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The effects of different regulation strategies on Jiangsu’s wind integration

Lixuan Hong\(^a\), Henrik Lund\(^b\), Bernd Möller\(^c\), Chunqi Wang\(^d\)

Abstract—This paper presents the influence of different regulation strategies on wind energy integration into existing Jiangsu’s energy system. The ability of wind integration is defined in terms of the ability to avoid excess electricity production, to conserve primary energy consumptions and to reduce CO\(_2\) emissions in the system. Firstly, a reference model of Jiangsu’s energy system is built by using EnergyPLAN based on the year 2009. The model results then are compared to actual values from 2009 to validate its accuracy. Based on the reference model, different regulations of Jiangsu’s energy system are compared and analyzed in the range of a wind input from 0% to 47% of the electricity demand. It is concluded that operating power plants of existing Jiangsu’s energy system in a flexible way is of great help promoting more intermittent wind integration.

Index Terms--reference model, EnergyPLAN, wind integration, Jiangsu

I. NOMENCLATURE

PP: power plant
CHP: combined heat and power
GDP: gross domestic production
CEEP: critical excess electricity production
TSO: transmission system operator

II. INTRODUCTION

By 2020, China has an obligation to supply 15% of its total primary energy consumption from non-fossil fuels \([1]\). In order to reach this goal, China is strongly promoting the use of renewable energy and nuclear power. An additional incentive is the aim of establishing a strong domestic industry in wind, solar, and nuclear energy. Recently, non-hydro renewable is stimulated by various policies including feed-in-tariffs and a renewable energy portfolio standard for grid and power companies. For wind energy this has resulted in a spectacular growth with total installed capacity doubling four years in a row. China emerged as the largest market for wind turbines in 2010. Although a large wind potential of about 300 GW onshore and 700 GW offshore has been estimated, significant challenges exist as well. The best onshore resources are located in northern and western provinces. A consequence is that considerable transmission capacity is needed to transport the wind energy to urban demand centers. Problems with connecting far-off wind farms to the grid and the intermittency of the electricity supply are impeding China’s wind energy expansion already. It is estimated that 30% of all wind turbines in China are not connected to the grid by 2010 \([2, 3]\). Due to transmission capacity limits and rigid regulation strategies, substantial wind energy has to be discarded rather than utilized. Offshore wind resources have the advantage that they are located close to the densely populated coastal regions \([4]\), and therefore are deemed as a promising solution to relieve the severity of energy shortage in coastal regions. The deployment of offshore wind in China just started with a 100 MW wind farm off the coast near Shanghai, but a rapid growth of offshore wind farm is expected. This situation in return, however, is expected to pose great challenges to existing burdened transmission system. Therefore, how to make use of tremendously increasing wind energy is an emergent issue in China.

Located on the east coast (Fig.1), Jiangsu is one of seven 10 GW wind power bases in China (the only one to include offshore wind development). Wind power is expected to play an important role in meeting Jiangsu’s growing energy demands and lowering its fossil fuel share. However, little literature have investigated the issue that how can current Jiangsu’s energy system accommodate more intermittent wind. In line with this, the aim of this paper is to develop a model of Jiangsu’s energy system that analyzes the implications of wind integration, identifies possible barriers and challenges, and proposes future energy strategies from a technical perspective. The first step in this process is creating a reference model by simulating Jiangsu’s energy system in the most recent year. The model results then are compared to actual values from 2009 to validate its accuracy. Finally, based on the reference model, different regulations of Jiangsu’s energy system are compared and analyzed in the range of a wind input from 0% to 47% of the electricity demand.
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Fig.1 Location of Jiangsu province
III. ENERGY SYSTEM OF JIANGSU

Jiangsu is one of the nation’s most prosperous provinces, with a total population of 78.66 million living on the area of 102,600 km² land. In 2010, its GDP was 4.09 trillion yuan (US$ 631 billion), making it the second largest GDP of all the provinces and an annual growth rate of 12.6%. Its per capita GDP was 52,000 yuan (US$ 8,024), and the share of GDP of Jiangsu’s primary, secondary, and tertiary industries were 6.2%, 53.2% and 40.6%. Parallel to its high level of economic development, Jiangsu consumes a huge amount of energy. In 2009, its total primary energy consumption was approximately 1920 TWh, which originated almost 100% from fossil fuels (Fig.2). The province has low self-supporting ratio of primary energy. It imported 88.9% of coal, 93.8% of oil and 99.1% of natural gas from other provinces and countries in 2009. More than 80% of the total final energy was consumed by industry sectors. Yet, in comparison with more developed countries, energy consumption by transportation and the residential and commercial sectors in Jiangsu are rather small, indicating the potential for growth in the near future (Fig.3).

Fig.2 Percentage of different fuels in primary energy consumption in 2009

Jiangsu power grid is one of the largest provincial grids of China, with a total power load of 56 GW in 2009. The 500 kV grid connects a number of large generators of over 600 MW with a total load of 16 GW, the 220 kV grid provides for generators of 135-300 MW adding up to 30 GW of load, and the 110 kV grid serves for generators below 135MW with a total load of 10 GW. Major sites for developing wind farms located on the east coast of Jiangsu, however, the load centers mainly locate in the south of Yangze River. Since August 2006, Jiangsu has been building the Coastal Power Passage of 500 kV grid, which will cover the whole coastal area and increase the power transmission capacity by over 3 GW, but it is still less than the planned installed capacity. According to the province’s latest issued “12th five year energy plan” [5], the energy sector development will focus on nuclear power, smart grid, and wind power. Jiangsu power grid is one of the largest provincial grids of China, with a total power load of 56 GW in 2009. The 500 kV grid connects a number of large generators of over 600 MW with a total load of 16 GW, the 220 kV grid provides for generators of 135-300 MW adding up to 30 GW of load, and the 110 kV grid serves for generators below 135MW with a total load of 10 GW. Major sites for developing wind farms located on the east coast of Jiangsu, however, the load centers mainly locate in the south of Yangze River. Since August 2006, Jiangsu has been building the Coastal Power Passage of 500 kV grid, which will cover the whole coastal area and increase the power transmission capacity by over 3 GW, but it is still less than the planned installed capacity. According to the province’s latest issued “12th five year energy plan” [5], the energy sector development will focus on nuclear power, smart grid, and wind power in 2011-2015. The installed capacity of wind energy is expected to grow tremendously in Jiangsu. Therefore, this paper aims to analyze the effective strategies of adapting the existing rigid energy system with transmission limits to integrate more wind energy in future.
Table 1 Efficiencies calculated for power plants of different fuel types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant type</th>
<th>Capacity (MW)</th>
<th>Electricity generated (TWh)</th>
<th>Fuel used (TWh)</th>
<th>Efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal PP*</td>
<td>45252</td>
<td>272.84</td>
<td>687.20</td>
<td>39.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial CHPb</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>33.60</td>
<td>28.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>43.04</td>
<td>32.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net import</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Fuel used in thermal PP includes coal, natural gas, waste and biomass.

*Industrial CHP are coal-fired with an average thermal efficiency of 51.5%.

IV. MODELLING THE ENERGY SYSTEM

Overall structure of EnergyPLAN

The EnergyPLAN model is chosen for this study for the reason that it is a computer model for hour-by-hour simulations, which is very important for studying the fluctuation nature of wind energy. Besides, EnergyPLAN is a comprehensive model for regional or national energy systems including electricity, individual and district heating, cooling, industry and transport sectors. Thus, it enables to identify problems and make strategies for the whole energy system rather than specific sectors. General inputs are demands such as electricity and district heating, renewable energy sources such as hydro and wind power, power station capacities, costs, and a number of optional different regulation strategies emphasizing import/export and excess electricity production. Outputs are energy balances and resulting annual productions, fuel consumption, import/export of electricity, CO₂ emissions and total costs including income from the exchange of electricity (Fig.5).

Another important reason for using EnergyPLAN is that it has been widely applied to a variety of related topics and contexts, and has been proved an effective tool. Previous studies include analyses of the large-scale integration of renewable energy, management of CHP and renewable energy, optimal combinations of renewable energy resources into an energy system, renewable energy strategies for sustainable development, the implementation of small-scale CHP, the role of district heating in future energy system, integration of renewable energy into transport, a 100% renewable energy system, the use of waste for energy purposes, the integration of thermoelectric generators (TEG)

EnergyPLAN is originally developed based on the Danish energy system; therefore, several differences need to be pointed out when using it to build the Jiangsu energy model. First of all, the possibility of integrating fluctuating wind into the electricity supply is expressed in terms of the ability to avoid excess electricity production and to reduce CO₂ emissions. Unlike the situation in Denmark, electricity trade value might be less important for Jiangsu energy system as electricity market of China is more regulated rather than market-based. Here the analyses have been made solely from a technical point of view, economic analyses are not included. Second, the ability of integrating renewable energy depends not only on the fluctuations in the renewable source but also of the fluctuations in the demand and the flexibility of the rest of the supply system. Economic growth of Jiangsu is sustained by large amount consumptions of energy nowadays, while this is not the case in Denmark. Danish Energy Policy has succeeded in stabilizing primary energy supply during a period of 30 years. Insulation of houses and an extensive expansion in the use of CHP has led to decrease in fuel consumption for domestic heating. This was achieved during a period of 30 years of economic growth, in which the number of houses increased. Therefore, the analyses of wind integration into future Jiangsu’s energy system depend largely on its long-term energy strategies especially on the improvement of industrial energy efficiency, while Danish experience on residential energy conservation can be learned as well. Finally, the input data includes Jiangsu energy balance data from the Chinese energy statistical yearbook 2010, Jiangsu statistical yearbook 2010, Jiangsu electric power company, Jiangsu electricity regulatory commission. Unfortunately, we cannot gather hourly distribution data of electricity demand and wind production in Jiangsu. Therefore, some conversions are made based on the monthly distribution data of Jiangsu and some empirical data from China and other countries. For example, monthly distribution data for electricity demand in Jiangsu is converted into hourly distribution data in the assumption that the general trend of electricity consumption between work days and weekends is the same as that in Denmark. Besides, hourly distribution data of wind energy production is created for Jiangsu taken the assumption of an average full-loaded hour of 2000-2200 h for onshore wind farms and 2500 h for offshore wind farms.

Validation of 2009 reference model

Once the inputs are gathered and converted, the reference model is simulated on an hourly time resolution over the year 2009. One of the most important characteristic in the
energy system model is the balance of electricity generation and consumption. The total electricity generated for 2009 (298.4 TWh) plus a 33 TWh net import is simulated correctly in the model. As seen in Table 2, the total electricity generated from various production units is very similar in both the actual 2009 figure and the results from the reference model. Thermal power plant contributed 91.4% of the provincial electricity supply and satisfied 82.3% of the total electricity demands. Also the distribution of the electricity generated over the year is simulated accurately, as indicated by the average monthly electricity demands displayed in Table 3. The maximum difference between actual value and simulation is 1.15% in September. Regarding heat balance of Jiangsu’s energy system, the total heat demand of 108.5 TWh was supplied by district heating with boilers, industrial CHPs and individual boilers.

Table 2 Comparison of electricity and heating generation in 2009 and the EnergyPLAN simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant type</th>
<th>Generation (TWh)</th>
<th>Difference (MW)</th>
<th>Difference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual 2009</td>
<td>EnergyPLAN 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal PP</td>
<td>272.84</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial CHP</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>14.20</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net import heating</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District heating by boilers</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial CHPs</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual boilers</td>
<td>79.33</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Including both normal and pumped hydro.

Another significant indicator is the balance of fuel consumption in the energy system. The total fuel consumption within Jiangsu’s energy system is compared with those calculated in EnergyPLAN as shown in Table 4. It is clear that the model provides an accurate representation of the actual fuel consumption on Jiangsu’s energy system in 2009, as the largest difference that occurred is 1.7%, which is for oil consumption.

Table 4 Comparison of total fuel consumptions and the EnergyPLAN simulation in 2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Total fuel consumption (TWh)</th>
<th>Difference (MW)</th>
<th>Difference (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>1470.60</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>331.65</td>
<td>-5.65</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>61.96</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass &amp; Renewable</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1917.25</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. WIND PENETRATION ANALYSES

The potential of onshore and offshore wind in Jiangsu province is estimated to be 30 GW and 32 GW respectively, which can satisfy approximately 47% of the electricity demand in 2009. As mentioned above, import/export takes account of merely 10% of Jiangsu’s energy demand in 2009. Therefore, it is reasonable consider existing Jiangsu’s energy system as a closed system in this study. The ability of integrating fluctuating wind into the electricity supply is expressed in terms of the ability to avoid excess electricity production, to conserve primary energy consumption and to reduce CO₂ emissions in the system. Based on the 2009 reference model, different regulations are designed and compared for its ability of wind integration:

- Regulation I: a minimum 10 GW of power plants are operating all the year around in the system and minimum 30% of the production must come from grid stabilizing power stations.
- Regulation II: a minimum 12.5 GW of power plants are operating all the year around in the system and minimum 50% of the production must come from grid stabilizing power stations.
- Regulation III: a minimum 15 GW of power plants are operating all the year around in the system and minimum 70% of the production must come from grid stabilizing power stations.

In Fig. 6, it compares critical excess electricity production under different regulations as more wind integration. Under regulation I, the minimum 30% of the grid stabilization share is based on the Danish TSO experience, and the minimum10 GW of power plants operating all the year around equals that at least 52% of installed power plant capacities are utilized based on an annual average operation hour of 4700 h in Jiangsu’s energy system. CEEP begins to appear as wind energy meets 10% of electricity demands in Jiangsu’s energy system. A total amount of 24.25 TWh CEEP is expected to be produced as integrating the whole potential of wind energy into existing Jiangsu’s energy system. Contrast to regulation I, a more rigid thermal-based energy system (78% of installed power plant capacities are utilized) will produce 126.47 TWh CEEP with a 47% of CEEP is the amount of excess electricity production that could not be used or exported (due to inadequate interconnection capacity) in energy system. The consequence of CEEP is stopping the wind turbines to reduce production.
wind integration as showed in regulation III, that is, 82% of wind production is expected to be wasted due to rigid operation of power plants. An intermediate scenario is regulation II, the minimum 50% of the grid stabilization share is higher than the Danish experience, and 12 GW represents that only 65% of installed power plant capacities are rigid in the system while the other 35% are more flexible power stations. In this case, CEEP occurs as wind energy takes account of 6% of electricity supply in the system.

Fig. 6 Excess electricity in the 2009 Jiangsu energy system for increasing wind penetrations

Then, comparisons of primary energy conservation and CO₂ emission reductions in the system for more wind penetration under different regulations are showed in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8 respectively. Under regulation I, the consumption of primary energy and CO₂ emissions keep decreasing as more wind penetrations in Jiangsu’s energy system. The percentage of primary energy conservation is 13% and the amount of CO₂ emission reductions is 85 Mt as wind integrated from 0% to 47% into the existing energy system. Different from the situation under regulation I, the lowest fuel consumption and CO₂ emissions of the system occurs at a wind penetration of approximately 34% and 15% respectively under regulation II and III. The percentage of primary energy conservation is 8% and 3% respectively, and the amount of CO₂ emission reductions is 50 and 21 Mt respectively under regulation II and III with the optimum wind penetration. Therefore, it can be concluded that the ability of wind integration into existing Jiangsu’s energy system are highly dependent on the flexibility of power plant operation.

Fig.7 Primary energy supply in the 2009 Jiangsu energy system for increasing wind penetrations

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Wind integration into a location with high energy demands from a technical perspective has been discussed in this paper. With this goal in mind, an existing Jiangsu energy system has been built by using EnergyPLAN based on the year 2009. Firstly, the accuracy of the model has been verified by comparing the calculated results from the model with actual statistics from the year 2009. After validating the accuracy of the reference model, different regulation strategies of Jiangsu’s energy system are compared and analyzed in the range of a wind power from 0% to 47% of the electricity demand. The ability of wind integration is defined by the ability to avoid excess electricity production, to conserve primary energy consumption and to reduce CO₂ emissions in the system. It is concluded that a flexible operation of power plants enables the existing energy system to avoid excess electricity production as more wind integration, and thus conserve more primary energy consumption and reduce more CO₂ emissions in the system.
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