was reached after this period of time. One ml of headspace was removed from each bottle with a gas-tight syringe (Hamilton 1001) and analyzed on a Hewlett-Packard 5890 gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector. The relative humidity at each water content was determined by measuring it in similarly prepared bottles. Relative humidity of the samples was measured with a certified NIST traceable digital hygrometer (Fisherbrand) with an error of ±1.5% in the 10 to 90% range. Water contents were measured by weighing samples dried in an oven for 24 h at 105 °C. The water contents and the corresponding relative humidities used in the EPICS experiments are summarized in Table 2.

A high vacuum (HV) technique [26] was used to obtain data at the higher relative vapor pressure range than was obtained with the EPICS method up to near saturation. In this technique, an electrobalance located inside a glass vacuum chamber measured the weight change of about 0.5 g of a soil sample upon adsorption. The chamber is evacuated with a mechanical pump and a turbomolecular pump to vacuums as low as 10^{-3} Pa. Care was taken so as not to have any static charge interference with the weight measurements. The temperature measured at the vicinity of the soil sample was monitored continuously and was within ±0.5 °C of the experimental temperature of 25 °C. Liquid sources of water, TCE, and toluene connected to the chamber via Kontes high vacuum glass valves were opened to allow evaporation into the chamber. The vapor pressures were determined by a capacitance manometer. The relative vapor pressures were then determined by dividing the measured vapor pressures by the published values of the saturated vapor pressure at 25 °C. For binary experiments of water and toluene, water was first allowed to evaporate.
TABLE 2

Water content (W) (mg/g, dry weight basis) and corresponding relative humidities (RH) in the soils used in the adsorption experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPICS</th>
<th>Toluene on sand</th>
<th>TCE on sand</th>
<th>Toluene on loam</th>
<th>TCE on loam</th>
<th>Toluene and TCE on sand</th>
<th>Toluene and TCE on loam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HV</th>
<th>Toluene on sand</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>RH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.666</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*EPICS = equilibrium partitioning in closed system technique.

b Yolo silt loam.

HV = high vacuum technique.

from the liquid reservoir into the chamber. Once equilibrium at the desired relative humidity was reached, toluene was allowed to evaporate in increments until equilibrium was attained. One set of measurements of toluene adsorption at each relative humidity was obtained. The water content and relative humidity data are given in Table 2.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Single species systems

Figs. 3 and 4 contain isotherms of TCE and toluene adsorption on the oven-dried Yolo silt loam and sand at 25 °C, respectively. They indicate that the EPICS data connect reasonably well with the HV data for TCE and less so for toluene. The EPICS data are used to investigate the applicability of MBET at low \( x \) values. The value of \( B_i \) and \( w_i \) for our VOCs and soils were obtained by fitting eq. (2) to the \( x \) range between 0.05 and 0.3. These values were then used to fit the linearized form of eq. (1) for single species adsorption [27] to the full range of the adsorption data to find the best value of \( n \). These data and the \( R^2 \) values for the fit of eq. (2) are summarized in Table 3. Figs. 3a and 4a show that the MBET equation generally underpredicts adsorption capacity at low \( x \) values. Even though there was some difficulty in obtaining a good match between the EPICS and the HV data for toluene, the underprediction is most likely the result of the presence of adsorption sites of a higher heat of adsorption than those which are occupied at monomolecular surface coverage [28]. After the most active sites have been covered, the properties of the rest of the
Fig. 3. Adsorption isotherms of TCE and toluene on Yolo silt loam at 25 °C. The values of \( n \) given on the figures are the best fit values and the solid lines are the resulting curves. Fig. 3a shows the EPICS method as well as the first few of the HV method data. Fig. 3b includes only the HV data at higher \( x \) range.

Fig. 4. Adsorption isotherms of TCE and toluene on sand at 25 °C. The values of \( n \) given on the figures are the best fit values and the solid lines are the resulting curves. Fig. 4a shows the EPICS method as well as the first few of the HV method data. Fig. 4b includes only the HV data at higher \( x \) range.

Surface are similar to those of a homogeneous surface for which the MBET is much more suited. We subsequently used the method of Joyner et al. [27] in which the full range of adsorption data (EPICS + HV) is used to fit \( B_i \), \( w_i^m \), and \( n \). However, the resulting values did not model the low \( x_i \) range any better (data not shown).

Table 3 indicates that the monolayer capacities, \( w_i^m \), are higher on Yolo silt loam than on sand in accordance with the silt loam's higher external surface
TABLE 3

Values of $B$, $w^*$, and the goodness-of-fit $R^2$ values for the fit of eq. (2) to the appropriate range (relative vapor pressure from 0.05 to 0.3) of the adsorption data for TCE, toluene, and water on dry sand and Yolo silt loam. The value of $n$ was then obtained by fitting the single species form of eq. (1) to the full range of adsorption data with the calculated values of $B$, and $w^*$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Adorbent</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>Yolo silt loam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCE</td>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$w^*$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$w^*$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$w^*$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

area. The monolayer capacity for water should probably be accepted only as a fitting parameter since water does not coat the surface uniformly [29]. The $B$ values for Yolo silt loam and sand do not correlate well with the trend in polarity. The $B$ values for water are expected to be higher than the VOC species considering energy of adsorption alone. The $B$ values reported by Chiou and Shoup [3] increase as polarity of adsorbates increases. The data of Poe et al. [8] indicate similar behavior for adsorption of nonpolar to more polar compounds on a sandy loam and a silt loam soil. However, the correlation between $B$ and polarity breaks down in their silty clay loam soil. On this soil, the least and the most polar compounds have similar $B$ values which are smaller than the values for compounds of intermediate polarity. The parameter $B$ directly reflects the relative strength of adsorption arising from the different heats of adsorption. Higher strengths of adsorption are signified by higher negative values of $\Delta H_\text{f}$. Therefore, the actual value of $B$ is indicative of the degree to which a compound might compete for adsorption sites with other compounds and would be expected to increase with the polarity of adsorbate species. However, the exact relationship between $B$ and $\Delta H_\text{f}$ is at best only qualitative [10]. This is since, firstly, the actual amount adsorbed depends on the site distribution of energies for adsorption. The uniform distribution of site energies, as assumed by the BET theory, cannot be assumed for soils due to differences in the chemical nature of the surfaces [30] and the nature of the cations present on different exchange sites [14]. Secondly, porous adsorbents like soils are characterized by a range of pore sizes arising from particle size distribution, structure, and packing [31]. The actual surface area available for
adsorption of any given compound depends on whether the adsorbate molecule can reach the adsorbing sites. The larger the adsorbate molecule relative to the pore size, the less adsorption is expected to occur at any given relative vapor pressure.

4.2. Binary systems

Fig. 5 contains the fit of the MBET model to toluene adsorption data on sand at two relative humidities. At RH = 0.10 the mass of toluene adsorbed is only slightly less than for adsorption on dry sand (Fig. 4b). At this RH, the amount of water is less than half a monolayer of water coverage on sand (Table 1). According to Quirk [29], water adsorbed at this RH is not thought to cover the soil surfaces uniformly but to congregate around high adsorption sites. Even though the adsorption of toluene on mineral matter is expected to be mostly curtailed by the presence of water molecules [3], higher energy (possibly still bare) sites are apparently still available for toluene adsorption. A similar conclusion was reached by Pennell et al. [14] when looking at para-xylene adsorption on Na-saturated kaolin at RHs lower than required to form a monolayer of water coverage. The value of $n = 4$ fitted to the data is one less than $n = 5$ fitted to the dry adsorption data on the same soil. At RH = 0.65 (Fig. 5b), sorbed amount levels out with increasing toluene relative vapor pressure. The last two measurement values are actually slightly less than the previous two possibly from electrical drift of the microbalance [26]. The value of $n$ used to fit the data is reduced to three in accordance with lower adsorption amounts at the higher water contents.

Figs. 6a–d contain the fit of the MBET model to adsorption data of toluene and TCE at low $x_1$ range on sand and Yolo silt loam at several RH values. The lowest RH values in each graph correspond to less than one molecular layer of
water coverage on the adsorbent surface. At the lowest RHs, the MBET underpredicts the sorbed mass for values of $n$ ranging from 2 to the value fitted to dry adsorption data. This behavior is similar to the underprediction of adsorption on dry adsorbents in the low vapor pressure range. The explanation of this behavior is similar to the one given for the single-species cases and indicates that water does not coat the soil surfaces uniformly as Quirk [29] has discussed. No such behavior was seen at the higher toluene vapor pressures (Fig. 5a) most likely because a monolayer of water and toluene was already formed on the sand surface. Further adsorption, therefore, took place on a more energetically uniform surface.

The intermediate RH values correspond to water contents between one and two molecular layers of coverage. The fit of the MBET model is much better
than for the low RH cases. Most, if not all, of the energetic heterogeneity on sand surfaces has been eliminated by water coverage [28], resulting in a more homogeneous surface for further adsorption. The MBET fit is less satisfactory on the more heterogeneous silt loam than the sand. Notice that the fitted values of \( n \) are becoming smaller as RH increases and are associated with lower adsorption amounts of TCE and toluene.

At RH = 0.65, or about two molecular layers of water coverage, the measured isotherms show reduced adsorption capacity. The MBET overpredicts adsorption for both VOCs on both soils even at the lowest possible \( n \) value. The MBET assumes ideal mixed liquid adsorbate corresponding to complete miscibility of adsorbed species. As the number of water layers on the adsorption surface increases, the dissolution in water is thought to dominate the uptake process of VOCs on saturated soils [5]. The adsorption process of water and VOCs on mineral matter changes to a dissolution process of VOCs in water. It is, however, doubtful that the MBET equation leads to an adequate vapor-liquid solution theory at this and higher RH values [13].

The final binary system studied is that of \textit{para}-xylene and water adsorption on Na-saturated kaolin performed by Rhue et al. [9]. Their measured adsorption values, the MBET model predictions, and the predictions using eq. (3) which was derived from the basic equations given in the Rhue et al. (1989) paper are included in Table 4. The \( B \) and \( w^* \) values used in the MBET model were obtained by fitting eq. (2) to appropriate range (\( x \) from 0.05 to 0.3) of the single-species data given in their paper. The lowest and the highest \textit{para}-xylene relative vapor pressures are within the range of HV data used in our experiments with TCE and toluene. At low to intermediate RH values, the fit of the MBET equation to the \textit{para}-xylene adsorption data is much better than the fit of eq. (3). The predictions of eq. (3) become comparable to MBET only at high RH values when both predict the sorbed mass of \textit{para}-xylene well. At lower RHs, the MBET slightly underpredicts the measured sorbed amount. However, at RHs close to 0.65, the measured sorptions are predicted well. The \textit{para}-xylene solubility in water is 198 mg/l (25°C) compared with 1100 mg/l for TCE (25°C) and 515 mg/l for toluene (20°C) [32]. Even though solubility values alone cannot be used to partition adsorption between water and mineral phases at these water contents [5], we would still expect the ideal solution assumption in MBET to be more restrictive for less soluble \textit{para}-xylene than the more soluble toluene and TCE. The relatively good prediction of \textit{para}-xylene adsorption at these higher water contents is perhaps indicative of the fact that the ideality of the adsorbed mixture is not a very restrictive assumption in developing the MBET model at these water contents. The MBET model shows much improvement over eq. (3) in predicting adsorbed amounts of \textit{para}-xylene over a relatively wide range of water and \textit{para}-xylene vapor pressures.

4.3. Ternary systems

Data were obtained on adsorption of TCE and toluene from mixtures with water on our adsorbents using the EPICS method. The comparisons between
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water relative vapor pressure</th>
<th>para-Xylene relative vapor pressure</th>
<th>para-Xylene mass sorbed (mg/g)</th>
<th>Simulated sorbed mass (mg/g)</th>
<th>Best fit n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>31.15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>29.55</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>10.43</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.281</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.617</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of MBET model predictions with predictions from eq. (3) and with the measured values of adsorption of para-xylene and water vapors on Na-saturated kaolin reported in Rhue et al. [9]
### TABLE 5

Comparison of measured and calculated adsorption of TCE and toluene from their ternary mixture with water on the adsorbents. The $n$ values given are the best fit values. Measured RHs are 0.10 for sand and 0.65 for loam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TCE relative vapor pressure</th>
<th>Toluene relative vapor pressure</th>
<th>Mass TCE sorbed (mg/g)</th>
<th>Mass toluene sorbed (mg/g)</th>
<th>Simulated sorbed mass (mg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n = 4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0126</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.0379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>0.0024</td>
<td>0.0147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
measured values and values from the MBET model are summarized in Table 5 for sand at RH = 0.10 and Yolo silt loam at RH = 0.65, respectively. With two or more VOCs adsorbing, the adsorption sites not occupied by water molecules are divided between the VOC species based on their strength of adsorption. The higher value of parameter B for toluene compared with TCE on sand indicates higher preference of this soil for toluene than TCE. Not much difference was, however, observed between the adsorption strengths of either TCE or toluene compared with their binary adsorption experiments. At these low concentrations, the major competition for each VOC species seems to be with water and not with each other. At the low RH on sand, the MBET simulates lower adsorbed amounts than what is measured. This is similar to what occurred in the single and binary experiments. At the higher RH on Yolo silt loam, the MBET overpredicts the measured amounts for both VOCs at this RH as similarly occurred in the binary cases. At low VOC relative vapor pressures at these two RHs, their behavior is mainly determined by the presence of water and not the other species.

4.4. Significance of n

The fitting of n in addition to B and \( \omega^m \) enables the single-species isotherms to be well characterized over a good portion of their vapor pressure range. The physical meaning of this parameter in such systems is straightforward and is the maximum number of adsorbed layers which can be formed on the adsorbent surface [6]. By analogy, this parameter is the number of layers of mixed adsorbate layers in a multicomponent case [11]. In binary formulations used to date [4, 13], there was no need to define n, since \( n = \infty \) was used. This is because the amount adsorbed is independent of n at low relative vapor pressures as shown in Fig. 1. The same behavior is observed in Fig. 5 for binary systems of toluene adsorption on wet sand. It is only at the relatively higher \( x_i \) range that the \( n = \infty \) formulation diverges from a finite n formula. The extent of divergence increases as \( x_i \) increases and leads to serious overpredictions of adsorption amounts. Many contaminations of soil and groundwater involve low concentrations of the VOC species. Therefore, setting \( n = \infty \) in eq. (1) is a good approximation at low \( x_i \) (Fig. 1). However, in cases where higher concentrations are involved, as with concentrations existing close to immobile residual liquid VOCs or around high liquid concentrations from accidental spills or deliberate dumpings, an \( n = \infty \) would lead to overestimation of adsorption.

Two hypotheses were proposed earlier to elucidate the correct physical significance of the parameter n. The first one is that n is the maximum number of mixed adsorbate layers on the adsorbent surface as in Hill's original paper. In this case, when one species has a much higher relative vapor pressure compared with other species, the value of n in the isotherm for all species is expected to approach the n for the one species with high relative vapor pressure. However, in our simulations the value of n for all VOC adsorbents decrease as RH increases rather than approaching the n values fitted to water adsorption data. We therefore investigate our second hypothesis in which n is
an 'equivalent' maximum number of layers. It applies to adsorption of VOCs onto a soil–water complex and is a function of RH (or water content). In this soil–water system, VOC molecules can penetrate the surface (e.g., dissolve in water) as well as adsorb onto mineral surfaces directly. The minimum value of \( n \) \((n_{\text{min}})\) is equal to the total amount adsorbed \( w_i \) spread over the adsorbent external surface area \( S_{\text{ext}} \). It is given by

\[
n_{\text{min}} = \frac{w_i A_i N}{M_i S_{\text{ext}}}
\]

where \( w_i \) is a measured mass of adsorbate per mass of adsorbent \((g/g)\), \( A_i \) is the projected area of one molecule \((m^2/\text{molecule})\), \( N \) is the Avogadro number \((=6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ molecules/mol})\), and \( M_i \) is the molecular weight for species \( i \) \((g/mol)\). The parameter \( A_i = 1.091(M_i/d_N)^{3/5} \) \([33]\), where \( d \) is the liquid density of the species. Eq. (4) indicates that \( n_{\text{min}} \) increases as \( w_i \) increases. Note that the value obtained from eq. (4) is not an integer. The value of \( n \) chosen for fit to eq. (1) must at least be the integer higher than \( n_{\text{min}} \) determined from an experiment which involves the highest VOC concentration deemed probable for the case being modeled. The value of \( n \) ranges from this minimum value to the maximum used to fit the dry adsorption isotherm. For example, for toluene adsorption data on sand (Fig. 5), the highest measured \( w_i \) at an RH of 0.18 and 0.65 give \( n_{\text{min}} = 2.3 \) and \( n_{\text{min}} = 0.73 \), respectively. The fitted values of \( n \) are 4 and 3, respectively. Both of the fitted \( n \) values are higher than \( n_{\text{min}} \) and lower than the \( n \) fitted to dry adsorption data \((n = 5, \text{ cf. Table 1})\). Similar results were observed for Rhue et al. \([9]\) data. For ternary and larger multinary cases, the value of \( n \) for less highly adsorbed species would not only depend on RH but also on the vapor pressures of the other more highly adsorbed species. Based on these data alone, the second hypothesis cannot be exclusively accepted or rejected. However, the decrease of the value of \( n \) is over a relatively small range which would make its use as a fitting parameter relatively convenient in modeling multicomponent adsorption.

5. Conclusions

The extension of the general BET adsorption equation to multicomponent adsorption as proposed by Hill \([11, 12]\) was tested on binary and ternary systems of TCE, toluene, and water vapors on a sand and Yolo silt loam soil. The model inputs are the monolayer adsorption capacity \((w_i^0)\), a constant related to heats of adsorption \((B_i)\), and a constant signifying the number of adsorbed layers on the adsorbent surface \((n)\). The first two constants were obtained by application of the model to single-species adsorption isotherms. The last constant ranges from a minimum integer value larger than the total amount adsorbed spread over the soil external surface area to a maximum value obtained from the single species adsorption isotherm.
This model was reasonably successful in describing adsorption of toluene from its binary vapor mixture with water on the sand over toluene vapor pressures range above about 0.1 and at two relative humidities (RH) corresponding to less than one and about two molecular layers of water. At lower TCE and toluene relative vapor pressures, the model was applied to their adsorption on the sand and Yolo silt loam at three RH values. At RH values corresponding to less than a monolayer of water coverage, it underpredicted adsorbed amounts. At intermediate RH values corresponding to between approximately one and two molecular layers of water coverage, predictions were successful. At the highest RH value of 0.65, corresponding to about two molecular layers of water, the MBET equation overpredicted the adsorbed amounts. The MBET equation was more successful than a method based on the equations of Rhue et al. [9] in describing adsorption of para-xylene from its binary mixture with water over a wide range of para-xylene and water relative vapor pressures. Adsorption experiments in the ternary systems of TCE, toluene, and water did not indicate any major decrease in adsorption of any of the VOCs as a result of the presence of the other compounds at the RHs studied.

The maximum number of adsorption layers is an important parameter in correctly modeling multicomponent adsorption. Even though its physical significance is still somewhat ambiguous, its value was confined to a narrow range for the VOCs and soils tested in this study. For simulations at low VOC relative vapor pressures, the amount adsorbed is irrespective of the value of \( n \) and a simplified equation based on \( n = \infty \) can be used. At higher relative vapor pressures, the choice of \( n = \infty \) leads to overestimation of adsorption and a finite \( n \) should be used.

The MBET equation can successfully be used to model VOC adsorption on geological material and soil over the range of water content corresponding to between one and two molecular layers. It underpredicts the adsorption amount at lower water contents and low VOC relative vapor pressures. At higher water contents it lacks a suitable vapor solution theory so that the measured adsorption isotherms have to be employed. However, the amount of overprediction may be small enough to render its use for modeling purposes worthwhile.
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Article V.
HIGH-RESOLUTION TIME DOMAIN REFLECTOMETRY: SENSITIVITY DEPENDENCY ON PROBE-DESIGN

L.W. PETERSEN, A. THOMSEN, P. MOLDRUP, O.H. JACOBSEN, AND D.R. ROLSTON

When using the time domain reflectometry (TDR) technique in laboratory experiments, e.g., with packed soils columns, it is often of great importance to obtain high depth resolution with minimal disturbance of the soil and to be able to measure close to the soil surface. This requires the use of fairly small TDR probes that can be placed near each other. In laboratory experiments on packed soil, we have examined the importance of relations between the probe-rod diameter, probe-rod length, distance between probe rods, and distance from the probe to the soil surface for accurate determination of volumetric water content. The experiments were conducted on a coarse sand with three different rod diameters (1, 2, and 3 mm), three different rod spacings (10, 20, and 50 mm), two rod lengths (50 and 150 mm), and at distances to the soil surface varying from 5 to 50 mm. Theoretical work by Knight et al. (1994, Symposium and workshop on time domain reflectometry in environmental, infrastructure and mining applications, Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Illinois, Sept 7-9, 1994, pp. 93-104) has been used to evaluate the results. In general, theory and measurements agreed very well. Both measurements and theory showed that the volume of soil contributing to the measurement is highly dependent on the spacing of the rods and, to a lesser degree, on the rod diameter. For rod spacings of 10, 20, and 50 mm, measurements were accurately made as close to the soil surface as 10, 15, and 20 mm, respectively.

During the last decade, Time Domain Reflectometry (TDR) has become a widely used technique for determining the volumetric water content of soils. Initially, it was used mainly in field experiments, but now it is also a popular method in laboratory experiments (Heimovaara et al. 1993; Plagge et al. 1992; Wraith and Baker 1991), in which probe diameter and distance between probes become relevant issues.

An important question when using this technique is: What is the region of influence around TDR rods? Topp and Davis (1986) stated that parallel transmission lines having rods with a center-to-center spacing of about 50 mm are a practical compromise to obtain reasonable resolution. They suggested that the soil measured by TDR is a cylinder whose axis lies midway between the rods and whose diameter is 1.4 times the spacing between the rods. For a spacing of 50 mm, this gives a sphere of influence covering an area of 38 cm² in a plane perpendicular to the axis of the transmission line. Baker and Lascano (1989) presented a more detailed study of the spatial sensitivity of TDR using water-filled glass tubes placed at various distances from the TDR probe axis. For their probe design, in which TDR rods were placed 50 mm apart, they found that probes can be placed as close to the soil surface as 20 mm with little loss in accuracy. De Clerck (1985) stated that for a probe with a rod spacing of 25 mm, 94% of the energy was restricted to a cylinder with a diameter equal to 50 mm (twice the distance between the two rods). For the same rod spacing, 99% of the energy would be within a diameter of 120 mm. Knight (1992) presented a theoretical investigation of the size of the cylinder of influence. He recommended that probes be designed with a ratio of rod diameter divided by spacing of rods (B/D) to be higher than 0.1, so that too much energy will not be concentrated closely around the rods. Additionally, Knight et al. (1994) presented a design rule for using a probe near a surface. They concluded that for all values of BD, at least 94% of the energy is below a surface of height \( y = \frac{1}{2} (B + D) \) when the probe is installed with its two wires in a plane at \( y = 0 \) and parallel to the surface.

The objective of this work was to examine how the spatial sensitivity (volume of influence) of the TDR technique is dependent on the design of the TDR probes, i.e., length, spacing, and diameter.
ter of probe rods. This was done in laboratory experiments on packed soil. Only relatively short, unbalanced, two-rod probes suited for laboratory experiments were investigated in this study.

**THEORY**

Principles of the TDR technique have been described elsewhere (e.g., Topp et al. 1980; Topp and Davis 1985; Heimovaara 1993). Figure 1 shows the TDR probe configuration for the two-rod TDR probes used in this study.

Assuming that the soil-water content distribution differs only slightly from a uniform distribution, Knight et al. (1994) gave an approximation for the relative accumulated energy below a surface at height \( h \) above the probe axis (\( h \geq \frac{1}{2} B, \ 0 \leq P \leq 1 \))

\[
P(h,B,D) = 1 - \left(1 + \frac{C_1}{h^2} \right), \quad 0 \leq P \leq 1 \tag{1}
\]

where

\[
C_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left( D^4 - B^4 \right) \tag{2}
\]

\[
C_2 = 4 \ln \left( \frac{D}{B} \right) + \sqrt{\left( \frac{D}{B} \right)^2 - 1} \tag{3}
\]

where \( B \) is the diameter of the rod, and \( D \) is the center-to-center distance between the rods (Fig. 1).

By inverting this equation, the critical height, \( h_c \), below which a certain proportion, \( P_c \), of the total energy is contained can be calculated as:

\[
h_c = \sqrt{\frac{C_1}{q - 1}} \tag{4}
\]

where

\[
q = \left( \frac{D}{B} \right) + \sqrt{\left( \frac{D}{B} \right)^2 - 1} \tag{5}
\]

Figure 2 illustrates the theoretical critical height \( h = h_c \) below which a certain proportion, \( P = P_c \), of total energy is contained. The \( h_c \) is especially dependent on rod spacing, e.g., for a 2-mm rod with \( P_c = 0.95 \), the critical height \( h_c \) will be 6.4, 11.0, and 22.9 mm for a spacing of 10, 20, and 50 mm, respectively. However, \( h_c \) is not as dependent on rod diameter. For a rod spacing of 1 cm, and with \( P_c = 0.95 \), \( h_c \) will be 5.5, 6.4, and 7.1 mm for a diameter of 1, 2, and 3 mm, respectively.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The soil used in the experiments was a coarse sand (mixed, mesic, Orthic Haplohumud). It was collected from the top 20 cm of an agricultural field on the Danish Ministry of Agriculture’s Experimental Field station in Lundgaard, Denmark. The soil was air dried, passed through a 2-mm sieve (No. 10), and mixed thoroughly to obtain a homogeneous mixture. Some characteristics of the soil are given in Table 1.

All measurements in this study were made with a Tektronix 1502B cable tester (Tektronix Inc., Beaverton, Oregon) equipped with an RS-232 computer interface (Tektronix model SP232 option port module). The transmission lines consisted of 2-m-long, 50-Ω coaxial cable leading from the cable tester directly to the two-rod probes, without impedance-matching balun between the rods and the coaxial cable (i.e., unbalanced probes). Eighteen different probes were fabricated. They differed regarding rod diameter (1, 2, and 3 mm), rod length (50 and 150 mm), and rod spacing (1, 2, and 5 cm). The probes were made of stainless-steel rods with the ends installed in a small Delrin block. Holes were drilled in the Delrin blocks, and the rods were
inserted in the holes and soldered to the cable ends and then locked into place by filling the holes with a two-component adhesive, ensuring that the probes were waterproof. When cable and rods are soldered together inside the Deldrin block, the impedance of the transmission line increases sharply, resulting in a well-defined trace segment. This reflection is used for locating the approximate beginning of the measuring probe. The true beginning, though, is offset by a small time difference proportional to the rod length embedded in the Deldrin block. This offset ($\Delta t_2$) is a probe property (Heimovaara 1993). The electrical length of the probe embedded in soil ($L$) is also a probe property. The shift and the electrical length of the probe were determined by measuring the travel time in air and in water at a known temperature as described by Heimovaara (1993). The travel time in the probe between the first reflection and the reflection from the open end ($\Delta t_2$) is:

$$\Delta t_2 = \Delta t_2 + \Delta t + 2L\sqrt{K_i/c} \quad (7)$$

where $K_i$ is the apparent dielectric constant, and $c$ is the speed of light (m/s) in free space. The $\Delta t$ and $L$ can be determined by substituting the correct values for $K_i$ into Eq. (7). It is assumed that $K_i$ for air is 1 (Weast 1980) and that $K_i$ for water at a specific temperature can be determined from (Hasted 1973)

$$K_i = 87.749 - 0.400087\times 10^{-1} T^2 - 1.410 \times 10^{-5} T^3 \quad (8)$$

where $T$ is temperature (°C). For each probe, $L$ and $\Delta t_2$ were used along with the empirical calibration function from Topp et al. (1980) when calculating the volumetric water content.

The soil was packed in a plastic box constructed such that the bottom could be moved upward with a small hydraulic jack with minimum disturbance of the soil. By raising the bottom and scraping off the excess soil extending above the top of the box, the installed probe was moved toward the soil surface. The bottom was raised in increments of 5 mm, and measurements were made at distances to the soil surface varying from 50 to 5 mm.

For every experiment, the box was packed with soil having a water content of $W = 0.15 g H_2O/g$ soil, to a bulk density of 1.4. A probe was installed using specially fabricated guides to ensure the best installment possible. For every depth increment, five measurements of water content were performed. This was repeated for all 18 probes.

The TDR trace analysis was done automatically by a computer program (Thomsen 1994; available from the Danish Institute of Plant and Soil Science, Tjelle, Denmark). The software, developed in Turbo Pascal and used for the analysis, is based on the work by Baker and Allmaras (1990), Heimovaara and Bouten (1990), and Thomsen and Schjønning (1994). The analysis included the following steps (see also Fig. 3, which shows an example of the TDR-trace output with indications of the following points 1-6):

1. The input trace is filtered using a moving average filter
2. The local slope (first derivative) of the smoothed trace is calculated
3. x-values of the global minimum and maximum points on the first derivative curve are located

4. Regression lines are fitted to sections of the original trace, centered on the x-values of the first derivative curve maximum point

5. The initial reflection is located where the first regression line intersects a horizontal line through the minimum point of the initial reflection

6. The final reflection (end of transmission line) is located where the second regression line intersects a line following the trace segment before the final reflection.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 4 shows the measured dependency on rod diameter for 15-cm-long rods with a spacing of 1 cm. The critical height is not greatly affected by diameter, at least for the three fairly small diameters used in this work. This is also in agreement with Fig. 2. In a field situation, larger diameters might be desirable, and in that case the critical height might be affected. Scatter in the measurements increased slightly with increasing rod spacing and drastically with decreasing rod length (results not shown). This might be explained partly by the experience that probes with large rod spacings and small diameters are more difficult to accurately install. Also, Knight (1992) concluded that rod diameter should be as large as possible compared with the rod spacing to minimize the so-called “skin-effect” with high energy density around the rods, resulting in local nonuniformities close to the rods having great effect on the determined water content. Measurement inaccuracy with short rods is attributable to much shorter pulse travel time. Therefore, errors with short probes will be larger than those with longer probes, and we suggest that probes be designed as long as practically possible.

Figure 5 shows the dependency on rod spacing for TDR-probes with 15-cm-long rods having diameters of 1, 2, and 3 mm. The relative soil-water content, S, is determined as the measured soil-water content at any specific depth divided by the soil water content measured at the 5-cm depth. Every plotted point is an average of five determinations of the volumetric soil-water content.

In general, the critical height decreases with decreasing spacing of rods (see Fig. 5). In situations where good depth resolution and measurements close to the soil surface are required, the smallest rod spacing possible should be used. It was possible, with minimal loss of accuracy, to place TDR probes as close to the surface as 1, 1.5, and 2 cm for probes with rod spacing of 1, 2, and 5 cm, respectively. The result for a rod spacing of 5 cm is in agreement with that found by Baker and Lascano (1989) for a probe with similar rod spacing. The solid lines in Fig. 5 (determined from Eqs. (1) through (5)) give the relative accumulated energy as a function of the critical height. It is evident that the theoretical critical height, h, agrees very well with the measured values. The reason the theory for balanced
probes agrees well with the measurements performed in the present study— with unbalanced probes—is because the unbalanced character probably does not have a major effect on the relative sensitivity in the vertical dimension for horizontally installed, unbalanced, two-rod probes. In the horizontal plane, though, most of the sensitivity resides in the vicinity of whichever waveguide is connected to the central conductor. This should be taken into account if probes are installed in small scale laboratory setups with short horizontal distances to, e.g., a column wall or if probes are installed with the rods placed on top of each other instead of next to each other, even though this would be rather unusual.

The volume of influence will be asymmetric in the vertical plane for both balanced and unbalanced probes for cases in which probes are placed close to a surface. This effect can be illustrated clearly by placing a TDR-probe at the soil surface, with half the diameter of the probe embedded in soil and the other half in free air; a determination of water content will not be 0.5 of a determination in which the probe is totally embedded in soil. The measured water content will instead be between 0.6 and 0.7 of an embedded probe because the probe "weights" the contribution from the soil highest as a result of higher impedance in air than in soil.

Knight et al. (1994) states the probe-design rule that B/D should not be less than 0.1. We agree, as mentioned above, that one should attempt to have as large a rod diameter as possible compared with rod spacing. In the case of laboratory column experiments, one important option, though, is to minimize the disturbance of the soil. A small diameter (B) is thus desirable. We found good determination of water content with B/D = 0.02, but we agree that the smaller this ratio, the more caution should be taken during installation. When a small volume of influence is desired (i.e., good depth resolution), D should be as small as possible, which results in a higher B/D and subsequently less "skin-effect."

Figure 6 illustrates an example of what can be called the homogeneity of the energy distribution around the TDR-probes. The solid lines arise from Eqs. (6), (2), and (3) and the symbols from finding arithmetically the slope of the measured $S$ values at two consecutive (neighboring) measurement points. This value is referred to an $h$ value in between $h$ values of the two measurement points. The shown data is for 15-cm rods with a diameter of 0.2 cm and three different spacings. Both data and theory show a fairly heterogeneous energy distribution, with a steep increase in energy close to the probes corresponding to the major part of the energy being located closely around the probes. Again, a good agreement between measured and calculated curves is seen.

**CONCLUSIONS**

By careful design, small TDR probes can be used in laboratory experiments where high reso-
solution is required, especially when computer software is used for analyzing TDR traces, making measurements fast and accurate. The sphere of influence around the TDR rods is fairly independent of rod diameter (B) but highly dependent on rod spacing (D). The smaller the rod spacing, the higher the resolution and the closer measurements can be made to the soil surface. Good determination of water content was found with B/D as small as 0.02.

For rod spacings of 1, 2, and 5 cm, measurements were accurately made as close to the soil surface as 1, 1.5, and 2 cm, respectively, which is in excellent agreement with the theory presented by Knight et al. (1994).
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Article VI.
A SEMI-ANALYTICAL SOLUTION FOR ONE-DIMENSIONAL SOLUTE TRANSPORT IN SOILS

T. YAMAGUCHI, P. MOLDRUP, D. E. ROLSTON, AND L. W. PETERSEN

Analytical solutions to the convection-dispersion model (CDM) of solute transport require linear reaction terms, strict initial and boundary concentration conditions, and are often complex to evaluate because of the inherent mathematical functions. We present a flexible and mathematically very simple solution to the CDM at steady water flow, labeled a semi-analytical (SA) solution. The SA solution allows for nonlinear reaction terms, variable initial and boundary conditions, and is based on the recently presented moving concentration slope (MCS) model for solute transport. To derive the SA solution, a solute flux approximation at the upper boundary and a small, constant depth increment of 0.5 cm are used, and two features of the MCS model are exploited, i.e., an explicit, depth-integrated flux equation is already inherent in the model and all numerical error and stability equations are unique functions of the solute unit mean travel distance (SUMTD). The SA solution contains seven constants; one is the solute dispersivity, and the remaining six are functions only of the SUMTD. Excellent agreement between the SA solution and ordinary analytical solutions to the CDM was obtained. For variable boundary conditions, the SA solution was also tested against data for chloride transport in sandy soil columns. Measured and calculated outlet concentrations compared well.

The SA model allows for linear or nonlinear reaction terms without increasing the complexity of the solution. In the case of nonlinear reactions, the SA model offers a simple solution in situations where conventional analytical solutions are not available. This was illustrated by successfully comparing the SA solution, including a Michaelis-Menten reaction term, with measured data for simultaneous transport and reduction of nitrate in porous media columns.

Recently, we have presented a number of numerically simple but accurate models for transport processes in soil systems (Yamaguchi et al. 1992; Moldrup et al. 1989, 1992, 1993). Although easy to program and apply, these models still require the use of a traditional numerical calculation procedure, i.e., with special concern toward calculating the correct boundary fluxes and continuous control of numerical stability. In contrast, analytical solutions represent explicit functions to be solved directly but require strict boundary and initial conditions and evaluation of fairly complex mathematical functions (erfc, Bessel and others) that can represent problems at certain combinations of parameter values, time, and soil depth (van Genuchten 1985). Also, analytical solutions are not available in the case of nonlinear terms for adsorption, decay, and production.

The purpose of the present study was to derive a flexible, semi-analytical solution for solute transport, at steady water flow, that gives an accurate solution, avoids the use of complex mathematical functions, and allows for nonlinear reaction terms and variable initial and boundary solute concentrations. The derivation was based on the Moving Concentration Slope (MCS) solute transport model using the reasoning that i) all the numerical stability and error terms for the MCS model are unique functions of the pore-water velocity (u) times the time increment (Δt) "regardless of the individual values of u and Δt" (Moldrup et al. 1992); ii) numerical dispersion is efficiently removed in
the MCS model; and iii) an explicit, depth-integrated flux equation is already inherent in the MCS model.

**DERIVATION OF A SEMI-ANALYTICAL (SA) SOLUTION**

Solute transport and transformations are traditionally described by the convection-dispersion model (CDM), including a reaction term. The governing flux and continuity equations in case of steady water flow are, Eqs. (1) and (2),

\[ J = -D \frac{\partial c}{\partial z} + uc \]  
\[ \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial J}{\partial z} - S \]  

where \( c \) is the solute concentration (\( \text{mg L}^{-1} \)), \( J \) is the solute flux (\( \text{cm mg h}^{-1} \text{ L}^{-1} \)), \( u \) is the pore-water velocity (\( \text{cm h}^{-1} \), assumed constant), \( D \) is the dispersion coefficient (\( \text{cm}^2 \text{ h}^{-1} \), assumed constant), \( t \) is time (h), \( z \) is soil depth (cm) and \( S \) is the solute removal rate (\( \text{mg L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1} \)).

The MCS solution of Moldrup et al. (1992) to the CDM is shown in Eqs. (3)–(5),

\[ \frac{J_{t+1/2z} - J_{t-1/2z}}{M(h)} = u\Delta z \left( \frac{D_{num}}{\Delta z} \right) \left( c_{t+1/2z} - c_{t-1/2z} \right) + \left( \frac{J_{t+1/2z} - J_{t-1/2z}}{2} \right) \]  
\[ D_{num} = \frac{u\Delta z}{2} - \frac{u\Delta z}{2} + \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta z} - D \]  
\[ c_{t+1/2z} = c_{t} + \left( \frac{J_{t-1/2z} - J_{t+1/2z}}{\Delta z} \right) - S_{t}\Delta t \]  

where \( D_{num} \) is the numerical dispersion coefficient (\( \text{cm}^2 \text{ h}^{-1} \)), which corrects the model for the artificial dispersion created by the calculation scheme itself; \( \Delta t(h) \) is the time increment and \( \Delta z \) (cm) is the depth increment used in the calculations; the superscript \( z \) denotes depth (i.e., \( J_{t-1/2z} \) is the solute flux on the grid boundary between the consecutive calculation depths \( z \) and \( z + \Delta z \) in the soil profile); the power term \( \frac{\Delta t}{u} \Delta z \) in Eq. (3) is equal to the Peclet number; and Eq. (3) represents the solute flux equation (Eq. (1)) integrated with respect to soil depth.

To enable the derivation of a simple, closed-form solution, the solute flux at the soil surface \((z = 0)\) was approximated using \( \Delta z \) instead of \( 1/2 \Delta z \) in the first parenthesis of Eq. (3). Using \( 1/2 \Delta z \) was proposed by Moldrup et al. (1992) and corresponds to the distance between the soil surface and the first calculation point within the soil profile. However, both strategies are essentially non-accurate due to the ever-occurring upper boundary problem, i.e., the concentration and flux calculation points are both placed at the soil surface, which makes an approximation of the flux (Eq. (3)) and continuity (Eq. (5)) calculations necessary if a numerical solution is applied. Initial comparisons between the MCS model (using the two different flux approximations) and analytical solutions to the CDM showed that if \( \Delta z \leq 0.5 \text{ cm} \), the herein suggested flux approximation did not introduce significant errors compared with the flux approximation of Moldrup et al. (1992). Both MCS solutions yielded an accumulated error in concentration typically \(<1 \%\), which was considered sufficiently accurate for most applications.

Using this suggested flux approximation at the soil surface, together with a small, constant \( \Delta z = 0.5 \text{ cm} \), and introducing the solute dispersivity (\( \beta \)) and the solute unit mean travel distance (\( \phi \)),

\[ \beta = \frac{D}{u} \text{(cm)}, \quad \phi = u\Delta t \text{(cm)} \]  

the combined Eqs. (3)–(6) simply reduce to

\[ c_{t+1/2z} = c_{t} - 2\Phi c_{t}^{0.5} + 4\Phi(0.5 - \Phi - 3\beta)c_{t}^{0.5} 
+ 2\Phi c_{t+1/2z}^{0.5} - 2\Phi(0.5 - \Phi - 2\beta)c_{t+1/2z}^{0.5} 
- 2\Phi(0.5 - \Phi - 2\beta)c_{t-1/2z}^{0.5} - S_{t}\Delta t \]  

i.e.,

\[ c_{t+1/2z} = (\Phi + 2\Phi^{2} + 4\Phi\beta)c_{t+1/2z}^{0.5} 
+ (1 - 4\Phi^{2} - 8\Phi\beta)c_{t}^{0.5} 
+ (-\Phi + 2\Phi^2 + 4\Phi\beta)c_{t-1/2z}^{0.5} - S_{t}\Delta t \]  

where the time increment \( \Delta t \) must be chosen as (cf. Eq. (6))

\[ \Delta t = \frac{\Phi}{u} \text{(h)} \]  

Writing Eq. (7) in closed form gives the following expression for the solute concentration profile after \( N_{max} \) time steps, i.e., after \( t = N_{max} \) \( \Delta t \)
\[ N_{\text{max}} \Phi/u, \]
\[ c_{\text{sol}}^{(N_{\text{max}}+1)1/2} = \sum_{N=1}^{N_{\text{max}}} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\text{max}}-1} \left\{ (K_1 + K_2 + K_3 c_{i}^{(N_{\text{max}}-1)1/2}) \right. \]
\[ + (K_3 + K_4 c_{i}^{(N_{\text{max}}-1)1/2} - S_{i}^{(N_{\text{max}}-1)1/2} \Delta t) \]
\[ \left. (K_4 + K_5 c_{i}^{(N_{\text{max}}-1)1/2} - S_{i}^{(N_{\text{max}}-1)1/2} \Delta t) \right\} \]  
(9)

where \( N_{\text{max}} = \lfloor \frac{u}{u} \rfloor \) is an integer representing the time step number, each time step is equal to \( \frac{u}{u} \) (h), and \( z_{\text{soil}} \) are the soil distances (cm) under consideration. It is noticed that Eq. (9) also provides the temporal variation in solute concentration at any soil distance under consideration. We label the new solution semi-analytical (SA) because of the summation terms in Eq. (9) that make evaluation from time \( t = 0 \) necessary and, also, the inherent integrated flux equation (Eq. (3)). The latter is a parallel to the classical semi-analytical (or quasi-analytical) solutions for water infiltration problems (e.g., Philip and Knight 1974; Philip 1987).

Analytical solutions for simultaneous transport and nonlinear transformations are not available. Because of the separate reaction term in Eq. (9), the SA solution can easily incorporate any linear or nonlinear expressions for solute transformations without making the solution more complex. For example, in the case of a solute undergoing first-order adsorption, decay following Michaelis-Menten kinetics, and zero-order production, the reaction term of Eq. (9) simply becomes
\[ S_{i}^{(N_{\text{max}}-1)1/2}. \Delta t = \left( k_1 c_{i}^{(N_{\text{max}}-1)1/2} \right) \]
\[ + \mu_{\text{max}} \phi c_{i}^{(N_{\text{max}}-1)1/2} - k_0 \frac{\Phi}{u}, \]  
(10)

where, for the processes under consideration, \( k_1 \) is the first order reaction rate coefficient (h\(^{-1}\)), \( \mu_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum reaction rate (h\(^{-1}\)), \( K_m \) is the Michaelis-Menten coefficient (mg L\(^{-1}\)), and \( k_0 \) is the zero order reaction rate coefficient (mg L\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)). Thus, any combination of linear and nonlinear processes can be accommodated.

The lower soil depth (\( z_{\text{max}} \)) to be used in Eq. (9) should be chosen so the lower boundary is placed sufficiently deep to avoid any effects on the calculations. Based on our experiences, we recommend choosing
\[ z_{\text{max}} > \left( 2 + \frac{D}{u} \right) N_{\text{max}} \Phi \Delta t \]  
(11)

where \( N_{\text{max}}. u. \Delta t \) represents the mean travel distance of the solute front, the factor \((2 + D/u)\) represents a maximum additional travel distance for some of the solute due to hydrodynamic dispersion, and where \( u \) and \( D \) are in units of cm h\(^{-1}\) and cm\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), respectively. In cases of long-term or rapid flow simulations where the \( z_{\text{max}} \) value of Eq. (11) would become unfeasibly large, a lower boundary condition of \( c_{\text{sol}} = c_{\text{sol}}^{\text{ai}} \) at a depth well outside the zone of interest (e.g., \( z_{\text{max}} = 300 \) cm for simulation of a 100 cm soil column) could conveniently be used instead.

To use the SA solution (Eq. (9)), the initial (\( c_{\text{sol}}^{\text{ai}} \)) and boundary (\( c_{\text{sol}}^{\text{ai}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{sol}} \)) solute concentrations and the values of the solute dispersivity (\( \beta \)) need to be known a priori, and a value of the solute unit mean travel distance (\( \phi \)) that does not introduce instability in the calculations must be chosen.

**Choice of \( \phi \) Value**

The value of \( \phi \) to be used in Eq. (9) is chosen on the basis of the three numerical stability criteria for the MCS model (Moldrup et al. 1992),
\[ \Delta t < \left( \frac{\Delta x}{D_{\text{mix}}} \right) \left( e^{0.5/\delta^2} - 1 \right) \]  
(12)
\[ \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} < 0.3 \]  
(13)
\[ \left| \frac{D_{\text{mix}}}{D} \right| < 2 \]  
(14)

Inserting Eqs. (2) and (4) and \( \Delta x = 0.5 \) cm in Eqs. (12)-(14) and rearranging yields

**Criterion 1:**
\[ \phi < 0.5 \frac{e^{0.5/\delta^2} - 1}{e^{0.5/\delta^2} + 1} \]  
(15)
Criterion 2:
\[ \Phi < 0.15 \quad (16) \]

Criterion 3:
\[ -2 < \frac{1}{\beta} \left( 0.25 + \frac{0.5}{e^{0.5\beta} - 1} \right) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{\beta} \left( 0.5\Phi + \Phi \right) < 2 \quad (17) \]

These three criteria must all be observed when using the semi-analytical solution. Criterion 3 will normally be observed except in cases of very small \( \beta \) values (\(<1 \text{ cm})\). This is seen by using the series approximation for the exponential function,
\[ e^\varphi = 1 + \frac{\varphi}{1!} + \frac{\varphi^2}{2!} + \frac{\varphi^3}{3!} + \frac{\varphi^4}{4!} \cdots \quad (18) \]
in Criterion 3 yielding
\[-2 < \frac{0.25}{\beta} + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{(0.5/\beta)^2}{2!} + \frac{(0.5/\beta)^3}{3!} + \frac{(0.5/\beta)^4}{4!} \cdots \]
\[-0.5 \frac{\Phi}{\beta} - 1 < 2 \quad (19) \]

which will always be fulfilled for small \( \Phi \) values (we recall that \( \Phi \) must be \(<0.15 \text{ cm}, \text{ cf. Criterion 2}\) and \( \beta \geq 0.25 \text{ cm}\). Hence, only for small \( \beta \) values (\( \beta < 0.25 \text{ cm}\)) it is necessary to insure that Criterion 3 is observed.

Criteria 1 and 2 as functions of \( \beta \) are shown in Fig. 1. Criterion 1 is the stricter criterion for \( \beta > 0.8 \text{ cm}\). However, it is not convenient for each transport experiment and, thus, for each new \( \beta \) value to find the optimal \( \Phi \) value from Criteria 1 and 2 and then calculate the new values of the six constants \( K_1-K_6 \) to be used. Instead, based on Criteria 1 and 2, Table 1 shows recommended values of \( \Phi \) and \( K_1-K_6 \) for different \( \beta \) intervals. For example, if a number of transport experiments are to be simulated and \( \beta < 2.5 \text{ cm} \) in all cases, a \( \Phi \) value of 0.05 cm and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \beta ) (cm)</th>
<th>( \Phi )</th>
<th>( K_1 )</th>
<th>( K_2 )</th>
<th>( K_3 )</th>
<th>( K_4 )</th>
<th>( K_5 )</th>
<th>( K_6 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1*</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.1488</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.9424</td>
<td>-0.96</td>
<td>-0.0912</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1.5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.0928</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.9744</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.0672</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.0672</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.9856</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.0528</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.0550</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.9900</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.0450</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0432</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.9938</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>-0.0368</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.0318</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.9964</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>-0.0282</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.0208</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.9984</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.0192</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;12.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.0098</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For \( \beta < 0.25 \text{ cm}, \Phi = 0.12 \text{ cm} \) can be used only if Eq. (17) is observed. If Eq. (17) is not observed, a \( \Phi \) value < 0.12 that observes Eq. (17) must be used instead.
the corresponding values of $K_1$-$K_6$ can conveniently be used for all calculations.

**TEST OF SA SOLUTION AT CONSTANT CONCENTRATION BOUNDARY CONDITION**

The semi-analytical solution was tested against the analytical solution to the CDM of solute transport by Lapidus and Amundson (1952; also cf. Eq. (3) of Yamaguchi et al. 1989) for the initial and boundary conditions,

\[ c_{t=0} = 0; \quad c_{t=0}^{\text{in}} = 200 \text{ mg L}^{-1}; \quad c_{t=\infty}^{\text{in}} = 0 \quad (20) \]

Excellent agreement between the SA solution (Eq. (9)) with $S_1' = 0$ and the solution of Lapidus and Amundson (1952) was obtained for a wide range of parameter values. Figure 2 shows an example of one test using $\Phi = 0.05$ cm for two different $\beta$ values <2.5 cm (choice of $\Phi$ value cf. Table 1).

For the same boundary conditions (Eq. (20)) and with various values of the first order reaction rate coefficient ($k_1$), the semi-analytical solution with $k_1 = 0$ and $\mu_{\text{env}} = 0$ in Eq. (10) was tested against the analytical solution of van Genuchten (1985; also cf. Eq. (5) of Yamaguchi et al. 1992). Also in this case, excellent agreement was obtained for a wide range of $k_1$ values (not shown).

**TEST OF SA SOLUTION AT VARIABLE CONCENTRATION BOUNDARY CONDITION**

To illustrate the use of the semi-analytical solution and, also, test it for variable upper boundary conditions, the solution with $S_1' = 0$ was compared with

1) a data set for chloride transport in an unsaturated, sandy soil from Hiroshima, Japan (packed column, 20 cm in diameter and 50 cm in length, temperature $T = 10^\circ$C) used in the study of Yamaguchi et al. (1994, manuscript submitted);

2) data for chloride transport in an unsaturated, sandy soil from Jyndevad (Southern Jutland), Denmark (packed columns, 6.75 cm in diameter and 50 cm in length, temperature $T = 30^\circ$C) measured during this study;

3) the analytical solution of Lapidus and Amundson (1952) modified for a quasi-stationary input function corresponding to the upper boundary condition of the column experiments (cf. Eqs. (21) and (22)).

The experimental conditions and the physical and chemical properties of the Hiroshima coarse sand (texture: <0.1% organic matter, 0.8% clay and silt, and 99.2% sand) were given by Yamaguchi et al. (1990, 1994). The soil-water content during steady-state flow was 0.31 cm$^3$ cm$^{-3}$. The pore-water velocity ($u = 3.2$ cm h$^{-1}$) and the dispersion coefficient ($D = 3.1$ cm$^2$ h$^{-1}$) were simultaneously estimated from the solute breakthrough curve (for $z = 50$ cm and $t < 30$ h) by the method of Yamaguchi et al. (1989). Hence, the solute dispersivity $\beta = D/u = 0.97$ cm. The experiment was performed under the initial and boundary conditions

\[ c_{t=0} = 0 \]
\[ c_{t=0}^{\text{in}} = c_t = 400 \text{ mg chloride L}^{-1} \quad t \leq 43 \text{ h} \]
\[ c_{t=\infty} = 0 \]
\[ t > 43 \text{ h} \]

\[ c_{t=\infty}^{\text{in}} = 0 \]

Three replicate, rapid infiltration experiments with the Jyndevad sand (packed homogeneously to a bulk density of 1.4 g cm$^{-3}$) were carried out according to the procedure described by van Genuchten and Wierenga (1986). The Jyndevad sand (texture: 4% organic matter, 8%
clay and silt, and 88% sand) was the same as used in the soil-water sorptivity study of Moldrup et al. (1994). The soil-water content during steady-state flow was 0.30 cm³ cm⁻³. The pore-water velocity \( u = 7.5 \text{ cm h}^{-1} \) and the dispersivity \( \beta = 0.8 \text{ cm} \) were obtained by fitting the semi-analytical solution (Eq. (9)) to the first of the three measured solute breakthrough curves (i.e., for \( z = 50 \text{ cm} \) and \( t < 12 \text{ h} \); Column no. 1 in Fig. 4) by conventional least mean squares technique. The three experiments were performed under the initial and boundary conditions

\[ \begin{align*}
  c_i^0 &= 0 \\
  c_{i=0}^e &= c_s = 700 \text{ mg chloride L}^{-1} \quad t \leq 9.17 \text{ h} \\
  c_{i=0}^w &= 0 \\
  c_{i=\text{lim}} &= 0
\end{align*} \tag{22} \]

As can be seen from Fig. 3 (Hiroshima sandy soil) and Fig. 4 (Jyndevad sandy soil), good agreement was obtained between the measured data and the semi-analytical solution (Eq. (9) with \( \phi = 0.12 \) equal to the recommended \( \phi \) value in Table 1 for \( \beta < 1 \text{ cm} \)). The CDM is not able to simulate the tailing of the solute “breakdown curves,” most pronounced for the Hiroshima sandy soil \( (t > 60 \text{ h}) \). The discrepancies are probably due to a small amount of immobile water that can be present even in a sandy soil (Wierenga and van Genuchten 1989). The SA solution and the modified analytical solution of Lapidus and Amundson (1952) are in practice identical (cf. Figs. (3) and (4)).

**EXAMPLE OF MODEL USE: SIMULTANEOUS TRANSPORT AND REDUCTION OF NITRATE IN POROUS MEDIA COLUMNS**

The SA solution was used to describe data for rapid, continuous leaching of nitrate through water-saturated porous media columns at steady water flow, presented in Yamaguchi et al. (1990). The purpose of this example is to illustrate the possible application of the SA solution for a transport problem where an ordinary analytical solution is not available. It was therefore assumed that the nitrate reduction (denitrifica-
tion) in the columns could be described by nonlinear, Michaelis-Menten kinetics corresponding to using Eq. (10), with $k_0$ and $k_1$ both equal to zero.

The column set-up and materials and methods for the experiments were described in detail by Yamaguchi et al. (1990) and will only briefly be presented here. Two column experiments (packed columns, 20 cm in diameter, 60 cm in length) were considered. The porous media used was 98% Hiroshima weathered granitic rock mixed with 2% finely textured agricultural soil (41% clay and silt). Inlet nitrate concentrations of $c_i = 20$ and 40 mg N L$^{-1}$, respectively, were applied. The temperature was 10°C for both experiments. The constant $u$ and $D$ values (Fig. 5) were estimated from breakthrough curve experiments using the parameter estimation methods of Yamaguchi et al. (1989). The experiments were carried out under noncarbon-limiting conditions by adding sufficient amounts of methanol (to obtain a C/N ratio >1). Only the measured nitrate profiles in the top half of the columns ($z \leq 30$ cm) were considered in the present study since different reduction kinetics were seen in the top and bottom half of the columns (Yamaguchi et al. 1990).

The data material did not suffice to simultaneously estimate $K_m$ and $\mu_{\text{max}}$ in the Michaelis-Menten term. Therefore, a tentative $K_m$ value of 168 mg L$^{-1}$ was used equal to the $K_m$ value for a similar type of experiment (fine sand, glucose added) estimated by Bowman and Focht (1974). Assuming $K_m = 168$ mg L$^{-1}$, the SA solution was fitted to the measured steady-state nitrate profiles using $\mu_{\text{max}}$ as the only fitting parameter. Initial and boundary conditions were

$$c_s = 0; c_{s,0} = c_s; c_{s,\text{in}} = 0$$

Simulated, steady-state profiles were obtained using $N_{\text{max}} = 2000$ in Eq. (9) for both columns. Fitted $\mu_{\text{max}}$ values were $\mu_{\text{max}} = 21$ h$^{-1}$ for $c_i = 20$ mg N L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5a) and $\mu_{\text{max}} = 4.5$ h$^{-1}$ for $c_i = 40$ mg N L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 5b). Figure 5 also shows the effect of varying $K_m$ (Fig. 5a) and $\mu_{\text{max}}$ (Fig. 5b). Assuming the same $K_m$ value for both experiments, the lower $\mu_{\text{max}}$ value (4.5 h$^{-1}$) estimated for the highest inlet N concentration (40 mg L$^{-1}$) indicates that the high inlet N concentration combined with the very high water application rate (rapid infiltration) resulted in a higher N application than the denitrifying microorganisms were able to use optimally at this low temperature (10°C).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The proposed semi-analytical solution to the convection-dispersion model of solute transport is able to correctly simulate one-dimensional solute transport during steady water for both constant and variable concentration boundary conditions. Advantages/disadvantages of the SA solution compared to traditional analytical solutions are,

**Advantages:**

- The SA solution is very simple to program and evaluate (cf. Eqs. (9) and (10)).
- The SA solution allows for highly variable initial and boundary conditions (no restrictions on the variation of $c_i$=0 and $c_i$).

**Fig. 5.** The semi-analytical solution including a Michaelis-Menten reaction term used on data from two continuous nitrate leaching experiments. Measured, steady-state NO$_3$-N profiles together with calculated steady-state profiles for different values of the kinetic parameters $K_m$ and $\mu_{\text{max}}$ are shown. Remaining parameter values: (a) $c_i = 20$ mg NO$_3$-N L$^{-1}$, $u = 2.9$ cm h$^{-1}$, $\beta$ = 2.41 cm, and $\phi = 0.05$ cm; (b) $c_i = 40$ mg NO$_3$-N L$^{-1}$, $u = 2.1$ cm h$^{-1}$, $\beta = 2.9$ cm, and $\phi = 0.05$ cm.

---

$\text{Nitrate Concentration, } c \text{ (mg N L}^{-1}\text{)}$

- **K$_m$=50 mg L$^{-1}$**
- **K$_m$=100 mg L$^{-1}$**
- **K$_m$=600 mg L$^{-1}$**

- **$\mu_{\text{max}}$=21 h$^{-1}$**
- **$\mu_{\text{max}}$=4.5 h$^{-1}$**

- Measured (Yamaguchi et al. 1990)
- Semi-analytical solution
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