Does Retrograde Femoral Nailing through a Normal Physis Impair Growth? An Experimental Porcine Model

Ahmed A Abood1, Ole Rahbek2, Morten L Olesen3, Bjørn B Christensen4, Bjarne Møller-Madsen5, Søren Kold6

Abstract

Aim and objective: The insertion of an intramedullary nail may be beneficial in certain cases of leg length discrepancy (LLD) in children. However, it is unknown if the physeal injury due to the surgery may cause bone bridge formation and thereby growth arrest after removal. This study aimed to assess longitudinal interphyseal growth 16 weeks after insertion and later removal of a retrograde femoral nail passing through the physis. Moreover, to analyse the tissue forming in the empty physeal canal after removal of the nail.

Materials and methods: The study was carried out using an experimental porcine model. Eleven juvenile female porcines were randomized for insertion of a retrograde femoral nail in one limb. The other limb acted as a control. The animals were housed for 8 weeks before the nail was removed and housed for 8 additional weeks, that is, 16 weeks in total. Growth was assessed by interphyseal distance on 3D magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) after 16 weeks and the operated limb was compared to the non-operated limb. Histomorphometric analysis of the physeal canal was performed.

Results: No difference in longitudinal growth was observed when comparing the operated femur to the non-operated femur using MRI after 16 weeks. No osseous tissue crossing the physis was observed on MRI or histology. The empty canal in the physis after nail removal was filled with fibrous tissue 16 weeks after primary surgery.

Conclusion: Growth was not impaired and no bone bridges were seen on MRI or histology 16 weeks after insertion and later removal of the retrograde femoral nail.

Clinical significance: The insertion of a retrograde intramedullary femoral nail centrally through the physis and later removal might be safe, however, long-term follow-up is needed.
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Introduction

Limb deformities, such as leg length discrepancy (LLD) is a common cause of referral in paediatric orthopedics.1 In the growing child, bone lengthening might be indicated if the LLD is too large to be treated with epiphysiodesis of the longest leg or when both LLD and an angular bone deformity are corrected conjunctly.2-4

The gold standard for limb lengthening in the growing child is widely believed to be the well-established external fixation.5-7 There are, however, complications associated with the use of the external frame. These include pin infections, soft tissue tethering, joint contracture, deep infections, and difficulties in applying the circular frame in anatomical regions as the thigh in addition to the anti-social effect associated with the frame treatment. Furthermore, after frame removal, there is a risk of developing a deformity in an immature regenerate in addition to fracture through the regenerate or a pin site.8-11 Internal bone lengthening by intramedullary lengthening nails has been introduced to avoid the external frame and the associated complications.12,13 However, in the growing child, the physis needs to be injured for the intramedullary nail to be inserted. The iatrogenic physeal injury may result in growth arrest due to bone bridge formation.14-16 Hammouda et al. have investigated the insertion of an antegrade femoral nail through the greater trochanter in children and did not detect complications in this approach.17 The antegrade femoral nail can mainly achieve lengthening over the anatomical axis without mechanical axis correction. On contrary, it is possible to correct significant mechanical axis deformities of the femur by a distal femoral osteotomy and retrograde insertion of a lengthening nail.18,19 In a recent experimental ovine study, it has been shown that overall growth does not seem to get affected when implanting a retrograde nail that injures up to 7% of the cross-sectional physeal area.20 In the clinical setting the nail is subsequently removed after achieving desired bone length, leaving an empty canal through the physis. Knapiak et al.20 did not examine the physeal healing in the empty canal and possible growth disturbance after nail removal. Therefore, further experimental studies regarding physeal injury and healing are needed before the potential transfer of this technique into clinical use. This study aimed to assess physeal growth and healing
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including potential growth deformities after inserting a retrograde femoral intramedullary nail, centrally in both planes through a normal physis, and later removal in a skeletally immature experimental porcine model.

The primary outcome was the difference in physeal growth between operated and non-operated limbs after 16 weeks. We hypothesized that insertion and subsequent removal of a retrograde femoral intramedullary nail through the centre of the distal femoral physis would not influence physeal growth compared to the non-operated contralateral femur.

**Materials and Methods**

Eleven 3-month-old skeletally immature female porcines (Yorkshire–Landrace–Duroc) were included. The mean weight was 37 kg (range 34–41). The animals applied in this study are juvenile porcines with physeal closure occurring around the age of 3 years.21 A retrograde intramedullary nail was inserted into one femur and each animal was housed for 8 weeks. The nail was then removed and the animal was housed for 8 additional weeks. The total housing period was 16 weeks. One animal died due to an unknown cause of death after 8 weeks. This animal was only subject to an 8-week analysis. Ten animals (n = 10) completed the study period and were subject to the full analysis.

All animals underwent magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans at baseline, after nail removal, and before euthanasia. The primary outcome was the mean difference in physeal growth, detected on MRI, between the operated and non-operated femur 16 weeks after primary surgery. Secondary outcomes were, the difference in physeal growth after 8 weeks between the operated and non-operated femur, the physeal healing after 16 weeks, uneven physeal growth in the total physis after 16 weeks, physeal water content, and proportion of damaged physeal area evaluated on MRI,22 and tissue fractions evaluated by histomorphometry.23

**Intramedullary Nail**

A custom-made straight intramedullary nail (length: 60 mm, diameter: 10.7 mm) was used. It was made of the same material as the lengthening nail by PRECICE® (medical-grade titanium alloy Ti–6Al–4V). No osteotomies were made. The nail was kept in place and locked with one single locking screw. The locking screw was inserted proximal to the physis to mimic the clinical insertion of a retrograde femoral nail aiming to preserve the growth potential of the physis (Fig. 1).

**Anaesthesia and Surgery**

All operations were performed in theatres with a sterile environment and under general anaesthesia. Intubation was performed after infusion of intravenous (IV) Hypnomidate (0.5 mg/kg). Anaesthesia and analgesia were upheld during surgery by continuous Propofol (10 mg/kg/h) and Fentanyl (60 μg/kg/h) IV infusion. Before surgery, an intraarticular 1:1 injection of Lidocaine and Bupivacaine (25 mg + 25 mg) was administered into the knee joint.

The distal femur was exposed using an infrapatellar approach. A k-wire was inserted through the physis centrally in both planes under fluoroscopic guidance. Access to the medullary canal was obtained using a cannulated drill. The medullary canal was reamed in 0.5 mm successive steps from 9 mm to 12 mm. The nail was inserted into the medullary canal until spanning the physis (Fig. 1).

The nail was removed after 8 weeks using the same surgical approach.

All animals were euthanized at 16 weeks by an IV injection of a lethal dose of Pentobarbital (200 mg/mL). A bone sample (1.5 cm × 1.5 cm × 3 cm) of the distal femur including the physis was kept for further analysis.

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

All MRI scans were performed with the animal sedated with Zoletil.24 MRI (Siemens Skyra, 3.0 Tesla) was performed at baseline prior to nail insertion (n = 11), and after nail removal at 8 weeks (n = 11), and prior to euthanasia at 16 weeks (n = 10). MRI at baseline was obtained using an infrapatellar approach. A k-wire was inserted through the physis centrally in both planes under fluoroscopic guidance. Access to the medullary canal was obtained using a cannulated drill. The medullary canal was reamed in 0.5 mm successive steps from 9 mm to 12 mm. The nail was inserted into the medullary canal until spanning the physis (Fig. 1).

The nail was removed after 8 weeks using the same surgical approach.

All animals were euthanized at 16 weeks by an IV injection of a lethal dose of Pentobarbital (200 mg/mL). A bone sample (1.5 cm × 1.5 cm × 3 cm) of the distal femur including the physis was kept for further analysis.

The calculated growth was defined as the difference in interphyseal
distance from the baseline to 8 weeks and 16 weeks. Mean growth at all sites in the operated limb was compared to the non-operated limb at both 8 weeks and 16 weeks.

Intra-observer reproducibility was calculated from repeated measurements of growth in all sites on MRI from five animals with at least one month between measurements. The repeated measurements were compared to the primary measurements and the mean coefficient of variation was calculated with belonging 95% confidence interval. A value of 10% or less was considered excellent, while less than 20% is considered acceptable. The coefficient of variation showed excellent reproducibility with a mean value of 1.3% (0.9; 1.6).

The presence or absence of bony union at the physis was determined on MRI.

Percentage damaged area of the physis by both the nail and reamer was calculated by dividing the area of the nail crossing the physis (0.899 cm²) and the area of the reamer (1.13 cm²) by the determined physeal cross-sectional area on MRI.

Water content was quantified using computer software (Siswin v.0.9 (Ringgaard S, 2008)). To evaluate the water content of the physeal healing site in comparison to the whole physis, a gap ratio was determined. The gap ratio was calculated using MRI before euthanasia at 16 weeks.

\[
\text{Gap ratio} = \frac{\text{water content of gap}}{\text{water content of physis}}
\]

The MRI scans performed shortly after the removal of the nails were used to assess the penetration point of the nail through the physis. The axes were placed accordingly and the distance was measured from the medial and lateral edge of the empty canal left by the nail to the medial-lateral border of the physis, respectively. This was done in both the coronal and sagittal planes.

Physeal Cross-sectional Area

Three-dimensional MRI was used to assess the physeal cross-sectional area. The axes were aligned accordingly through the physis. Physeal cross-sectional area was estimated in the axial plane. The physis was located in the axial plane and the area was measured using OsiriX® (OsiriX, Pixmeo, Switzerland).

To compare the physeal cross-sectional area with a human reference, MRI scans of the knee for 9 children aged 12–15 years were included in this study. None of the children suffered from any growth disturbances. The cross-sectional area of the physis was defined in the same manner as for the animals.

Histology

The tissue samples harvested upon euthanasia were dehydrated in ethanol (up to 96%) and cleared in xylene. Embedding was carried out in paraffin at 63°C. The samples were subject to histomorphometric quantitative evaluation of the morphologic characteristics in the physeal repair tissue according to Foldager et al. Each sample was cut parallel to the coronal plane at 8 levels with 1200 µm between each level. Sections were collected at each level and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. A region of interest was defined as a rectangular region between the medial and lateral damaged part of the physis using computer software (Visio, Visiopharm®). A 3 by 3-point counting grid was imposed into the region of interest using the software and counting was performed at 20x magnification. All points in the grid and within the region of interest were counted. A total of 33% of the region of interest was counted. Tissue fractions were calculated for the empty canal through the physis. Repeated histomorphometric analysis was performed in 5 animals for 4 weeks after primary analysis. The mean coefficient of variation was acceptable with a mean of 13% (6.1; 19.5).

Results

No postoperative surgical infections or implant failure were observed during the study period. Normal gait with full weight-bearing was observed latest on the second postoperative day. All results are reported as mean values with corresponding 95% confidence intervals. Growth difference is reported as the difference between interphyseal distance of the operated and non-operated femur.

A mean growth difference was measured to 0.61 mm (–3.20; 1.96) with the nail in situ for 8 weeks and 1.73 mm (–0.52; 1.79) 16 weeks after primary surgery.

In this study, no abnormal longitudinal growth was detected between the operated and non-operated femur after nail removal at 8 weeks (Table 1) or after a total of 16 weeks (Fig. 3).

No bony unions were observed on MRI after 16 weeks. Water content (Fig. 4) assessment using MRI showed the mean gap ratio...
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not impair longitudinal growth and did not cause any physeal bone bridge nor growth deformities. Post-traumatic growth abnormalities are usually caused by the growth arrest due to the bony union in the physis. It has been proven that bony union centrally in the physis will cause limb shortening whilst if located peripherally an angular bone deformity can be expected.

Using an intramedullary-lengthening nail clinically, the most severe growth disturbance will be an angular bone deformity. Hence, a retrograde femoral intramedullary nail should aim towards passing the physis centrally in both planes. We found a similar water content gap ratio at the physeal injury site compared with the remaining physis, confirming the absence of a bone bridge.

This is likely the result of the nail crossing the physis in the acute phase after physeal injury leaving no space for bony healing at the physeal injury site. If no nail had been inserted, the bony union might have occurred at the physis. This has been observed in a recent physeal gap porcine model.

However, after nail removal leaving an empty canal through the physis, the bony union was still not observed and growth abnormalities did not occur. This might be a result of the bony healing taking place whilst the nail was still placed in situ at the physis, and thus preventing bony bridging.

The size of traumatic injury to the physis caused by the nail insertion would be expected to cause growth abnormalities if a bony bridge had occurred. In this study, the reamer damaged 7% of the physeal area, and a previous study in rabbits suggested a bone bridge of 7% would result in significant growth disturbance.

Table 1: Growth differences in centimeter between operated and non-operated femurs just after nail removal at 8 weeks (n = 11)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>(-3.23; 3.95)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>(-3.31; 2.77)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>(-3.20; 3.45)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>-1.84</td>
<td>(-5.41; 1.73)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>-3.04</td>
<td>(-7.47; 1.39)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3: Interphyseal growth after 16 weeks. The paired distribution between operated and non-operated femurs for each animal shows no clear pattern.

Table 1: Growth differences in centimeter between operated and non-operated femurs just after nail removal at 8 weeks (n = 11)

of 0.98 (0.90; 1.07). Mean cross-sectional physeal area was 15.8 cm² (15.2; 16.3). The mean percentage of the physis damaged by the nail was 5.7% (5.5; 5.9) and 7.2% (6.9; 7.5) by the reamer. The human referential physeal cross-sectional area was 23.7 cm² (22.4; 24.9).

The possible mean physeal damage by the nail, if implanted, in the referential human physis was 3.8% (3.6; 4.0) by the nail and 4.8% (4.5; 5.1) by the reamer.

Histomorphometric analysis showed that the empty canal left in the physis 8 weeks after nail removal was mainly filled with fibrous tissue (Fig. 5 and Table 2). No bone bridges were observed.

The MRI assessment of the nail penetration point through the physis showed a mean posterior shift by 1.3 mm (0.4; 2.4) and lateral shift by 0.1 mm (−0.6; 0.8) from the centre of the physis.

Discussion

The retrograde insertion and later removal of a femoral intramedullary nail through the physis of the juvenile pig did not impair longitudinal growth and did not cause any physeal bone bridge nor growth deformities. Post-traumatic growth abnormalities are usually caused by the growth arrest due to the bony union in the physis. It has been proven that bony union centrally in the physis will cause limb shortening whilst if located peripherally an angular bone deformity can be expected.

Using an intramedullary-lengthening nail clinically, the most severe growth disturbance will be an angular bone deformity. Hence, a retrograde femoral intramedullary nail should aim towards passing the physis centrally in both planes. We found a similar water content gap ratio at the physeal injury site compared with the remaining physis, confirming the absence of a bone bridge.

This is likely the result of the nail crossing the physis in the acute phase after physeal injury leaving no space for bony healing at the physeal injury site. If no nail had been inserted, the bony union might have occurred at the physis. This has been observed in a recent physeal gap porcine model.

However, after nail removal leaving an empty canal through the physis, the bony union was still not observed and growth abnormalities did not occur. This might be a result of the bony healing taking place whilst the nail was still placed in situ at the physis, and thus preventing bony bridging.

The size of traumatic injury to the physis caused by the nail insertion would be expected to cause growth abnormalities if a bony bridge had occurred. In this study, the reamer damaged 7% of the physeal area, and a previous study in rabbits suggested a bone bridge of 7% would result in significant growth disturbance.
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risk of bone bridge formation and longitudinal growth disturbance after removal of the nail. Our study has limitations and further experimental research is warranted. We may have been unable to detect an induced growth arrest due to inadequate sample size (type II error). Furthermore, it has been shown that bone lengthening in the growing child may affect the growth plate, even though no consensus exists on the matter.\textsuperscript{33–36} We did not lengthen the femur and consequently are not able to examine the possible effect on the physis. It remains uncertain if such lengthening may influence the risk of physeal growth injury. The main indication for bone lengthening with a retrograde inserted intramedullary nail is the need for simultaneous mechanical axis correction in addition to the desired lengthening. These corrections require a distal femoral osteotomy and often a de-central penetration of the physis for large corrections. The current study examined the impact of an intramedullary nail passing through a normal physis centrally, assessed by MRI, and confirming the central passing of the nail through the physis. The nail was locked proximally to the physis to allow continuous growth and mimic the nail placement in a lengthening setting to assess physeal healing. Finally, it is not known how a central chondral lesion and subsequent fibrous healing through the femoral notch might influence long-term knee function.

**Conclusion**

This is an experimental animal study in healthy juvenile porcines. Central and perpendicular injury to the normal physis with a retrograde femoral nail followed by removal did not cause any longitudinal growth deformities when compared to the unoperated contralateral femur. The empty canal after nail removal was filled with fibrous tissue. No bone bridge formation was detected.

**Clinical Significance**

This preliminary experimental study proved that the physis could be injured with a centrally placed nail without subsequent bone bridge formation or growth disturbance in a juvenile porcine model. Central physeal injury seems to be a safe procedure. However, further research is needed, especially to evaluate long-term follow-up in addition to the effect of an osteotomy and lengthening on the physis in a translational animal model before introducing this approach in children.
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