



## The Danish-American Research Exchange (DARE)

*a cross-sectional study of a binational research education program*

Mehta, Kala M.; Petersen, Karin Lottrup; Goodman, Steve; Sørensen, Henrik Toft; Bøgsted, Martin; Olesen, Jeppe Dørup; Burks, Sylvia; Shaw, Richard E.; Hove, Jens Dahlgaard; Ousager, Jakob; Milla, Carlos; Andersen, Vibeke; Ejsskjær, Niels; Brix-Christensen, Vibeke; Ghose, Shomit; Kjær, Andreas; Chin-Hong, Peter V.

*Published in:*  
BMC Medical Education

*DOI (link to publication from Publisher):*  
[10.1186/s12909-023-04002-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04002-z)

*Creative Commons License*  
CC BY 4.0

*Publication date:*  
2023

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

### *Citation for published version (APA):*

Mehta, K. M., Petersen, K. L., Goodman, S., Sørensen, H. T., Bøgsted, M., Olesen, J. D., Burks, S., Shaw, R. E., Hove, J. D., Ousager, J., Milla, C., Andersen, V., Ejsskjær, N., Brix-Christensen, V., Ghose, S., Kjær, A., & Chin-Hong, P. V. (2023). The Danish-American Research Exchange (DARE): a cross-sectional study of a binational research education program. *BMC Medical Education*, 23(1), Article 96. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04002-z>

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.












- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

RESEARCH

Open Access



# The Danish-American Research Exchange (DARE): a cross-sectional study of a binational research education program

Kala M. Mehta<sup>1\*</sup> , Karin Lottrup Petersen<sup>2</sup> , Steve Goodman<sup>3</sup> , Henrik Toft Sørensen<sup>4</sup> , Martin Bøgsted<sup>5</sup> , Jeppe Dørup Olesen<sup>6</sup>, Sylvia Burks<sup>7</sup>, Richard E. Shaw<sup>8</sup>, Jens Dahlgard Hove<sup>9</sup> , Jakob Ousager<sup>10</sup> , Carlos Milla<sup>11</sup> , Vibeke Andersen<sup>12</sup> , Niels Ejkskjær<sup>5,13</sup>, Vibeke Brix-Christensen<sup>14</sup> , Shomit Ghose<sup>15</sup>, Andreas Kjær<sup>16</sup>  and Peter V. Chin-Hong<sup>17</sup> 

## Abstract

**Background** Most medical educational programs emphasize clinical observation or clinical skill acquisition, fewer focus upon research. The Danish-American Research Exchange (DARE) program, sponsored by the Lundbeck Foundation, is unique in that the medical student initiates biomedical research collaboration between Danish and US medical institutions. To achieve this, Danish medical students (DARE students) conduct binational mentored research projects while based in the United States for 10 months. In addition, DARE students are introduced to interdisciplinary thinking about how to develop ultra-low-cost healthcare interventions through the ‘\$10 Challenge’.

**Methods** We conducted a cross-sectional study of DARE alumni over five consecutive years (2015–2020,  $n = 24$ ). Research metrics included completion of a research project, primary authorship, and co-authorship of publications. The number of publications, prior to and after the DARE program were enumerated. For the first four cohorts, graduation from medical school and acceptance or intention to enter a joint MD-PhD program also were assessed. Two focus groups were conducted using constructivist grounded theory. Discussions were transcribed, redacted, and coded using Dedoose software.

**Results** DARE Medical students were 31.2 years (range 24–35), the majority were women (67%;16/24). The majority (17/24;71%) completed a first author publication in a peer-reviewed journal with a median of 3.9 per DARE alumnus. DARE alumnus reported increased proficiency in biostatistics, epidemiology, coding and public speaking as well as stronger research qualities in creativity, critical thinking, comfort in approaching scientist in both the US and Denmark ( $p < 0.001$  for all). Qualitative key themes included: increased confidence, a deepening of research inquiry and linkage to a research network.

**Conclusions** Preliminarily, this study suggests that medical students can initiate binational collaboration in medicine. Benefits include research productivity, intention to pursue academic medical careers, as well as positive impacts on motivation. This medical student-initiated research model lays the groundwork for using this model across other country pairs to promote binational collaboration.

**Keywords** Binational, Medical student, Denmark, United States, Training programs

\*Correspondence:

Kala M. Mehta

kala.mehta@ucsf.edu

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

## Background

Cross-pollination of ideas and research collaboration among medical doctors in different countries have advanced scientific inquiry since antiquity. The practice of multinational collaboration ranges from spontaneous informal meetings at medical conferences to more formal ‘sister’ collaborations between hospitals and medical systems across countries [1].

Specific pairings at the institutional level arise to promote clinical exposure, knowledge transfer, development of surgical or other skills, and dissemination of best practices in medicine. Historically, a primary reason for binational programs has been to strengthen training, e.g., by teaching specific skills to practicing MDs in low-resource settings. The Doris Duke Charitable Foundation and the Fogarty International Center fund such programs between medical institutions of the United States and medical doctors in Africa and Asia [2–6].

The DARE program, sponsored by the Lundbeck Foundation, is a new and novel binational collaboration between two high-income countries (US and Denmark), it focuses on clinical research with an added component via exposure to an entrepreneurial environment. The current paper presents the characteristics of the DARE program after five years, as well as research indicators and changes in thinking among DARE program participants, all of whom are Danish medical students.

## Methods

### Aim and design

This is a cross sectional study which aims to describe the characteristics and outcomes of a novel binational program (DARE) over 5 years (2015–2020).

### Setting and characteristics of participants

The DARE program draws from 4 university-affiliated medical schools in Denmark, bringing students to University of California San Francisco (UCSF) and Stanford University School of Medicine. The Danish schools are Copenhagen University, University of Southern Denmark, Aarhus University, and Aalborg University. The program is funded by the Lundbeck Foundation. The Innovation Centre Denmark in Silicon Valley (ICDK), a collaboration between the Danish Foreign Ministry and the Ministry of Higher Education and Science, is the fiscal agent.

### Selection criteria

DARE applicants submit an application proposing a binational mentorship team, with one mentor from Denmark and another from the US. Faculty must be full time. The mentors must work in similar or compatible fields, but do not need previous history of collaboration.

The mentorship team and the student develop a novel research project that extends the professors’ primary research by incorporating data, patients, techniques or materials only available from one side or the other, or by replicating a study in a different setting.

### Application process

Applicants first submit a letter of intent (LOI) comprised of a short research proposal, description of their mentorship team and their curriculum vitae, and certification that students meet the Danish medical schools’ requirements to take a year away from primary medical study to pursue research. Eligible students are asked to submit a full application detailing the research project and containing the curriculum vitae of both the US and Danish mentors, as well as a short video describing themselves and their projects. Interviews were conducted by several members of a 12-person selection committee. Selection criteria include the strength of the mentorship team, the scientific merit of the project, the feasibility of completing the project in 10 months, and the candidate’s commitment to research and research potential.

### Participants

Beginning in 2015, the DARE program (initially the Lundbeck Foundation Clinical Research Fellowship) has sponsored five medical students per year. Here we report on outcomes from the first 24 students, in 5 cohorts from 2015–2020. The program is executed for 10 months per year, from August 1 – June 1 each cycle.

### Program description

The DARE scholars participate in a 20-h graduate medical education course entitled ‘Designing Clinical Research’ taught at UCSF over the summer. For the subsequent 9 months, the scholars work on a mentored clinical research project for 40 h per week in a research team or laboratory. During the 9 months, they also take part in an ongoing biweekly 2-h sessions run by DARE faculty, comprising a journal club, presentation of works in progress, topics in study design and analysis, and career development skills. The Institutional Review Board at the University of California, San Francisco approved this study.

### Fostering innovative thinking: the “\$10 Challenge”

Another unique aspect is that DARE scholars gain exposure to innovative thinking by engaging in the “\$10 Challenge.” This is an activity outside of their main clinical research project. The goal of the challenge is to develop

a way to address a large global public health problem that costs no more than \$10 per patient per use. The DARE students lead teams of US medical students and learners from Business/Entrepreneurship, Bioengineering/Technology, and Marketing/Innovation to create a total cohort of 25, split into 5 separate teams. Each team works on their project in six monthly meetings, guided by a seasoned professional entrepreneur. Participants learn from venture capitalists, entrepreneurs, and Silicon Valley professionals how to identify a target market, evaluate manufacturing resources, assess market size, devise market strategy (including social media marketing), identify sales and distribution channels, and legal matters including protecting intellectual property.

### Measures

All students in the DARE program were asked to complete an exit survey, a supplemental survey and two in-person focus group discussions in Summer, 2020. Program measures included publication of a paper in a peer-reviewed scientific journal, a program requirement. Authorship was categorized into primary or co-authorship, and publications were categorized separately if they had evidence of binational collaboration. We also counted students' oral and poster meeting presentations as a primary author. The number of academic awards per student was also calculated prior to, during, and after the DARE program. The first three cohorts of students were asked whether they had graduated from medical school, assumed a primary position as a practicing physician, and about their acceptance/intent to pursue a joint MD-PhD degree.

We used a quantitative and a qualitative approach to understand how the DARE program impacted the students' attitudes and skills related to research and innovation. We asked DARE alumni to rate their proficiency in research skills such as public speaking, English speaking, epidemiology, biostatistics and coding, efficiency, creativity, critical thinking, working long hours, comfort with a large workload, and comfort approaching scientists from the US and Denmark prior to DARE and after DARE on a Likert 5-point scale.

Through focus group discussions to address these same domains, data collection and analyses were informed by principles of constructivist grounded theory (CGT) [7]. These focus groups lasted 1.5 h and the discussions structured by an interview guide. Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, we conducted individual structured key informant interviews utilizing the same guide for the focus groups in the prior two years. Discussions were recorded and transcribed, redacted, and coded using Dedoose software (Dedoose.com, Los Angeles, US). Applying principles of CGT, the focus group transcript was inductively analysed

using open coding by two members of the research team. Preliminary codes were cross-checked and discrepancies discussed until consensus was reached. The codes were organized into themes and relationships.

### Statistical analyses

We summarized descriptive characteristics of the student scholars including age, sex, race/ethnicity, grade average prior to entering DARE and their Danish Medical Institution. We also described project characteristics, that is, the type of project (clinical research or translational/basic science) and discipline within medicine. We described research metrics for all 24 scholars, overall, and stratified by age (above and below 31.2), gender, type of project, whether the scholar had prior publications (none compared to more than one). We used paired t-tests to examine differences prior to DARE compared to after DARE.

### Results

The average age of the 24 medical students at entry was 31.2 years (range 24–35), 67% were women (16/24), and 100% were White/Caucasian. 54% went to Copenhagen Medical School (13/24), followed by Aarhus (4/24, 17%), Aalborg (4/24, 17%) and Southern University Denmark (3/24, 13%). 54% were at UCSF (13/24), (38%) at Stanford (9/24) and 8% (2/24) students had mentors at both institutions. All students responded (Table 1).

Most students did clinical research (54%, 13/24), followed by translational/basic science projects in a 'wet' lab (46%, 11/24). Research projects covered fourteen medical areas (Supplemental Fig. 1a, b).

Seventy one percent of students completed the first-author requirement; 58% percent of students had a paper indicating a binational collaboration, that is, a publication with both mentors. (Table 1 and Appendix 1) Scholars averaged 3.9 publications per DARE alumnus (median 2, IQR 0.5–7). Number of publications increased from a mean of 1.5 (2.7) pre-Dare to a mean of 3.1 (3.8) post DARE, most attributable to the program. There were no statistically discernible differences by age, sex, type of project or prior publications.

Among students in the first three DARE cohorts, one student has earned a PhD (4%), four alumni are in a PhD program (17%), combined (5/24 21%). Of the remaining students, 13 (54.2%) indicated that they intend to pursue a PhD program in the future (Supplemental Fig. 2).

DARE scholars reported increased proficiency in several domains after the DARE program, all with  $P < 0.01$ , measured on 5-point scales: public speaking (+1.5 points), English speaking (+0.67 points), Epidemiology (+1.42 points); Biostatistics (+1.25); Coding (+1.54

**Table 1** Characteristics of 24 Danish American Research Exchange (DARE) students, 2015–2020

Factor	Level	Value
Mean Age (years, standard deviation)		31.2 (2.3)
Sex	Female	16 (67%)
	Male	8 (33%)
Race	White/Caucasian	24 (100%)
Danish Institution (proportion, %)	Aalborg University	4 (17%)
	Aarhus University	4 (17%)
	Copenhagen University	13 (54%)
	Sydansk University	3 (13%)
Mean grade average in units (0–12 scale, 12 = high), during Bachelors (standard deviation)		8.9 (1.8)
Mean grade average in units (0–12 scale, 12 = high), during Masters (standard deviation)		10.0 (1.1)
US Institution (proportion, %)	UCSF	13 (54%)
	Stanford	9 (38%)
	UCSF/Stanford	2 (8%)
Cohort	2015–2016	5 (21%)
	2016–2017	5 (21%)
	2017–2018	5 (21%)
	2018–2019	5 (21%)
	2019–2020	4 (17%)
Completed Manuscript requirement	Yes	17 (71%)
First Author publications, mean (SD)		2.1 (2.9)
Collaborative Author publications, mean (SD)		1.8 (2.4)
Total Publications, mean (SD)		3.9 (4.7)
Publications Attributable to DARE, mean (SD)		1.3 (1.7)
Publications prior to program, mean (SD)		1.5 (2.7)
Publications post program, mean (SD)		3.1 (3.8)
Binational publications, mean (SD)		.71 (.75)
Type	Epidemiology/Clinical Data	13 (54%)
	Translational Science	11 (46%)
Discipline	Anesthesiology	1 (4%)
	Cardiology	4 (17%)
	Endocrinology	2 (8%)
	Gastroenterology	2 (8%)
	Head and Neck	1 (4%)
	Hepatology	1 (4%)
	Nephrology	2 (8%)
	Neurology	1 (4%)
	Nuclear Medicine	2 (8%)
	Oncology	3 (13%)
	Orthopedic Surgery	1 (4%)
	Pediatrics	1 (4%)
	Psychiatry	1 (4%)
	Rheumatology	2 (8%)

points). They also reported increased creativity (+0.375,  $p=0.009$ ); critical thinking (+0.79); comfort with approaching Danish scientists (+1.5); and comfort with

approaching US scientists (+1.9). Evidence for improved efficiency was modest (+0.29,  $p=0.07$ ); ability to work long hours (+0.21,  $p=0.17$ ); or comfort with a large workload (+0.25,  $p=0.17$ ) (Table 2).

**Table 2** Self-rating of Proficiency in Research Skills and Research Work Ethic for DARE scholars ( $n = 24$ )

	Prior to DARE	After DARE	P-value
Public Speaking	1.83 (.76)	3.33 (.64)	$P < 0.0001$
English Speaking	3.13 (.68)	3.79 (.41)	$P < 0.0001$
Epidemiology	2.04 (.75)	3.46 (.59)	$P < 0.0001$
Biostatistics	1.79 (.66)	3.04 (.69)	$P < 0.0001$
Coding	1.08 (1.14)	2.63 (1.28)	$P < 0.0001$
Self rating of work ethic, mean (SD) rating 1–5 (1 = least strong 5 = most strong)			
I am more efficient	2.96 (.69)	3.25 (.75)	$P = 0.0695$
I am creative	2.79 (.83)	3.16 (.76)	$P = 0.0093$
I have critical thinking skills	2.95 (.55)	3.75 (.44)	$P < 0.0001$
I work long hours	2.96 (.69)	3.17 (.76)	$P = 0.1703$
I am comfortable with a large workload	3.13 (.79)	3.17 (.76)	$P = 0.167$
I am comfortable approaching scientists from Denmark	2.38 (.97)	3.92 (.28)	$P < 0.0001$
I am comfortable approaching scientists from the US	1.88 (.90)	3.75 (.44)	$P < 0.0001$

Self-rating of proficiency, mean (SD) rating 1–5 (1 = least proficient 5 = most proficient)

P-values calculated as paired t-tests

The qualitative portion of this study sought to understand how the DARE program impacted the students' thinking (Supplemental Table 1). They distinguished between their experience in the main (clinical research) program and the \$10 Challenge experience. Key themes related to the clinical research component are illustrated by quotations from the focus groups, such as increased confidence:

*"It really changed the way I view the world and my approach to research, which became much more creative and structured. I gained confidence in my own ideas, my way of doing things." [about the DARE program]*

Another key theme that emerged was being forced to delve into a topic more deeply than previously:

*"in general at [US University] that they really kept digging, kept digging, kept digging, where we would stop earlier on the Danish side." [about the DARE program]*

DARE fellows felt that they built a community of like-minded peers, which continued as DARE alumni:

*"really missing have some peers to actually discuss problems with. And I used you guys a lot and also the American students, I got inspired from those meetings"*

Broader results from the qualitative analyses are shown in Supplemental Table 1.

## Discussion

The DARE program represents a unique method for promoting binational partnership between trainees and senior faculty from two countries. After completing five years of the program, 24 DARE alumni had 97 peer-reviewed articles cited in PubMed, 20% indicating binational collaboration. DARE medical students also were active in presenting their work at international conferences, averaging about two conferences per student. Scholars reported increased proficiency in epidemiology and biostatistics, public speaking, biostatistics coding and English proficiency as well as gains in creativity, critical thinking, and comfort in approaching other scientists. Qualitative emergent themes were increased confidence, digging 'deeper' into their science, and building a community of like-minded peers. Students reported that their DARE experience changed their thinking well after the program.

We compared our results to a study of Danish medical students who completed a research year in Denmark between 2004 and 2013, where approximately 36% published a first-author paper [8]. In comparison, 71% of DARE medical students published a first-author paper, almost double. Also, DARE students published approximately 2.5 publications per year, compared to 1.1 for MD-PhD students in Denmark. This suggests that DARE alumni outperform students roughly 4 years further along in their education [9].

The research productivity and intention to pursue research was similar between DARE and the Fogarty

International Fellows program [6, 10] and compared to the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation Medical Student program, though data from the latter program are now approximately 15 years old [2, 5]. The difference between these programs is that the DARE scholar initiated the research project and binational collaboration in all instances.

Entrepreneurial concepts such as “design thinking” are relatively new in medical education, [11, 12] and were particularly new for these students. This led students to affirm the themes of increased scientific risk-taking, enhanced persistence, increased problem-solving skills, and heightened confidence.

Some limitations deserve comment. The program is small, and inferences from just 24 students in the first 5 cohorts should be interpreted with caution. In addition, we have had a relatively short follow up, from 1 to 4 years. It was also difficult to construct an ideal control group. Averaged data for Danish medical students, may be available through the Danish Ministry of Science and Education in the future, albeit complicated by varying durations of medical school training. Better controls would be research year participants who stay in Denmark, but publications and other outcomes are either not systematically tracked at the four Danish medical schools or are not publicly available.

## Conclusions

In summary, the DARE binational medical program described here is a unique model of how students can initiate binational collaboration. It builds on the resources of four Danish medical schools and two medical campuses in the United States. Binational collaborations involving 24 medical students have been documented thus far, including a robust record of publications and international presentations as well as increased confidence, digging ‘deeper’ into their science, and building a community of like-minded peers. Future work in this field is needed to better define how to measure binational collaboration impacts the mentors and how it benefits the academic medical institution as a whole [13].

## Abbreviations

DARE	Danish American Research Exchange
US	United States
e.g.	Exempli grata, Latin such as
MD-PhD	Medical Doctor-Doctor of Philosophy
PhD	Doctor of Philosophy
MD	Medical Doctor
i.e.	<i>id est</i> , Latin That is
CGT	Constructivist grounded theory
SD	Standard Deviation
SE	Standard Error of the Mean
IQR	Interquartile Range

## Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04002-z>.

**Additional file 1: Figure 1.** Type (1a) and Discipline (1b) of Post Program Publications; Danish American Research Exchange (DARE) students, 2015-2020.

**Additional file 2: Figure 2.** Academic Degrees of Danish American Research Exchange (DARE) Students, 2015-2020.

**Additional file 3: Supplemental Table 1.** Qualitative data from DARE medical student alumni collected at two focus group meetings. **Appendix 1.** References for publications of DARE Fellows and Alumni, 2015-2020, Cohorts 1-5.

## Authors' information

Previous Presentations: This work was presented by KMM at the AMEE, International Medical Educators conference in Vienna, 2019; KMM serves as the current academic director of the DARE program.

## Acknowledgements

The DARE scholars and their clinical research mentors are the heart and soul of this program. We wish to deeply thank all DARE scholars for their hard research work. In addition, we thank each clinical research mentor who has ever guided a DARE fellow on their journey with research. We also wish to thank the founder of the \$10 challenge program: Shomit Ghose for his insight in developing this program. In addition, we wish to thank the entrepreneur mentors of the \$10 challenge program: Venu Pemmaraju, Ram Varadharajan, Arvind Singhal, Ron Matusof, Nita Sharma, and Susie Faries. Lastly, this program would not be possible without the partnership of the Innovation Center, Denmark Silicon Valley. We wish to thank all members of ICDC past and present who have collaborated with the program: Allan Skarup Christiansen, Christian Malherbe, Josefine Strandegaard, Morten Larsen, Charlotte Wilhelmsen, Nadia Jin Storm, Thomas Mortensen, and Philip Ikast of the Innovation Center Denmark, Silicon Valley for their contributions to the success of the DARE program.

## Authors' contributions

Kala M. Mehta, DSc, MPH<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Karin Lottrup Petersen, MD<sup>2,3</sup>, Steve Goodman MD, MHS, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Henrik Toft Sørensen MD, PhD, DMSc, DSc<sup>2,3</sup>, Martin Bøgsted, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Jeppe Dørup Olesen, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Sylvia Burks, JD<sup>2,3</sup>, Richard E. Shaw<sup>2,3</sup>, Jens Dahlggaard Hove, MD, PhD, MSc<sup>2,3</sup>, Jakob Ousager, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Carlos Milla, MD<sup>2,3</sup>, Vibeke Andersen, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Niels Ejlskjær, MD<sup>2,3</sup>, Vibeke Brix Christensen, MD, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Shomit Ghose<sup>2,3</sup>, Andreas Kjaer MD, PhD, DMSc<sup>2,3</sup>, Peter V. Chin-Hong, MD MHS<sup>2,3</sup> (1) author responsible for conception and design of article or study, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, and (2) author drafted the article or revised it critically for important intellectual content. 3) author takes public responsibility for the entire work. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

We wish to acknowledge the Lundbeck Foundation (<https://www.lundbeckfonden.com/en/>) for its generous support of the Lundbeck Clinical Research Fellowship (2015–2017) and the Danish American Research Exchange (2018–present). The funding for the DARE program is provided by the Lundbeck Foundation, a large industrial foundation based in Denmark.

## Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available because they are part of an educational program and potentially contain identifiable information but are available from the corresponding author in a de-identified form on reasonable request.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, San Francisco IRB Number: 21–35534. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations according to the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>2</sup>Independent Consultant, Copenhagen, Denmark. <sup>3</sup>Health Research and Policy, Stanford, CA, USA. <sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University and Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark. <sup>5</sup>Department of Clinical Medicine, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark. <sup>6</sup>Aarhus Institute of Advanced Studies, Aarhus, Denmark. <sup>7</sup>Burks Johansson LLP, Palo Alto, CA, USA. <sup>8</sup>California Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco, CA, USA. <sup>9</sup>Department of Cardiology, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Copenhagen, Denmark. <sup>10</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark. <sup>11</sup>Department of Pediatrics-Pulmonary Medicine, Stanford, Stanford, California, USA. <sup>12</sup>Research Unit for Molecular Diagnostic and Clinical Research, IRS-Center Soenderjylland, Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark. <sup>13</sup>Steno Diabetes Center North Denmark and Department of Endocrinology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark. <sup>14</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Copenhagen University Medical School, Copenhagen, Denmark. <sup>15</sup>Department of Engineering, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA. <sup>16</sup>Department of Clinical Physiology, Nuclear Medicine & PET, Rigshospitalet and University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. <sup>17</sup>Department of General Internal Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA.

Received: 26 May 2022 Accepted: 4 January 2023

Published online: 06 February 2023

**References**

- Hedt-Gauthier BL, Chilengi R, Jackson E, Michel C, Napua M, Odhiambo J, Bawah A. Research capacity building integrated into PHIT projects: leveraging research and research funding to build national capacity. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2017;17(Suppl 3):825.
- Allen A, Parikh G, McPhaul MJ. Doris Duke clinical research fellowship: report of the second annual meeting. *J Investig Med*. 2003;51(6):330–40.
- Carothers CL, Heimburger DC, Schlachter S, Gardner P, Primack A, Warner TL, Vermund SH. Training programs within global networks: lessons learned in the Fogarty international clinical research scholars and fellows program. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2014;90(1):173–9.
- Gallin E. Interview with Elaine Gallin, program director for medical research at the Doris Duke charitable foundation. *J Investig Med*. 2005;53(6):275–8.
- Gallin EK, Le Blancq SM. Launching a new fellowship for medical students: the first years of the Doris Duke clinical research fellowship program. *J Investig Med*. 2005;53(2):73–81.
- Heimburger DC, Carothers CL, Blevins M, Warner TL, Vermund SH. Impact of global health research training on career trajectories: The Fogarty international clinical research scholars and fellows program. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2015;93(3):655–61.
- Creswell JW. Five approaches to Qualitative Inquiry. In: Creswell JW, editor. *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications; 2007. p. 53–89.
- Andersen SB, Ostergaard L, Fosbol PL, Fosbol EL. Extracurricular scientific production among medical students has increased in the past decade. *Dan Med J*. 2015;62(9):A5133.
- Skovgaard M, Okkels N, Christensen MK, Telinius N, Hauge EM. Publication rate and PhD enrolment following a medical pre-graduate research programme. *Dan Med J*. 2015;62(9):5134.
- Heimburger DC, Carothers CL, Gardner P, Primack A, Warner TL, Vermund SH. Nurturing the global workforce in clinical research: the national institutes of health Fogarty international clinical scholars and fellows program. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2011;85(6):971–8.
- McLaughlin JE, Wolcott MD, Hubbard D, Umstead K, Rider TR. A qualitative review of the design thinking framework in health professions education. *BMC Med Educ*. 2019;19(1):98.
- Trowbridge M, Chen D, Gregor A. Teaching design thinking to medical students. *Med Educ*. 2018;52(11):1199–200.
- Eva KW. Medical education research approaches. *Med Educ*. 2018;52(11):1100–2.

**Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:**

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

**At BMC, research is always in progress.**

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

