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Main objective

- To investigate the relationship between gender identity/masculinity and the risk of work accidents.
- To identify variations in the construction of masculinities within and across different trades and vocations.
- To examine the existence of a John Wayne syndrome. How do normative perceptions of what ‘being a real man’ means influence the amount of work accidents?
1) There is a lack of knowledge about men that goes beyond the agenda of gender equality → broaden the perspective to social equality

2) There is a lack of knowledge about male culture and different, competing forms of masculinity. Important to understand power relations in society.

3) The question of men is very important in order to analyse the power relations in society. Men are over represented in the top and the bottom of society:

   **hegemonic masculinity** (Connell)
   **the extreme gender** (Hans Bonde) and **the gender profile in social exclusion** (John Andersen og Jørgen Elm Larsen)

   emphasise the argument that power of privileged men must be seen in relation to the weak resources of underprivileged and maybe marginalized men
Understanding the gendered implication of social inequality in society

1) to understand the power mechanism at the top of society
2) to pinpoint the particular problems in terms of marginalization and exclusion associated with underprivileged groups of men

How is this related to the MARS project
Theoretical approach

- Work related accidents are a result of human practice which ‘goes wrong’ (no attempt to explain technical errors from external sources)

- Practice is gendered

- An attempt to understand how gendered practices leads to (a higher risk of) work related accidents.

- 2 models: praxeological and poststructuralist
Model 1: Praxeological

**Gender, habitus and the practical sense.**

- Based on the Bourdieuan concept of habitus (the socialized body)
- Habitus contains a practical sense (know how)
- But habitus is also gendered (knowing how to do things like a man does)
- Being a man/masculinity and know how are two sides of the same coin. Both are learned through mimetic processes that are both enabling and constraining
- Collective and individual at the same time
- Becomes embodies through life trajectory
- Focus on socialisation
- Focus on continuity, stability and inertia
- Gender is not seen as contextual and situational!
Model 2: Poststructuralist understanding

A poststructuralist understanding of gender

- When we engage in interactions with others we are met with certain expectations
- When we do as expected (do man properly) we do gender
- Focus on local normativities
- Butler performativity/citationality
- We are called upon (interpellated) to do gender in an appropriate (intelligible) way
- We then cite appropriate ways to be a man (failure to do so is met with control, violence etc.)
- But these normativities are always instable (although Butler is not a voluntarist!)
- Focus on local normativities, instability, gender is seen as situational
- Gender is not seen as stable
### Contrasting the 2 models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Praxeological understanding</th>
<th>Poststructuralism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender identity is understood as relatively stable because it is embodied</td>
<td>Gender identity is seen as in principle instable and situational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We do as we do because we have through our practical engagement with the world accumulated a practical sense which is also gendered</td>
<td>We do as we do to perform that we are appropriate gendered subjects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The gendered way of doing things are based upon earlier experience (life trajectory)</td>
<td>The gendered way of acting is primarily conditioned by local normativities and imperatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical sense/habitus -&gt; practice</td>
<td>Normative discourses -&gt; practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relevant to measure: Conditions which influence gender socialization in various arenas</td>
<td>Relevant to measure: Local discourses about what is an appropriate male worker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A third model (which does not fit neatly into the table above)

Connell, hegemonic masculinity and struggles between different masculinities

- An ideal, the authoritative masculinity in a given context
- Oppresses women
- Oppresses other masculinities
- Polices masculinity
- Relevant to measure: which masculinities prevail in specific workplaces, trades etc
Hypotheses and study design

**Accidents** – traditional masculinity ideals: taking risks, showing force and strength, not asking for help → higher risk of experiencing an accident

**Main project**: Survey to app. 5300 slaughterhouse workers and emergency workers (ambulance workers/fire fighters) (n = 2500/3800). Follow-up study with 1 year follow up. Linked to register based information on occupational accidents. Questionaire on work environment exposures, attitudes to safety and masculinity.

**PhD-project**: Qualitative study, Morten Kyed primary investigator.
Two trades:

- Rescue workers – Falck rescue service
- Slaughterhouse

Combination of different methods:

- Quantitative data: questionnaires (the two trades)
- Qualitative data: field work and interviews (ph.d.project)
- Focus group interviews (identifying new masculinity ideals)
Male Role Norms Inventory – revised

**Dominance (Cronbachs α = 0.70)**

2. The President of the US should always be a man.
3. Men should be the leader in any group.
44. A man should always be the major provider in his family.
51. Men should make the final decision involving money.

**Aggression (Cronbachs α = 0.55)**

34. If another man flirts with the women accompanying a man, this is a serious provocation and the man should respond with aggression.
35. Boys should be encouraged to find a means of demonstrating physical prowess.
42. It is important for a man to take risks, even if he might get hurt.
45. When the going gets tough, men should get tough.

**Extreme Self-reliance (Cronbachs α = 0.49)**

4. A man should be able to perform his job even if he is physically ill or hurt.
12. Men should not borrow money from friends or family members.
13. Men should have home improvement skills.
14. Men should be able to fix most things around the house.

**Restrictive Emotionality (Cronbachs α = 0.63)**

33. Being a little down in the dumps is not a good reason for a man to act depressed.
41. Men should be detached in emotionally charged situations.
46. I might find it a little silly or embarrassing if a male friend of mine cried over a sad love story.
50. One should not be able to tell how a man is feeling by looking at his face.

BEM SEX ROLE INVENTORY (http://www.neiu.edu/~tschuepf/bsri.html)

Rate yourself on each item, on a scale from 1 (never or almost never true) to 7 (almost always true).

1. defends own beliefs
2. athletic
3. affectionate
4. assertive
5. Flatterable
21. leadership ability
22. willing to take risks
23. compassionate
24. dominant
25. masculine
41. warm
42. tender
43. acts as a leader
44. competitive
45. ambitious
Masculinity and accidents
Association between accidents in company register and Bem Sex Role Inventory - Masculinity

Probability of having at least one accident in company register in the 12 months before answering questionnaire rise from app. 48% to 62% from lowest to highest scores on Bem.

Adjusted for sex, age, job function and work environment.
(Logistic regression)