

### **Aalborg Universitet**

### **Balance Function In Cochlear Implant Patients Examined With Computerized Dynamic** Posturography With Implant(s) On And Off

Hermansen.	, Rikke Skovdal:	Güler	, Gürsel Deniz	: Hougaard	, Dan Du	pont

Publication date: 2021

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Hermansen, R. S., Güler, G. D., & Hougaard, D. D. (2021). Balance Function In Cochlear Implant Patients Examined With Computerized Dynamic Posturography With Implant(s) On And Off. Poster presented at DSOHH Årsmøde, Nyborg, Denmark.

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
   You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

Take down policy
If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from vbn.aau.dk on: July 04, 2025

# Balance Function In Cochlear Implant Patients Examined With Computerized Dynamic Posturography With Implant(s) On And Off

Rikke Skovdal, Hermansen<sup>1</sup>, Gürsel Deniz Güler<sup>1</sup>, Dan Dupont Hougaard<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark, <sup>2</sup> Department of Otolaryngology, Head & Neck Surgery and Audiology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

## Introduction

Methods

Cochlear implantation is widely acknowledged as the standard hearing rehabilitation for patients suffering from bilateral profound hearing loss when conventional hearing aid treatment does not adequately provide enough sound amplification to aid any residual hearing to satisfactory levels. Even thought the implantation is performed using minimally invasive surgery, patients with cochlear implant (CI) commonly suffer from permanent or transient dizziness postoperatively. This can be due to various iatrogenic complications or due to electrical stimulation from the CI causing mismatch between the sensory inputs from the two vestibular organs and the visual impressions. The aim is to examine whether CIs immediately alter balance function when turned on or off. Secondary endpoints include determination of the incidence of damage to any of the six semicircular canals and detection of any subjective feelings of vertigo.

Patients with uni- or bilateral CI were examined using

Computerized Dynamic Posturography (CDP) (figure 1).

The balance function was assessed by the sensory

organization test, the motor control test, and the adaptation

test with the CI turned on and off. Order of testing with the

CI turned on/off was random and all data were compared to

a healthy control group. Video Head Impulse Testing (vHIT)

of all six semicircular canals succeeded CDP testing.

Finally, all patients filled out the 25-item Dizziness

Handicap Inventory (DHI) questionnaire to quantify any

subjective symptoms of vertigo. The background charac-

o Inability to stand up straight for 20 minutes without

teristics of the study population can be seen in table 1.

Failure to comply with planned examinations

## **Discussion**

With a mean age of 73.4, many of the older patients complained of fatigue during the second examination, which was mirrored in their performance during the second examination with the patient performing worse regardless of randomization. In a few cases, this lead to the patient's data being excluded from statistical analyses. Additionally, in the ATP the software program assigned a failed attempt a value of 200. However, patients with great anterior-posterior sway, who did not fall during the examination, also received a value of 200, hence datasets of patients performing examinations without falling were incorrectly excluded from statistical analyses as "incompletion of examination". Lastly, all results are preliminary due to ongoing inclusion of patients in the study, and the few significant p-values currently presented must be considered in the context of only 7 included datasets.

PATIENT	AGE AT CI	IMPLANTED EAR	YEARS SINCE	SEX	CI MANUFACTURER	ETIOLOGY
PAHENI	AGE AT CI	IIVIPLANTED EAR	IMPLANTATION	SEA	CIMANOFACIORER	ETIOLOGY
		_				_
1	70.9	Left	2.4	F	Cochlear	Other
2	29.0	Bilateral	R: 7.6	M	Advanced bionics	Congenital
			L: 7.6			
3	43.3	Left	5.6	М	Cochlear	Meningitis
4	65.4	Right	9.0	F	MedEL	Ototoxic
5	51.3	Right	2.7	F	Cochlear	Maternal rubella
6	R: 71.0	Bilateral	R: 2.6	M	R: Oticon Medical	Other
	L: 69.7		L: 4.0		L: Cochlear	
7	18.0	Left	8.0	F	Cochlear	CHARGE-syndrome
8	64.5	Left	14.4	M	Unknown	Maternal rubella
9	R: 40.2	Bilateral	R: 9.0	F	R: MedEL	Head trauma
	L: 44.3		L: 5.0		L: MedEL	
	L. 44.J		L. J.U		L. IVICULL	
10	63.2	Left	7.8	M	Cochlear	Congenital
11	28.4	Right	4.9	М	Cochlear	Meningitis

**CONFIDENCE INTERVAL** 

95% **ON/OFF** 

[-2.53 , 6.25]

[-0.52, 3.1]

[-1.17, 2.98]

[-17.59, 13.97]

[-7.94 , 19.41]

[-8.94 , 26.56]

[-50.31, 20.02]

[-15.06, 21.06]

P-VALUE CI-

ON/CONTROL

0.0001\*

0.003\*

0.022\*

0.216

0.013\*

0.031\*

0.023\*

0.049\*

0.532

0.043\*

0.934

Table 1: Background Characteristics. R = right, L = left, M = male, F = female

P-VALUE CI

ON/OFF

**SOT CONDITION** 

## **CONDITION 1** 0.341 **CONDITION 2** 0.133 0.327 **CONDITION 3 CONDITION 4** 0.788 **CONDITION 5** 0.344 **CONDITION 6** 0.270 **EQUILIBRIUM** 0.872 [-20.04, 17.47] COMPOSITE **SOMATOSENSORY RATIO** 0.333 **VISUAL RATIO** 0.438 [-24.81, 12.24] 0.699 **VESTIBULAR RATIO** [-46.07, 26.93] **VISUAL PREFERENCE** 0.545

Table 2: Sensory Organization Test Results. The first column shows the subtest performed with the SOT. The second column shows the p-value calculated with the paired t-test between condition with CI-on and CI-off. Significant results ( $p \le 0.05$ ) are marked with a \* and highlighted in bold. The third column shows the confidence interval set at 95% between condition with CI-on and CI-off. The fourth and fifth columns shows the p-value calculated between the CI-on and the control group and the p-value calculated between the CI-off and control group, respectively.

Figure 1: Computerized Dynamic Posturography

**NON-CI EARS** 

During the examinations, the patient firmly stands on the platform with the lateral malleoli placed parallel to the horizontal line visualized on the platform. The patient wears a safety harness to prevent injury in case of falls during the examinations. The patient is instructed to look straight ahead on the screen during all examinations. During the various CDP examinations, the platform will move forward, backwards, up or down, and the white rings seen on the platform will remain fixed or move according to the sway of the patient.

# Results

**Inclusion criteria:** 

**Exclusion criteria:** 

**SEMICIRCULAR CANAL** 

support.

Age at or above 18

Uni- or bilateral cochlear implant

11 patients were included. Four of these were excluded from statistical analyses due to incomplete evaluation by CDP evaluation. As seen in tables 2, 3 and 4, no significant pvalues were found between examinations with the CI-on and -off. Eight significant p-values were found between condition with CI-on and control group. Five patients completed vHIT examinations (table 5). 46.8% of SCCs ipsilateral to the CI had hypofunction and 14.3% of SCCs contralaterally had hypofunction. 10 out of 11 patients (90.9%) were categorized as having a mild dizziness handicap according to the total DHI-score, with the physical aspect being affected in 9 of 11 (81.8%) questionnaires.

**CI-EARS** 

# Conclusion

According to the preliminary results of the present study, the condition with a CI does not immediately alter the balance function when turned on or off. Compared to a healthy control group, condition with CI turned on worsen the overall balance function during CDP examinations. Video head impulse test results revealed hypofunction in 46.8% of SCCs on the implanted side and total DHI-scores revealed a mild to moderate dizziness handicap.

		P-VALUE CI ON/OFF	CONFIDENCE INTERVAL ON/OFF 95%	P-VALUE CI ON/CONTROL	P-VALUE CI OFF/CONTROL
BACKWARD	Latencies medium	0.908	[-10.65 , 9.65]	0.038*	0.270
TRANSLATION	Latencies large	0.673	[-4.2 , 6.05]	0.055	0.045*
	Amplitude scaling small	0.924	[-1.69 , 1.83]	0.694	0.664
	Amplitude scaling medium	0.489	[-2.47 , 1.32]	0.664	0308
	Amplitude scaling large	0.230	[-2.83 , 0.83]	0.733	0.271
FORWARD TRANSLATION	Latencies medium	0.068	[-0.72 <i>,</i> 14.72]	0.519	0.016*
	Latencies large	0.778	[-6.25 , 7.96]	0.117	0.203
	Amplitude scaling small	0.182	[-1.87 , 0.45]	0.481	0.663
	Amplitude scaling medium	0.509	[-3.2 , 1.77]	0.934	0.796
	Amplitude scaling large	0.245	[-2.07 , 0.64]	0.558	0.955
	Latencies composite	0.358	[-3.33 , 7.9]	0.094	0.072

Table 3: Motor Control Test. Significant results ( $p \le 0.05$ ) are marked with a \* and highlighted in bold. The third column shows the p-value of CI-on and CI-off. The fourth column shows the confidence interval between condition with CI-on and CI-off, the fifth column shows the p-value between CI-on and the control group, and finally, the sixth column shows the pvalue between CI-off and the control group.

	<b>EXAMINED</b>	Normal	Grey zone	Pathological	<b>EXAMINED</b>	Normal	Grey zone	Pathological
LEFT HORIZONTAL	4	2	0	2	1	1	0	0
RIGHT HORIZONTAL	3	2	1	0	2	1	0	1
LEFT ANTERIOR	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
RIGHT ANTERIOR	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
LEFT POSTERIOR	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
RIGHT POSTERIOR	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
		TOTAL HYPOFUNCTIONAL SCCs CI:			TOTAL HYPOFUNCTIONAL SCCs NON-CI:			
		7/15 = 46.7%			1/7 = 14.3%			

**VHIT RESULTS** 

Table 5: Video Head Impulse Results. Results were categorized as normal when the mean gain value calculated by computer software was above 0.80 for the horizontal SCCs and above 0.70 for the vertical SCCs and no pathological saccades were registered. Results were categorized as grey zone when the mean gain value was below 0.80 or 0.70 for horizontal and vertical SCCs, respectively and no pathological saccades were found, or mean gain value was normal but pathological saccades were found. Results were categorized as pathological saccades if mean gain values were below the stated reference value and pathological saccades were found. Note that 46.7 % of the implanted ears have hypofunction of SCCs.

CONDITION CI ON/OFF		CONFIDENCE INTERVAL 95% ON/OFF	CI ON/CONTROL	CI OFF/CONTROL	
TOES UP	0.666	[-30.01 , 43.67]	0.069	0.136	
<b>TOES DOWN</b>	0.813	[-39.17 , 31.97]	0.030*	0.214	

Table 4: Adaptation Test. The first column defines the subtest of the ADT. The second column represents the p-value of the comparison between condition with CI-on and CI-off. The third column shows the 95% confidence interval between condition with CI-on and CI-off. The fourth and fifth columns shows the p-values with CI-on and the control group and the CI-off and the control group, respectively. The significant result ( $p \le 0.05$ ) is marked with a \* and highlighted in bold.

Disclosure: No conflicts of interest

Contact info: r.hermansen@rn.dk

**P-VALUE CI-**

OFF/CONTROL

0.025\*

0.202

0.027\*

0.722

0.279

0.359

0.224

0.531

0.312

0.079

0.616





**VHIT RESULTS**