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Dissonance is an important feature in music audio analysis. We present here a dissonance model that accounts for the temporal integration of dissonant events in auditory short term memory. We compare the memory-based dissonance extracted from musical audio sequences to the response of human listeners. In a number of tests, the memory model predicts listener’s response better than traditional dissonance measures.

1 AUDITORY MEMORY MODEL

Short-term memory (STM) or working memory refers to the process of retaining a limited number of perceived elements at a relatively short time scale. STM is distinct from long term remembering at both a functional [12] and neural [13] level. Limitations on information processing may define STM in terms of either time or content of the memory process. Some models assume that representations in STM decay as a function of time unless actively maintained [14]. The duration of STM are estimated in the range of 3 to 5 seconds [15, 16]. Others focus on the limited number of elements or chunks that can be retained. Miller proposed a capacity of $7 \pm 2$ elements [17] while Cowan argued for a capacity around 4 elements [18].

Anderson and Lebiere [19] suggest that the activation strength of STM decays exponentially as a function of time:

$$A_t = 1 - 0.5 \ln(t + 1).$$

(1)

An element is held in STM as long as the activation strength is positive. We now include a term $A_N$ to account for the way in which stored elements are displaced by new ones because of the limitation on the number of elements in STM. We assume that the current number of elements $N_c$ in STM influences the total activation strength in a similar
is the magnitude of the frequency bin, nor-

\[ a_k = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \]

over 0.9 seconds. This detection procedure

\[ k > f_2 \) are estimated as:

\[ d = a_1 a_2 \left( e^{\frac{f_2 - f_1}{f_2 + f_1}} - e^{\frac{f_1 - f_2}{f_2 + f_1}} \right) \]

where maximal dissonance occurs at a separation of roughly 1/4 of the critical bandwidth. Frequency components further apart than one critical band are not perceived as dissonant.

In complex sounds, the total dissonance can be estimated by assuming additivity between combinations of all frequency components [2]:

\[ d_{tot} = \sum_k \sum_{l \neq k} d_n(f_k, a_k, f_l, a_l) \]

We now argue that dissonance is also affected by the local context, that is, by the dissonance of other events in STM. It is assumed that interference between spectral components of all events currently in STM contributes to the perceived dissonance of a given event. We do this by adding the dissonance between all spectral components within STM scaled by their activation strength to the dissonance of the current event \( d_{tot} \):

\[ d_{stm} = d_{tot} + \sum_n A_n \sum_k \sum_{l \neq k} d_n(f_k, a_k, f_l, a_l) \]

where \( f_k \) and \( a_k \) are the \( k^{th} \) frequency and amplitude of the current frame, while \( f_n \) and \( a_n \) are the frequencies and amplitudes of event \( n \) in STM. If the spectral components of a given frame interfere with those of other events in

\[ A = A_t + A_N = 2 - 0.5 \ln(t + 1) - 0.5 \ln(N_c + 1). \]

In consequence, there is a trade-off between temporal decay and the number of elements in STM. This is illustrated in Fig. 1. If there is a high load on STM by a large number of elements then these can only be held in STM for a short period of time. Conversely, a small number of elements can be held in STM for a longer period of time. Fig. 2 shows the density of events for a given number of elements and duration in frames of musical audio as extracted by the model (explained below). This illustrates the typical area of content in STM in musical sequences.

Fig. 3 illustrates the activation strengths of a sequence of events at a constant inter-onset interval (IOI) of 500 ms. As can be seen, the number of elements in STM stabilizes around a given level. In musical sequences, the faster rate of events typically cause the STM model to settle around 12 to 18 events as can be seen in Fig. 2. This is more than is typically reported in the literature, but many elements have only weak activation strength and are likely not to be detected in experiments assessing STM function.

The general memory model (Eq. 2) can be used to account for the way in which auditory events are integrated over time, and we will suggest how to calculate dissonance within STM using the model. In the audio domain, we must first extract perceptually salient events from the musical signal to determine the temporal location of events that enter into STM. Here, we use a perceptually motivated measure of spectral flux to detect the onsets of musical events for the dissonance calculation. The perceptual spectral flux is calculated as the sum of rising magnitudes from an N-point FFT scaled by equal loudness contours:

\[ \text{psf} = \sum_{k} a^l_k - a^{l-1}_k > 0 \]

where \( a_k \) is the magnitude of the \( k^{th} \) frequency bin, normalized with an equal loudness contour weighting [21]. A peak detector identifies onsets as the instantaneous value of psf above 10% of the mean over 1.5 seconds plus 90% of the maximal psf over 0.9 seconds. This detection procedure captures many of the features used by humans to separate auditory streams [22].

The onset detection identifies events for the dissonance calculation. For each detected event \( n \), the corresponding spectrum with frequencies \( f_n \) and amplitudes \( a_n \) is inserted into STM.

Following Plomp and Levelt [2] and Sethares [23], the dissonance between two frequency components (where \( f_1 > f_2 \) ) are estimated as:

\[ d = a_1 a_2 \left( e^{\frac{f_2 - f_1}{f_2 + f_1}} - e^{\frac{f_1 - f_2}{f_2 + f_1}} \right) \]

Where maximal dissonance occurs at a separation of roughly 1/4 of the critical bandwidth. Frequency components further apart than one critical band are not perceived as dissonant.

In complex sounds, the total dissonance can be estimated by assuming additivity between combinations of all frequency components [2]:

\[ d_{tot} = \sum_k \sum_{l \neq k} d_n(f_k, a_k, f_l, a_l) \]

We now argue that dissonance is also affected by the local context, that is, by the dissonance of other events in STM. It is assumed that interference between spectral components of all events currently in STM contributes to the perceived dissonance of a given event. We do this by adding the dissonance between all spectral components within STM scaled by their activation strength to the dissonance of the current event \( d_{tot} \):

\[ d_{stm} = d_{tot} + \sum_n A_n \sum_k \sum_{l \neq k} d_n(f_k, a_k, f_l, a_l) \]

where \( f_k \) and \( a_k \) are the \( k^{th} \) frequency and amplitude of the current frame, while \( f_n \) and \( a_n \) are the frequencies and amplitudes of event \( n \) in STM. If the spectral components of a given frame interfere with those of other events in
STM, then this contributes to the dissonance of that frame. In the following, we indicate that this model does in fact predict listeners’ response to music more accurately.

2 EXPERIMENT

It is well-known that dissonance in music correlates with listeners’ experience of tension [4, 5]. Tension ratings given in music listening experiments may thus be used to assess the dissonance measure based on auditory memory described above. Previous studies have demonstrated a correlation between dissonance and tension in both longer [4] and shorter [24] musical sequences, and in tonal as well as atonal music [5]. The instruction to rate “tension” in music is frequently used to examine real-time music listening because the term seems to describe the way in which listeners attend to musical patterns in an essential way [25, 26]. Studies comparing alternative conceptualizations given in the experimental instructions have found ratings of “tension” to yield a more differentiated and reproducible response [27, 28]. It is thus preferable to examine ratings of “tension” rather than explicit ratings of “dissonance” or other sensory qualities because rating tension is a more meaningful task to music listeners. Dissonance is implicit in tension patterns in tonal music since musical harmony relies on tonal dissonance. It may, however, play a different role in atonal music and music based on timbre where sensory dissonance have a more structural impact [6]. For this reason, the musical stimuli in the current experiment comprised both classical pieces of tonal music as well as atonal music played on pitched instruments or abstract electronic compositions based on timbre.

In a behavioral experiment, music listeners (N = 21) were asked to rate the experienced tension by adjusting a continuous slider while listening to nine different musical stimuli [26]. Stimuli comprised both shorter excerpts as well as entire pieces. Two excerpts were presented in both a recorded version and in a MIDI version with equalized onset velocity and timing in order to examine the tension response while minimizing the effect of loudness (another predictor of musical tension).

The mean sampled tension response by human subjects was then compared to the dissonance measures described above. The tension ratings were compared with both the dissonance measure based on STM (Eq. 6) and the immediate dissonance (Eq. 5). The two time-series were first cross-correlated to find the time lag of subjects response, and the correlation was calculated at this lag. The ratings and the smoothed dissonance measure for the first musical excerpt are shown in Fig. 4. However, the correlations are calculated using the unsmoothed dissonances in order to avoid introducing artificial serial correlation into the data (producing artificially high correlations with the continuous tension response, [29]).

The results for each excerpt are listed in Table 1. As can be seen, the dissonance measure with temporal integration are better correlated with tension ratings than the immediate dissonance in all excerpts. A nonparametric Friedman’s test

Fig. 3. Activation strengths for each element in an isochronous sequence of events (below) and the number of elements in STM (above).

Fig. 4. Behavioral tension ratings and dissonance (w. memory) for the first musical excerpt.
on the correlations found the memory model to perform significantly better ($p < .005$).

3 CONCLUSION

We have presented a dissonance measure based on auditory memory. New auditory events are identified and stored in a short term memory module. For each frame, the total dissonance is calculated as the sum of local dissonance and the interaction with elements in STM. We have shown that STM-based dissonance is significantly better correlated with human ratings than dissonance measures without memory integration.

It is noticeable that these relatively simply assumptions about human perception improves the traditional dissonance measures considerably. An audio measure of dissonance that accurately corresponds to listener’s experience is valuable in many audio applications. Nonetheless, audio extracted features seldom include psychological assumptions or knowledge. There are a number of ways in which the memory model may improve audio features even more by including more psychological premises. In particular, chunking elements together may reduce the number of elements in STM, and the memory model may thus be coupled with a theory of chunking [30].
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Table 1. Results showing the correlations ($\rho$) between mean tension ratings and dissonance without and with the memory model for all 9 musical pieces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Genre</th>
<th>Composer</th>
<th>Duration (sec.)</th>
<th>$\rho$ w/o memory</th>
<th>$\rho$ w memory</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Classical tonal</td>
<td>Mozart</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>+94.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Classical tonal - MIDI</td>
<td>Mozart</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>+47.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Classical atonal</td>
<td>Webern</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>+12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Classical atonal - MIDI</td>
<td>Webern</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>+77.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>Stockhausen</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>+13.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>Stockhausen</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>+13.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>Stockhausen</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>+39.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>Alva Noto</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>+50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Classical tonal</td>
<td>Debussy</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>+13.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9 Classical tonal Debussy 39 0.23 0.26 +13.0%
8 Electronic Alva Noto 47 0.28 0.42 +50.0%
7 Electronic Stockhausen 60 0.33 0.46 +39.4%
6 Electronic Stockhausen 28 0.59 0.67 +13.6%
5 Electronic Stockhausen 28 0.60 0.68 +13.3%
4 Classical atonal - MIDI Webern 113 0.09 0.16 +77.8%
3 Classical atonal Webern 123 0.49 0.55 +12.5%
2 Classical tonal - MIDI Mozart 355 0.17 0.25 +47.1%
1 Classical tonal Mozart 444 0.17 0.33 +94.1%
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