Aalborg Universitet



# High-Resolution Structure-from-Motion for Quantitative Measurement of Leading-Edge Roughness

Schou Nielsen, Mikkel: Nikolov, Ivan Adrivanov; Kruse, Emil Krog; Garnæs, Jørgen : Madsen, Claus B.

Published in: Energies

DOI (link to publication from Publisher): 10.3390/en13153916

Publication date: 2020

Document Version Early version, also known as pre-print

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA): Schou Nielsen, M., Nikolov, I. A., Kruse, E. K., Garnæs, J., & Madsen, C. B. (2020). High-Resolution Structure-from-Motion for Quantitative Measurement of Leading-Edge Roughness. *Energies*, *13*(15), Article 3916. https://doi.org/10.3390/en13153916

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
  You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
  You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from vbn.aau.dk on: July 04, 2025



# Article High-resolution Structure-from-Motion for quantitative measurement of leading edge roughness

Mikkel Schou Nielsen <sup>1</sup>, Ivan Nikolov <sup>2</sup>, Emil Krog Kruse <sup>3</sup>, Jørgen Garnæs <sup>1</sup> and Claus Madsen<sup>2</sup>

- 1 Danish Fundamental Metrology: Kogle Allé 5, DK-2970, Hørsholm
- 2 Aalborg University: Rendsburggade 14, DK-9000, Aalborg
- 3 Power Curve ApS: Kastetvej 2, DK-9000, Aalborg
- Correspondence: Mikkel Schou Nielsen msn@dfm.dk

Version September 1, 2020 submitted to Energies

Abstract: Over time, erosion of the leading edge of wind turbine blades increases the leading 1

- edge roughness (LER). This may reduce the aerodynamic performance of the blade and hence 2
- the annual energy production of the wind turbine. As early detection is key for cost-effective 3
- maintenance, inspection methods are needed to quantify the LER of the blade. The aim of this
- proof-of-principle study is to determine whether high-resolution Structure-from-Motion (SfM) has the 5
- sufficient resolution and accuracy for quantitative inspection of LER. SfM provides 3D reconstruction 6
- of an object geometry using overlapping images of the object acquired with a RGB camera. Using 7
- information of the camera positions and orientations, absolute scale of the reconstruction can be 8
- achieved. Combined with a UAV platform, SfM has the potential for remote blade inspections with a
- reduced down-time. The tip of a decommisioned blade with an artificially enhanced erosion was 10 used for the measurements. For validation, replica moulding was used to transfer areas-of-interest
- 11 to the lab for reference measurements using confocal microscopy. The SfM reconstruction resulted 12
- in a spatial resolution of 1 mm as well as a sub-mm accuracy in both the RMS surface roughness 13
- and the size of topographic features. In conclusion, high-resolution SfM demonstrated a successful 14
- quantitative reconstruction of LER. 15

Keywords: Structure from Motion; Surface Analysis; Leading Edge Roughness; Blade inspection; 16

Quantitative 3D reconstruction; Photogrammetry 17

#### 1. Introduction 18

Erosion of wind turbine blades poses a challenge for wind energy operation and maintenance 19 [1]. Erosion of the leading edge (LE) increases the surface roughness and reduces the aerodynamic 20 performance of the blade [1,2]. As the shape of wind turbine blades is specifically designed to achieve 21 maximum energy efficiency [3], this increased leading edge roughness (LER) may lead to a reduced 22 annual energy production of the wind turbine. Through CFD modelling, several studies have found 23 that even a small degree of LE erosion can lead to 2%-5% loss in annual energy production [4–6]. 24 Severely eroded blades with high levels of LER can experience losses from 8% and up to 25% [4,7,8]. 25 As LE erosion over time can develop from small pinholes to large areas of coating delamination [4,9], 26 early detection of the severity of the erosion is important. At later erosion stages, extensive blade repair 27 may be necessary causing expensive turbine down-time. Thus for early erosion detection, inspection 28 methods for measuring the surface topography of the blade are needed to quantify the LER. 29 Visual inspection have long been applied for condition-monitoring of wind turbine blades [10]. In 30 recent years, unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) have received increased interest for remote inspection

- 31
- of wind turbines [11–16] with a lower downtime compared to manual rope-access inspection. From 32

<sup>33</sup> 2D images captured by the UAV, deep learning methods [13,15] can be used for detecting damages <sup>34</sup> and erosion on the blades. However, while the 2D information can reveal the presence and location, <sup>35</sup> *quantification* of the blade surface requires high-resolution 3D data

quantification of the blade surface roughness requires high-resolution 3D data. 35 Structure-from-Motion (SfM) is a camera-based method that provides a 3D reconstruction of an 36 object geometry with a simple, fast and low-cost acquisition [17–19]. Aided by a rapid development of 37 both open source [20,21] and commercial software solutions [22–24], SfM has found industrial interest 38 in e.g. construction site monitoring [25–27] and infrastructure inspection [28–32]. A lot of research 39 has also been done in the performance of SfM, for different use cases [33,34]. As input for the SfM reconstruction, overlapping images of the object from different positions and orientations are acquired 41 using a RGB camera. Feature points are extracted and matched between the 2D input images using 42 local feature descriptors such as SIFT [35] or ORB [36]. From the feature points and intrinsic camera 43 parameters, a sparse 3D point cloud as well as the camera positions and orientations are computed. 44 Using information from reprojected camera views, further points can be added to create a dense point 45 cloud, which can be further meshed [37,38]. 46 The accuracy of a SfM reconstruction is influenced by a number of factors. Since SfM depends on 47 triangulation of feature points, the accuracy is affected by the angular coverage of the acquired images 48 [19,39] and scales with the the capturing distance from camera to object [38,40,41]. Furthermore, a 49 sufficient texture level is required for enough distinct features on the object surface to be tracked from 50 image to image [19,42]. Low texture regions may result in empty regions of the point cloud [43]. To 51 evaluate the accuracy, the SfM reconstruction is typically compared to another optical technique such 52 as a LiDAR or laser scanner. This can be done either by direct point-to-point comparison with the 53 SfM point cloud [19,44,45] or raster-to-raster comparison of digital elevation models (DEM) [46,47]. 54 Either way, the comparison is influenced by the measurement uncertainty of the reference points [47]. 55 Common metrics for reporting the accuracy are the standard deviation (SD) [17,33,44] and root mean square deviation (RMSD) [41,43,46]. 57 Within wind energy, SfM has previously been investigated for 3D reconstruction of blade 58 geometries [11,16]. However, these studies did not have a sufficient resolution to reconstruct the 59 surface topography directly and rather used the color texture to identify damages. With high-resolution 60 SfM, a point-sampling distance below 0.1 mm/pixel can be achieved which allows for reconstruction 61 of the surface roughness [48,49]. 62 In this proof-of-principle study, we investigate the potential of high-resolution SfM in quantitative 63 inspection of wind turbine blades. We envisage a scenario where an UAV carrying a high-end RGB 64 camera is capturing images of the LE of blades. Using these images, a SfM reconstruction of (parts 65 of) the LE is performed from which quantitative measures of the LER can be extracted. The study 66 seeks to answer two main questions. Firstly, to demonstrate whether a sufficient resolution can be 67 achieved to reconstruct the LER of a blade. Secondly, what is the performance of high-resolution SfM 68 in providing quantitative measures of the surface topography of the LER. A mock-up of an eroded 69

<sup>70</sup> blade was fabricated by artificially enhancing the LER of the tip of a decommisioned blade. The
 <sup>71</sup> SfM capturing was done using a handheld camera and in an outdoor environment to mimic realistic
 <sup>72</sup> inspection conditions. In the high-resolution acquisition, the images were acquired from a distance of
 <sup>73</sup> roughly 2 meters using a 300 mm lens. We believe these conditions to be representative of what the
 <sup>74</sup> envisaged UAV inspection scenario might operate with.

For evaluating the accuracy of the SfM reconstruction, selected areas on the blade surface 75 were extracted from the point cloud and converted to a DEM. Replicas of the same areas on 76 the blade surface were made using replication moulding and transferred to the lab. Replication 77 moulding is a demonstrated method for transferring hard-to-access surface topographies to a substrate 78 suitable for microscopy measurements [50]. In the replication of surface roughness, accuracies at 79 the sub-micrometer level have been demonstrated using elastomer replica materials [51–56]. Using 80 confocal microscopy (CM) measurements of the replicas, a DEM was created for direct raster-to-raster 81 comparison to the SfM reconstruction. The resolution of the SfM reconstruction was evaluated 82

using Fourier analysis and RMSD calculation. For validation of the resolution analysis, a model was
constructed by reducing the resolution of the reference DEM and adding noise. This model DEM
was then compared to the SfM DEM. Finally, the quantitative performance in measuring LER was

evaluated using surface roughness parameters and topographic feature sizes.

# 87 2. Methods and Materials

# 88 2.1. Blade Mock-up

A decommissioned wind turbine blade was available for the experimental setup. The blade had 89 been used in a modern 2 MW pitch-regulated wind turbine. Span-wise, the outer two meters of the 90 blade that already had some erosion was used. To better resemble the examples of severe LE erosion experienced from field inspections [4,8,9], the erosion was artificially increased by sandblasting the 92 LE. At this level of erosion, large areas of laminate are exposed along the LE with depths of 1-3 mm. 93 Severe erosion was chosen for this study for two main reasons. Firstly, depths of these magnitude are 94 at the order where the aerodynamic performance is significantly impacted. A study by Bak et al. [57] 95 found that the critical height of roughness for lowering the maximum lift of wind turbine blades was 96 above 1 mm. Secondly, a large surface roughness represents a good pass/fail test of the feasibility of 97 high-resolution SfM. If the resolution was not sufficient for resolving large erosion structures, it would 98 not function for less eroded surfaces either. 99 For inspection of a wind turbine in operation, we envision that the turbine is stopped with the 100 inspected blade in a vertical position. To simulate this, the blade was mounted vertically in a gantry, 101 which was welded together for the purpose of this work. To include the effect of oscillations, chain 102 links were used to fix the blade mock-up to the gantry, which let the blade segment move freely in the 103

- wind. The height of the gantry was 5 meters, resulting in a distance of 5 meters from the very tip of the
   blade to the ground. The blade setup on the gantry, together with the scissor lift used to capture all the
- data for this paper can be seen in Figure 1.



**Figure 1.** The wind turbine blade segment positioned on the built gantry, together with the scissor lift used for capturing image and replica data.

### 107 2.2. SfM Capturing Conditions

The image capturing process of the proof-of-principle study was done in an outdoor environment to ensure realistic capturing conditions. A commercial DSLR camera (Canon 5Ds) with a variable zoom lens (Canon 70-300 f/4-5.6L IS USM) was used with the focal length fixed at 300 mm. Camera parameters and settings are summarized in Table 1. As the capturing was done outdoors, a number ofprerequisites need to be taken into account:

- The natural illumination can change between images.
- The sides of the blade mock-up may not be evenly illuminated.

• Wind can cause oscillations of the blade mock-up, which can change its position and orientation compared to previous images.

Since the accuracy of the SfM reconstruction depends on the stability of light conditions, camera settings should be robust to environmental changes in light direction and intensity. In addition, the settings should take into account the possible motion of the blade. The chosen ISO, shutter, and aperture settings are shown in Table 1. They represent what we believe to be reasonable compromises between exposure for outdoor conditions, becoming less sensitive to motion blur (shutter) and not having to worry too much about too shallow depth-of-field (aperture).

<b>Camera Parameters</b>	Values		
ISO	800		
Shutter Speed [sec]	1/200		
Aperture	f/16		
Focal length [mm]	300		
Image size [pixels]	8688x5792		
Sensor pixel size [µm]	4.14		
Capturing setup			
Distance to blade [m]	2		
Angular spacing [°]	10		
Capturing bands	3		
No of images	57		
GSD [µm/pixel]	27		

Table 1. Camera parameters and settings for the outdoor capturing setup.

For the initial proof-of-principle study, a manual and hand-held image capturing was performed. A part of the wind turbine blade was chosen that contained a variation in surface topography across the leading edge - from very rough damaged areas to smoother clean areas. The part of the blade chosen for 3D reconstruction is shown in Figure 2.



**Figure 2.** Testing blade together with the region chosen for reconstruction. The zoomed-in parts are of the two sides of the blade

A semi-circular 180-degree capturing pattern is used for the image capturing. This capturing method was shown by [34] and [58] to produce high accuracy reconstructions, while also minimizing the number of required images. Three horizontal semi-circular bands each with 19 images were
acquired giving a total of 57 images. To ensure enough vertical separation between the horizontal
bands, the first band was taken from the ground level looking toward the suspended blade. To capture
the other two bands a moving scissor lift was used. The captured positions can be seen in Figure 3b.
This way the blade surface could be captured from different positions and angles both in horizontal
and vertical direction, ensuring maximum cover. The semi-circles were centered around the wind
turbine blade with a distance of 2 meters from camera to blade. The capturing settings are summarized
in Table 1.

For a fixed focal length, the capturing distance determines the ground sampling distance (GSD), i.e. the spatial size on the object that each pixel in a captured image covers. Using a pinhole camera model, the GSD can be calculated as the camera sensor pixel size p multiplied by the ratio of the distance between camera and surface D over the focal length f as shown in equation 1.

$$GSD = \frac{D}{f}p \tag{1}$$

With the used settings in the study, the GSD was  $27 \mu m / pixel$  which corresponds to approximately 36 pixels/mm on the blade surface.

#### 143 2.3. SfM Reconstruction

For SfM reconstruction, the commercial stand-alone software package Agisoft Metashape by [22] was used. It was selected as it has previously demonstrated a high accuracy compared to other state of the art solutions, while being robust against sub-optimal capturing conditions [34]. The pipeline from input images, 3D reconstruction and extraction of depth map patches is visualized in Figure 3. An overview of the process is given below.





**Figure 3.** Pipeline for 3D reconstruction using SfM. 3a) Initially, images were acquired at every 10 degrees of a half circle around the wind turbine blade at three different heights and tilt angles. 3b The camera pose of the images and points on the object surface were then calculated. The reconstructed surface geometry without 3c and with color 3d. Extracted patch from the reconstruction shown as 3e (color) texture and 3f resulting DEM.

The captured images 3a were imported to Metashape and a triangulation, feature extraction and matching step were performed to find the camera positions and key feature points from the input images 3b. From these positions and feature points, a sparse point cloud was formed. Next in the reconstruction process a dense point cloud was created and meshed into a triangle mesh 3c. Finally a (color) texture is build from the visual data from the input images 3d.

To establish an absolute scale in the SfM reconstruction, the known camera positions and distance from camera to blade surface were utilized. The method presented in [59] was followed. The scale was calculated using a least squares transformation estimation between the reconstructed camera positions and the manually measured positions in the real world.

For evaluating the SfM reconstruction, three areas R1, R2 and R3 were selected for comparison to 158 reference microscopy measurements. The areas were chosen to include distinctive surface topography 159 features and cover the boundary between intact coating and damaged surface. For each area, a 160 digital elevation model (DEM) was created from the reconstruction using the following pipeline. First, 161 for further processing and analysis of the mesh the reconstruction was imported to the software 162 CloudCompare [60]. For each area, a patch of roughly 35 mm x 35 mm was created from the main 163 reconstructed point cloud. The patches were oriented with the Z axis perpendicular to the mesh surface, 164 and were rasterized into a DEM of the surface topography 3f. This was done by an interpolation of the 165 point-cloud points to a map with equidistant point spacing and using the average *z-values* of each grid 166 space. The resulting pixel size was chosen to be  $13, 3\mu m$  to match the reference microscopy images. 16

#### 168 2.4. Replica Moulding

Replication was performed for each of the R1, R2 and R3 areas described in section 2.3. As a 169 replication material with a fast curing time and resolution down to 0.1  $\mu m$ , Repliset T3 by Struers [61] 170 was selected. In previous studies, the replication of surface textures using Repliset has achieved a 171 sub-micrometer accuracy [55,62]. The RepliSet T3 is a black two-part silicone rubber which consists of 172 a polymer and curing agent. For replication, the two parts were pushed out of the cartridge, mixed in 173 a static-mixing nozzle and applied onto the blade surface 4a. Immediately after application, backing 174 paper was placed on top of the mixture and attached by applying a small force as shown in Figure 4b. 175 The mixture set for 15 minutes and then the replica was removed from the blade surface by hand. 176



**Figure 4.** Replication of an area on the blade mock-up. Figure 4a illustrates the application of the combined polymer and curing agent from a static-mixing nozzle. Figure 4b shows the backing paper being attached by applying a gentle force to the replication material.

#### 177 2.5. Confocal microscopy

Confocal microscopy (CM) was used to produce reference DEMs of the R1, R2 and R3 areas. The
 three replica of the blade surface were measured using a calibrated PLU NEOX confocal microscope by
 Sensofar [63]. For each replica, an extended area of approx. 35 mm x 35 mm was measured by stitching

around 400 individual images. A x5 magnification objective with an NA of 0.15 was used. For each image, a 4x4 binning was used resulting in a final pixel size of 13.3  $\mu m$ . To ensure a superior resolution for the CM measurement, the pixel size was kept smaller than the GSD of the SfM reconstruction. The vertical step size (*z-axis*) used was 12  $\mu m$ . The 3D surface reconstruction, stitching and creation of a DEM were performed using the proprietary SensoSCAN software.

The sensitivity of the CM microscope in the vertical direction (*z-axis*) was calibrated using a set of step height transfer standards. Traceability was ensured through calibration of the standards by e.g. an AFM equipped with laser interferometer. The amplification coefficient of the *z-axis* had a relative uncertainty lower than 3%.

#### 190 2.6. Image processing and data analysis

The main software programs used for the surface topography analysis were the Scanning Probe Image Processor (SPIP) [64] version 6.6.3 as well as custom scripts in MATLAB version 2019b. SPIP is an image processing program with special tools for accurate characterization of image structures.

Initially using SPIP, each SfM and reference DEM were levelled by subtracting a least-squares parabola fit from the overall shape. This way the long wavelength curvature of the surface was removed, while the short wavelength surface roughness could be preserved. Then for each area, the SfM DEMs were co-registered using a Fourier correlation approach in MATLAB.

From the co-registered DEMs, geometrical quantities were extracted from both SfM and microscopy reference. The chosen quantities are the depth and height of topographic features.

200 2.6.1. SfM reconstruction quality

To evaluate the quality of the SfM reconstruction, two parameters were chosen; The instrument transfer function at 50% value (ITF50) and the maximum value of the cross-correlation function (CCF<sub>max</sub>).

The ITF50 value is a measure of the spatial sharpness, which is analogous to the MTF50 value of the modulation transfer function. ITF50 is found as the spatial wavelength at which the instrument response is half the value of the reference. The definition of ITF is shown in (2) [65]. For the calculation, a region on the surface containing a height is selected. For each line across the height step, the ratio of the 1D Fourier transforms of the instrument function and reference is calculated. The ITF is found as the mean of all lines in the region.

$$ITF(f) = \left\langle \frac{\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z(x, y) e^{-i2\pi f x} dx \right|}{\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z_{ref}(x, y) e^{-i2\pi f x} dx \right|} \right\rangle_{y}$$
(2)

 $CCF_{max}$  has a value between 0 and 1 and describes the spatial similarity of a set of co-registered measurement and reference topographies. If the measurement is very close to the reference, the value of  $CCF_{max}$  will be close to 1.  $CCF_{max}$  is found as the maximum of the normalized 2D cross-correlation function [66].

$$f_{CCF}\left(t_x, t_y\right) = \frac{\iint_A z(x, y) z_{ref}(x - t_x, y - t_y) dx dy}{\sqrt{\iint_A z^2(x, y) dx dy \iint_A z_{ref}^2(x, y) dx dy}}$$
(3)

210 2.6.2. Surface Roughness

Prior to performing the surface roughness analysis, an S-filter of  $25 \,\mu m$  and an L-filter of  $10 \,mm$ were applied to the DEM in accordance with [67]. A plane was chosen as reference surface using a least-squares linear fit to the height values of the DEM. The following areal surface roughness parameters as described in [68] were calculated:  $S_q$ ,  $S_{dq}$  and  $S_{al}$ . These parameters were chosen as they describe different and complementary features of the surface topography as explained below. Theanalysis was performed using the "Roughness Analysis" tool of the SPIP application software.

•  $S_q$  is the root mean square height of the *z-values* with respect to the reference surface.  $S_q$  describes the overall height variation of the DEM.

- $S_{dq}$  is the root mean square of the surface gradient. As  $S_{dq}$  depends on variations in the local slope, it is sensitive to the short wavelength components of the surface topography.
- $S_{al}$  is the auto-correlation length, and is a measure of the spatial distance a which the surface texture becomes statistically different.  $S_{al}$  is calculated as the minimum distance in frequency space at which the auto-correlation function decays to 0.2 in value. Therefore,  $S_{al}$  contains
- information on the long wavelength components of the surface topography.

# 225 3. Results

0.2

10

10

The performance of the SfM reconstruction is illustrated in Figure 5. In 5a and 5b, the SfM and reference DEM of replication area R1 are shown. Both have been processed as described in section 2.6. The blue box indicates the subregion used for the ITF analysis. As seen in panel 5a, the SfM DEM captures the main topographic features although the resolution is less than for the reference in 5b. While short wavelength topography variations are missing, holes, edges and the larger glass-fiber structures are visible in the SfM DEM.



**Figure 5.** 5a Reference, 5b SfM and 5c model DEM of replication area R1. The blue box indicates the area used for the calculation of ITF50. The scalebar is 5 mm. 5d ITF for SfM and two model curves based on filtering the reference DEM with and without noise added. 5e-5f Residual of SfM and model DEM with respect to reference DEM.

The ITF function was calculated from the SfM and Reference DEM of area R1 as described in section 2.6.1. The ITF was not calculated for R2 and R3 as no height step was present in these areas. In 5d, the ITF function for SfM is shown (blue solid line) with the 50% value indicated in dashed black lines. As stated in Table 2, the ITF50 spatial wavelength was 1.3 mm.

In order to validate the shape of the SfM ITF, a model was developed based on the reference DEM.
 First, the reduced resolution of the SfM reconstruction was approximated by applying a Gaussian

-0.2

-0.3

-0.4

low-pass filter to the reference DEM. A filter with a FWHM of 0.65 mm was used to give the model 238 DEM the same ITF50 value as the SfM. As seen in Figure 5d, the ITF of the filtered reference (dashed 239 red line) matches the long wavelength values of the SfM ITF. However, at higher frequencies the filtered reference has lower values than the SfM. In a second step, structured noise centered at 0.5 241 mm and 0.1 mm wavelengths was added (dash-dotted yellow line). The two noise components was 242 constructed through Gaussian low-pass filtering of Gaussian noise with an amplitude selected to 243 match the SfM ITF. In 5c, the model DEM using Gaussian filter and noise added is shown for area R1. 244 Similarly, a model DEM was created for both area R2 and R3 using the same Gaussian FWHM and noise settings. 246

In Table 2, CCF<sub>max</sub> and RMSD values for both SfM and model DEM are shown for all replication 247 areas. The  $CCF_{max}$  values for the model were close to 1 for all areas. For SfM, the values were >0.9 248 for both R2 and R3 indicating a very close horizontal spatial resemblance. A slightly smaller value 249 was found for R1. Overall, the CCF<sub>max</sub> values indicate that an accurate scaling of SfM was obtained. 250 As seen in the table, the RMSD values for SfM are between 0.1-0.2 mm, which is several times larger 251 than the model values between 0.03-0.04 mm. This discrepancy is illustrated in the residuals shown in 252 Figure 5e and 5f. While large differences are observed near sharp edges for both model and SfM DEM, 253 the SfM residuals also contain a waviness that accounts for the larger RMSD value. The waviness has a 254 wavelength in the order of 10 mm which shows up as large variations in the long wavelength part of 255 the ITF in Figure 5d. 256

**Table 2.** Quantitative values for evaluating the SfM reconstruction.  $CCF_{max}$  and RMSD are included for all three areas R1 to R3 while ITF50 was only calculated for R1.

Replication area	R1	R2	R3
ITF50 [mm]	1.3		
CCF <sub>max</sub> , SfM	0.86	0.95	0.93
CCF <sub>max</sub> , Model	0.98	0.99	0.995
RMSD, SfM [mm]	0.099	0.12	0.21
RMSD, Model [mm]	0.038	0.036	0.031

To evaluate the surface roughness of the SfM reconstruction, two regions within each of the R1, R2 and R3 areas were selected as shown with blue solid lines in Figure 6a to 6c. Of the six regions named S1 to S6, an extensive erosion of S1 and S3 resulted in a topography dominated by glass-fiber structures while S2, S4, S5 and S6 still had an intact surface coating.

The roughness parameters  $S_q$ ,  $S_{dq}$  and  $S_{al}$  were calculated for each of the S1 to S6 regions as 26: described in section 2.6.2. The roughness values for both SfM and reference are shown in Table 3 and 262 illustrated in scatter plots in Figure 6g to 6i. Overall, both SfM and reference values show a larger 263 roughness for the eroded S1 and S3 regions than the regions with intact coating. However, when 264 comparing the three roughness parameters on the scatter plots, some differences are clear. While the 265 SfM values for  $S_a$  and  $S_{al}$  vary within around 10%-20% of the reference values. the SfM values for  $S_{da}$ 266 are systematically lower than the reference by around 50%. The absolute RMSD deviations for S1 to S6 267 were 9  $\mu$ *m* for Sq, 0.5 for  $S_{dq}$  and 0.2 mm for  $S_{al}$ . 268

Three distinctive topographic features were selected in the R1 and R2 areas; Two depressions D1 and D2 (red dashed lines) and a height step H1 (yellow dotted lines) as shown in Figure 6a and 6b. For all features, the depth and height measurements for the SfM DEM are close to the reference as shown in Table 3. The relative deviations between SfM and reference are less than 16%, and the absolute deviations were less than 0.2 mm with an RMSD of 0.1 mm.

#### 274 4. Discussion

The reconstructed SfM displayed a high sharpness and resolution. From the ITF50 value, we have that features down to 1.3 mm appear sharp. Conversely, the Gaussian FWHM of 0.65 mm from the model DEM gives a measure of the spatial resolution, i.e. the smallest distinguishable features. The



**Figure 6.** 6a-6c Reference and 6d-6f SfM DEMs of area R1, R2 and R3, respectively, with prior image processing as described in section 2.6. Surface roughness regions S1 to S6 are indicated with solid blue lines. Depressions D1, D2 and ridge H1 are indicated with dashed red and dotted yellow lines, respectively. The scalebar is 5 mm. 6g-6i Scatter plots of SfM and reference values for  $S_q$ ,  $S_{dq}$  and  $S_{al}$ , respectively. The dotted line indicates where SfM values are equal to reference values.

resolution of around 1 mm is one to two orders of magnitude lower than the GSD of 27  $\mu$ *m*, which is in line with previous high-resolution SfM studies [48,49].

The high value of  $CCF_{max}$  for the R2 and R3 areas shows a good spatial resemblance between 280 SfM and reference measurements. The slightly lower value for R1 could either indicate an insufficient 281 resolution or an imperfect co-registration. Since the CCF<sub>max</sub> values for the model DEM were close to 1, 282 the resolution seems sufficient to preserve the topographic features. The accuracy in co-registrating 283 the DEMs could be limited by the replication moulding. While the replica ensures a high replication 284 accuracy of the surface roughness, the overall shape is not preserved when demoulding the replica. 285 286 Although a levelling was applied, a waviness was still observed in the residual of the SfM DEM with respect to the reference as seen in Figure 5e. Nonetheless, as the waviness had a wavelength of 10 mm 287 it did not impact the ITF50 value of 1.3 mm. 288

Furthermore, as indicated by the model DEM, a rather high noise level was present in the SfM DEM. Some of this may originate from the point cloud densification or the interpolation when creating the DEM. Varying light intensity may also affect the reconstruction as reported by [11]. Further studies are needed to determine the potential for reducing the noise level.

Replication area	R1		R2		R3	
	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6
$S_q$ , Ref $[\mu m]$	78	31	96	35	37	51
$S_q$ , SfM [ $\mu m$ ]	61	28	106	39	36	44
$S_{dq}$ , Ref	1.03	0.78	1.02	1.22	0.69	0.90
$S_{dq}$ , SfM	0.43	0.45	0.45	0.50	0.55	0.35
<i>S<sub>al</sub></i> , Ref [ <i>mm</i> ]	1.04	1.12	1.41	0.66	0.73	0.64
$S_{al}$ , SfM [mm]	1.25	0.84	1.66	0.85	0.66	0.88
	D1	H1	D2			
$\Delta z$ , Ref [ <i>mm</i> ]	0.57	0.34	1.51			
$\Delta z$ , SfM [mm]	0.66	0.30	1.68			

**Table 3.** Quantitative values for surface roughness and topography features. The roughness parameters  $S_{q}$ ,  $S_{dq}$  and  $S_{al}$  for regions S1 to S6 were calculated using an S-filter of 25  $\mu m$  and an L-filter of 10 mm. The depth for depression areas D1 and D2 and height for ridge area H1.

The surface roughness analysis show relatively good results for SfM measurement of both  $S_q$  and  $S_{al}$  parameters. The resolution of the SfM reconstruction was sufficient as both  $S_q$  and  $S_{al}$  are most sensitive to the low spatial frequencies, i.e. structures larger than 1 mm. Similarly, the topographic features D1, H1 and D2 had large spatial widths which ensured good results for the measured depths and heights. In contrast, a poor result was seen for the  $S_{dq}$  parameter which is sensitive to high spatial frequencies, i.e. structures and heights. In contrast, a poor result was seen for the  $S_{dq}$  parameter which is sensitive to high spatial frequencies, i.e. structures smaller than 1 mm.

The potential for using the  $S_q$  parameter in quantitative characterization of LER is illustrated in Figure 7. First, the SfM reconstruction was unfolded to a flat shape and extracted as a DEM using CloudCompare. A region centered on the LE was selected as indicated with a box in Figure 7b. For each 10 mm x 10 mm square in the region, the  $S_q$  parameter was calculated and visualized in red in Figure 7c. The strength of the red color indicates the  $S_q$  value in each square with a lower bound of 20  $\mu m$  (no color) and upper bound of 100  $\mu m$  (color saturated). As seen, the  $S_q$  values are low for areas with the coating still intact, and high along the eroded leading edge. This indicates the potential for

<sup>306</sup> high resolution SfM for quantitative inspection of LER.



**Figure 7.** Visualization of LER. The curved blade geometry seen in 7a was unfolded to a flat shape shown in 7b from which a region centered on the LE was selected as indicated by the box. For each 10 mm x 10 mm square in the region, the  $S_q$  parameter was calculated. In 7c, the strength of the red color indicates the  $S_q$  value in each square. The scalebar is 10 mm.

For quantitative inspection of the blade erosion, the resolution of the SfM reconstruction needs to match the size of erosion structures, i.e. pits, gauges and delamination. From inspection reports of LE erosion structures, Sareen *et al.* [4] considered pits and gouges with widths down to 0.5 mm and depths from 0.5 mm to 3.8 mm. In the study by Gaudern [9], widths down to 2 mm and depths from 0.1 mm to 1 mm were investigated. In both studies, the delamination covered tens of millimeters in width and 1-3 mm in depth. The lower end of these feature sizes correspond very closely to the obtained resolution of 1 mm. Conversely, as the results of the SfM measurements of topographic features D1, H1
and D2 showed, depths from 0.3 mm - 1.5 mm could be successfully measured using high-resolution
SfM.



**Figure 8.** Model DEM of a SfM acquisition of area R1 with 8a 100 mm and 8b 35 mm focal length. The model DEMs were created by Gaussian low-pass filtering of the R1 reference DEM of Figure 5b with FWHM of 1.9 mm and 5.5 mm, respectively. The scalebar is 5 mm.

Had the SfM resolution been lower by e.g. using a shorter focal length, the surface roughness 316 and smaller topographic features would not have been visible. This is illustrated in Figure 8 by a 317 model DEM of a SfM acquisition of area R1 with a 2 m capturing distance using a 100 mm (8a) or 35 318 mm (8b) focal length. These settings correspond to a GSD of 0.08 mm and 0.24 mm, respectively. The 319 model DEMs were created from the R1 reference DEM of Figure 5b by applying a Gaussian low-pass 320 filter with a FWHM of 1.9 mm and 5.5 mm, respectively. As seen, already for the 100 mm focal length, 321 the glass fiber structures are becoming blurred. For the model of a 35 mm focal length, even the 322 topographic features appear blurred. 323 In previous studies which applied SfM to reconstruct blade surface, the low resolution would 324 have made a quantification of LER infeasible. In comparison, the settings used by Wang and Zhang 325 [11], Zhang et al. [16] resulted in a GSD of around 0.3 mm which corresponds to the model in Figure 326 8b. Rather than quantifying the surface topography, they relied on the texture of the reconstruction 327 to locate damages on the blade surface. An advantage of using a lower resolution is that a larger 328 surface area of the turbine blade can be covered in a single reconstruction. Applying high-resolution 329 SfM to reconstruct the full length of a blade would require a very long inspection time and result in a 330 challenging amount of data. 331 For full blade inspection, 2D images with even lower resolution can be applied which require fewer 332 image acquisitions and a lower acquisition time. However, in this approach the absolute geometry 333 is not obtained, and the LER is not quantified. Instead other methods would be needed to indicate 334 the presence and location of LER such as the deep learning approach used by Shihavuddin et al. [13]. 335 In many ways, the proposed high-resolution SfM is complementary to this deep learning approach. 336 By combining both, an initial inspection using low-resolution 2D images would indicate the location 337 of LER on the blades. Afterwards, high-resolution SfM could be applied to quantify the severity of 338 the located erosion, which could be used to estimate the aerodynamic impact. Furthermore, if these 330 inspections were combined with a probabilistic model such as a dynamic Bayesian network model 340 [69], the development of the erosion in time could be estimated. This would provide an input for when 341 to conduct repairs on the blade. 342

# 343 5. Conclusion

This proof-of-principle study demonstrated the successful application of high-resolution SfM to quantify the surface roughness of a decommissioned turbine blade. To better resemble the LE erosion observed from inspections, a severe level of erosion with a large area of delamination was applied to the blade. To mimic realistic inspection conditions, the blade was hanged vertically in an outdoor setting, and the SfM image acquisition was conducted hand-held to ensure a level of vibrations. Using a 300
mm focal length and 2 m distance from the blade, a 1 mm spatial resolution of the SfM reconstruction
was obtained.

To validate the SfM scan, smaller regions of interest were transferred to the lab using replication 351 moulding and measured with confocal microscopy. From the co-registered SfM and reference regions, a 352 number of surface roughness parameters and topographic feature size were extracted. The quantitative 353 results of surface roughness and topographic feature sizes displayed sub-mm accuracies. Compared to 354 the reference, the RMSD value was 9  $\mu m$  for the  $S_q$  roughness using an S-filter of 0.025 mm and L-filter 355 of 10 mm, while the RMSD value was 0.1 mm for the depths and heights of topographic features. The 356 results demonstrate the potential for using high-resolution SfM for quantitative measurement of LER 357 on wind turbine blades. Quantitative measurements of LER from blades in operation could aid in 358 creating more realistic CFD models and improve blade inspections. 359

In future work, a high-resolution SfM inspection using a UAV should be carried out on the blade of a wind turbine in operation. The camera would be mounted in a gantry on the UAV platform to

allow for the same poses relative to the blade as in the current study. These settings would allow a

<sup>363</sup> more thorough investigation of the effects of vibrations from UAV platform and turbine on the image

acquisition and the quality of the 3D reconstructions. In addition, the sensitivity of high-resolution SfM

<sup>365</sup> towards surface roughness should be investigated further through measurements on blade surfaces

<sup>366</sup> of varying erosion severity. Further studies are also needed on the influence of the texture and color

<sup>367</sup> contrast of the blade surface on the quality of the reconstructed surface details.

Author Contributions: The research was conceptualized by CBM and JG. MSN, EKK and IN developed the
 methodology and performed the data collection. Visualization was performed by MSN and IN. MSN performed
 data analysis and validation and wrote the original draft with input from IN and EKK. CBM and JG supervised
 the research activity and made suggestions for revision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version
 of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work has received funding by the Energy Technology Development and Demonstration Program (project number 64015-0046) under the Danish Energy Agency. MSN and JG were also supported by funds from

the Danish Agency for Institutions and Educational Grants.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

#### 379 Abbreviations

<sup>380</sup> The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

LE Leading Edge LER Leading Edge Roughness SfM Structure-from-Motion UAV Unmanned Aerial Vehicle СМ Confocal Microscopy DEM Digital Elevation Model GSD Ground Sampling Distance ITF Instrument Transfer Function CCF Cross-Correlation Function RMSD Root Mean Square Deviation

383

381

382

 Zidane, I.F.; Saqr, K.M.; Swadener, G.; Ma, X.; Shehadeh, M.F. On the role of surface roughness in the aerodynamic performance and energy conversion of horizontal wind turbine blades: a review. *International Journal of Energy Research* 2016, 40, 2054–2077. doi:10.1002/er.3580.

- White, E.; Kutz, D.; Freels, J.; Monschke, J.; Grife, R.; Sun, Y.; Chao, D. Leading-Edge Roughness Effects
   on 63(3)-418 Airfoil Performance. 49th AIAA Aerospace Sciences. American Institute of Aeronautics and
   Astronautics (AIAA), 2011. doi:10.2514/6.2011-352.
- Yang, K. Geometry Design Optimization of a Wind Turbine Blade Considering Effects on Aerodynamic
   Performance by Linearization. *Energies* 2020, *13*, 2320.
- Sareen, A.; Sapre, C.A.; Selig, M.S. Effects of leading edge erosion on wind turbine blade performance.
   *Wind Energy* 2014, 17, 1531–1542. doi:10.1002/we.1649.
- Langel, C.M.; Chow, R.; Hurley, O.F.; Van Dam, C.C.P.; Maniaci, D.C.; Ehrmann, R.S.; White, E.B.
  Analysis of the Impact of Leading Edge Surface Degradation on Wind Turbine Performance. 33rd
  Wind Energy Symposium; American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics: Reston, Virginia, 2015.
  doi:10.2514/6.2015-0489.
- Han, W.; Kim, J.; Kim, B. Effects of contamination and erosion at the leading edge of blade tip
   airfoils on the annual energy production of wind turbines. *Renewable Energy* 2018, 115, 817–823.
   doi:10.1016/j.renene.2017.09.002.
- Darbandi, M.; Mohajer, A.; Behrouzifar, A.; Jalali, R.; Schneider, G.E. Evaluating the effect of blade surface
   roughness in megawatt wind turbine performance using analytical and numerical approaches. 10th
   International Conference on Heat Transfer, Fluid Mechanics and Thermodynamics, 2014, number July, pp.
   800–805.
- 8. Schramm, M.; Rahimi, H.; Stoevesandt, B.; Tangager, K. The Influence of Eroded Blades on Wind Turbine
   Performance Using Numerical Simulations. *Energies* 2017, *10*, 1420. doi:10.3390/en10091420.
- Gaudern, N. A practical study of the aerodynamic impact of wind turbine blade leading edge erosion.
   *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 2014, 524, 012031. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/524/1/012031.
- Tchakoua, P.; Wamkeue, R.; Ouhrouche, M.; Slaoui-Hasnaoui, F.; Tameghe, T.; Ekemb, G. Wind Turbine
   Condition Monitoring: State-of-the-Art Review, New Trends, and Future Challenges. *Energies* 2014, 7, 2595–2630. doi:10.3390/en7042595.
- Wang, L.; Zhang, Z. Automatic Detection of Wind Turbine Blade Surface Cracks Based on UAV-Taken
   Images. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics* 2017, 64, 7293–7303. doi:10.1109/TIE.2017.2682037.

Peng, L.; Liu, J. Detection and analysis of large-scale WT blade surface cracks based on UAV-taken images.
 *IET Image Processing* 2018, 12, 2059–2064. doi:10.1049/iet-ipr.2018.5542.

- Shihavuddin, A.; Chen, X.; Fedorov, V.; Nymark Christensen, A.; Andre Brogaard Riis, N.; Branner, K.;
  Bjorholm Dahl, A.; Reinhold Paulsen, R. Wind Turbine Surface Damage Detection by Deep Learning Aided
  Drone Inspection Analysis. *Energies* 2019, 12, 676. doi:10.3390/en12040676.
- <sup>419</sup> 14. Durdevic, P.; Ortiz-Arroyo, D.; Yang, Z. LiDAR Assisted Camera Inspection of Wind Turbines:
   <sup>420</sup> Experimental Study. 2019 1st International Conference on Electrical, Control and Instrumentation
   <sup>421</sup> Engineering (ICECIE). IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–7.
- Wang, Y.; Yoshihashi, R.; Kawakami, R.; You, S.; Harano, T.; Ito, M.; Komagome, K.; Iida, M.; Naemura, T.
  Unsupervised anomaly detection with compact deep features for wind turbine blade images taken by a
  drone. *IPSJ Transactions on Computer Vision and Applications* 2019, *11*, 1–7.
- <sup>425</sup> 16. Zhang, D.; Watson, R.; Dobie, G.; MacLeod, C.; Khan, A.; Pierce, G. Quantifying impacts on remote
   <sup>426</sup> photogrammetric inspection using unmanned aerial vehicles. *Engineering Structures* 2020, p. 109940.
- 427 17. Sturzenegger, M.; Stead, D. Close-range terrestrial digital photogrammetry and terrestrial laser scanning
  428 for discontinuity characterization on rock cuts. *Engineering Geology* 2009, 106, 163–182.
- 18. Westoby, M.; Brasington, J.; Glasser. N.; Hambrey, M.: Revnolds. J. 429 'Structure-from-Motion'photogrammetry: A low-cost, effective tool for geoscience applications. 430 Geomorphology 2012, 179, 300-314. 431
- Favalli, M.; Fornaciai, A.; Isola, I.; Tarquini, S.; Nannipieri, L. Multiview 3D reconstruction in geosciences.
   *Computers & Geosciences* 2012, 44, 168–176. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2011.09.012.
- 434 20. VisualSFM, C.W. A visual structure from motion system, 2011.
- Sweeney, C.; Hollerer, T.; Turk, M. Theia: A fast and scalable structure-from-motion library. Proceedings of
   the 23rd ACM international conference on Multimedia. ACM, 2015, pp. 693–696.
- 437 22. Agisoft. Metashape. http://www.agisoft.com/, 2010. Accessed: 2019-09-20.
- 438 23. Bentley: ContextCapture. https://www.bentley.com/en/products/brands/contextcapture. Accessed:
  439 2019-03-06.

- Siebert, S.; Teizer, J. Mobile 3D mapping for surveying earthwork projects using an Unmanned Aerial
  Vehicle (UAV) system. *Automation in Construction* 2014, *41*, 1–14.
- Han, K.K.; Golparvar-Fard, M. Appearance-based material classification for monitoring of operation-level
   construction progress using 4D BIM and site photologs. *Automation in Construction* 2015, 53, 44–57.
   doi:10.1016/J.AUTCON.2015.02.007.
- Tuttas, S.; Braun, A.; Borrmann, A.; Stilla, U. Acquisition and Consecutive Registration of Photogrammetric
   Point Clouds for Construction Progress Monitoring Using a 4D BIM. *PFG–Journal of Photogrammetry, Remote* Sensing and Geoinformation Science 2017, 85, 3–15.
- Jahanshahi, M.R.; Masri, S.F.; Padgett, C.W.; Sukhatme, G.S. An innovative methodology for detection and quantification of cracks through incorporation of depth perception. *Machine vision and applications* 2013, pp. 1–15.
- Chaiyasarn, K.; Kim, T.K.; Viola, F.; Cipolla, R.; Soga, K. Distortion-Free Image Mosaicing for Tunnel
   Inspection Based on Robust Cylindrical Surface Estimation through Structure from Motion. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering* 2016, *30*, 4015045. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000516.
- 30. Stent, S.; Gherardi, R.; Stenger, B.; Soga, K.; Cipolla, R. Visual change detection on tunnel linings. *Machine Vision and Applications* 2016, 27, 319–330.
- Masson, J.E.N.; Petry, M.R. Comparison of mesh generation algorithms for railroad reconstruction.
   Autonomous Robot Systems and Competitions (ICARSC), 2017 IEEE International Conference on. IEEE, 2017, pp. 266–271.
- Khaloo, A.; Lattanzi, D. Hierarchical Dense Structure-from-Motion Reconstructions for Infrastructure
   Condition Assessment. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering* 2017, 31, 04016047.
   doi:10.1061/(ASCE)CP.1943-5487.0000616.
- 33. Schöning, J.; Heidemann, G. Evaluation of multi-view 3D reconstruction software. International Conference
   on Computer Analysis of Images and Patterns. Springer, 2015, pp. 450–461.
- <sup>466</sup> 34. Nikolov, I.; Madsen, C. Benchmarking Close-range Structure from Motion 3D Reconstruction Software
   <sup>467</sup> Under Varying Capturing Conditions. Euro-Mediterranean Conference. Springer, 2016, pp. 15–26.
- 468 35. Lowe, D.G. Distinctive image features from scale-invariant keypoints. *International journal of computer* 469 vision 2004, 60, 91–110.
- <sup>470</sup> 36. Rublee, E.; Rabaud, V.; Konolige, K.; Bradski, G. ORB: An efficient alternative to SIFT or SURF. 2011
  <sup>471</sup> International conference on computer vision. Ieee, 2011, pp. 2564–2571.
- Wu, C. Towards linear-time incremental structure from motion. 3DTV-Conference, 2013 International
  Conference on. IEEE, 2013, pp. 127–134.
- Smith, M.W.; Carrivick, J.L.; Quincey, D.J. Structure from motion photogrammetry in physical geography.
   *Progress in Physical Geography: Earth and Environment* 2016, 40, 247–275. doi:10.1177/0309133315615805.
- <sup>476</sup> 39. D'Amico, N.; Yu, T. Accuracy analysis of point cloud modeling for evaluating concrete specimens. SPIE
   <sup>477</sup> Smart Structures and Materials+ Nondestructive Evaluation and Health Monitoring. International Society
- for Optics and Photonics, 2017, pp. 101691D–101691D.
  479 40. Micheletti, N.; Chandler, J.H.; Lane, S.N. Investigating the geomorphological potential of freely available
  and accessible structure-from-motion photogrammetry using a smartphone. *Earth Surface Processes and*Landforms 2015, 40, 473–486. doi:10.1002/esp.3648.
- 482 41. Smith, M.W.; Vericat, D. From experimental plots to experimental landscapes: topography, erosion and
   483 deposition in sub-humid badlands from Structure-from-Motion photogrammetry. *Earth Surface Processes* 484 and Landforms 2015, 40, 1656–1671. doi:10.1002/esp.3747.
- 485 42. Micheletti, N.; Chandler, J.H.; Lane, S.N. Structure from Motion (SfM) Photogrammetry. In *Geomorphological Techniques*, Online Ed. ed.; British Society for Geomorphology Geomorphological Techniques, 2015; chapter
   487 2.2, pp. 1–12.
- 43. Harwin, S.; Lucieer, A. Assessing the Accuracy of Georeferenced Point Clouds Produced via Multi-View
  489 Stereopsis from Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Imagery. *Remote Sensing* 2012, *4*, 1573–1599.
  490 doi:10.3390/rs4061573.
- 491 44. Kersten, T.P.; Lindstaedt, M. Image-based low-cost systems for automatic 3D recording and modelling of
   492 archaeological finds and objects. Euro-Mediterranean Conference. Springer, 2012, pp. 1–10.

- 493 45. Thoeni, K.; Giacomini, A.; Murtagh, R.; Kniest, E. A comparison of multi-view 3D reconstruction of a rock
   494 wall using several cameras and a Laser scanner. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing* 495 and Spatial Information Sciences ISPRS Archives 2014, 40, 573–580. doi:10.5194/isprsarchives-XL-5-573-2014.
- 496 46. James, M.R.; Robson, S. Straightforward reconstruction of 3D surfaces and topography with a
   497 camera: Accuracy and geoscience application. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* 2012, 117.
   498 doi:10.1029/2011JF002289.
- 499 47. Goetz, J.; Brenning, A.; Marcer, M.; Bodin, X. Modeling the precision of structure-from-motion multi-view
   stereo digital elevation models from repeated close-range aerial surveys. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2018, 210, 208–216. doi:10.1016/J.RSE.2018.03.013.
- Tavani, S.; Corradetti, A.; Billi, A. High precision analysis of an embryonic extensional fault-related fold
   using 3D orthorectified virtual outcrops: The viewpoint importance in structural geology. *Journal of Structural Geology* 2016, *86*, 200–210. doi:10.1016/J.JSG.2016.03.009.
- 49. Corradetti, A.; McCaffrey, K.; De Paola, N.; Tavani, S. Evaluating roughness scaling properties of
   natural active fault surfaces by means of multi-view photogrammetry. *Tectonophysics* 2017, 717, 599–606.
   doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2017.08.023.
- 508 50. Hansen, H.; Hocken, R.; Tosello, G. Replication of micro and nano surface geometries. *CIRP Annals Manufacturing Technology* 2011, 60, 695–714. doi:10.1016/j.cirp.2011.05.008.
- 51. Nilsson, L.; Ohlsson, R. Accuracy of replica materials when measuring engineering surfaces. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture* 2001, *41*, 2139–2145. doi:10.1016/S0890-6955(01)00080-3.
- 512 52. Gasparin, S.; Hansen, H.N.; Tosello, G. Traceable surface characterization using replica moulding 513 technology, 2011.
- Liu, Y.C.; Ling, C.Y.; Malcolm, a.a.; Dong, Z.G. Accuracy of replication for non-destructive surface finish
   measurement. Singapore International NDT Conference & Exhibition, 2011, pp. 3–4.
- 54. Walton, K.; Fleming, L.; Goodhand, M.; Racasan, R.; Zeng, W. High fidelity replication of surface texture and geometric form of a high aspect ratio aerodynamic test component. *Surface Topography: Metrology and Properties* 2016, *4*, 025003. doi:10.1088/2051-672X/4/2/025003.
- 55. Baruffi, F.; Parenti, P.; Cacciatore, F.; Annoni, M.; Tosello, G. On the Application of Replica Molding
   Technology for the Indirect Measurement of Surface and Geometry of Micromilled Components.
   *Micromachines* 2017, *8*, 195. doi:10.3390/mi8060195.
- 56. Kumar, C.; Palacios, A.; Surapaneni, V.A.; Bold, G.; Thielen, M.; Licht, E.; Higham, T.E.; Speck, T.; Le
  Houérou, V. Replicating the complexity of natural surfaces: Technique validation and applications for
  biomimetics, ecology and evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical*and Engineering Sciences 2019, 377. doi:10.1098/rsta.2018.0265.
- <sup>526</sup> 57. Bak, C.; Gaunaa, M.; Olsen, A.S.; Kruse, E.K. What is the critical height of leading edge roughness for <sup>527</sup> aerodynamics. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2016, Vol. 753, p. 022023.
- 528 58. Schöning, J.; Heidemann, G. Taxonomy of 3D Sensors-A Survey of State-of-the-Art Consumer
   3D-Reconstruction Sensors and their Field of Applications. VISIGRAPP (3: VISAPP), 2016, pp. 194–199.
- 59. Nikolov, I.; Madsen, C. Performance Characterization of Absolute Scale Computation for 3D Structure from
  Motion Reconstruction. 14th International Joint Conference on Computer Vision, Imaging and Computer
  Graphics Theory and Applications (Visigrapp 2019) International Conference on Computer Vision Theory
  and Applications. SCITEPRESS Digital Library, 2019, pp. 884–891.
- 60. Girardeau-Montaut, D. CloudCompare. http://www.cloudcompare.org/. Accessed: 2019-03-06.
- 61. Struers 2010 RepliSet Technical Data Sheet. https://www.struers.com/en/Products/Materialographicanalysis/Materialographic-analysis-equipment/Replication-system. Accessed: 2020-03-06.
- Jolivet, S.; Mezghani, S.; El Mansori, M. Multiscale analysis of replication technique efficiency for 3D
  roughness characterization of manufactured surfaces. *Surface Topography: Metrology and Properties* 2016,
  4, 035002. doi:10.1088/2051-672X/4/3/035002.
- 63. PLU NEOX confocal microscope. https://www.sensofar.com/sensofar-introduces-their-most-advanced optical-3d-profiler-plu-neox/#. Accessed: 2020-03-06.
- 542 64. Scanning Probe Image Processor (SPIP). https://www.imagemet.com/products/spip/. Accessed:
  543 2020-03-06.
- 65. de Groot, P.; de Lega, X.C. Interpreting interferometric height measurements using the instrument transfer
- function. In *Fringe* 2005; Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006; pp. 30–37. doi:10.1007/3-540-29303-5\_3.

- 66. Song, J.; Vorburger, T.; Ma, L.; Libert, J.; Ballou, S. A metric for the comparison of surface topographies of
  standard reference material (SRM) bullets and casings. Proceedings of the 20th Annual ASPE Meeting,
  ASPE 2005, 2005.
- <sup>549</sup> 67. ISO 25178-3(2012) Geometrical product specifications (GPS) Surface texture: Areal Part 3: Specification
   <sup>550</sup> operators. https://www.iso.org/standard/42895.html. Accessed: 2020-03-05.
- ISO 25178 Geometrical product specifications (GPS) Surface texture: Areal Part 2: Terms, definitions
   and surface texture parameters. https://www.iso.org/standard/42785.html. Accessed: 2020-03-05.
- <sup>553</sup> 69. Nielsen, J.S.; Sørensen, J.D. Bayesian estimation of remaining useful life for wind turbine blades. *Energies* <sup>554</sup> 2017, 10, 664.
- © 2020 by the authors. Submitted to *Energies* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions
   of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).