



Aalborg Universitet

AALBORG UNIVERSITY  
DENMARK

## Identity and quantity of microorganisms in necrotising fasciitis determined by culture based and molecular methods

Rudkjøbing, Vibeke Børsholt; Thomsen, Trine Rolighed; Nielsen, Per Halkjær; Melton-Kreft, R.; Ahmed, A.; Ehrlich, G.D.; Moser, Claus

*Publication date:*  
2012

*Document Version*  
Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication from Aalborg University](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Rudkjøbing, V. B., Thomsen, T. R., Nielsen, P. H., Melton-Kreft, R., Ahmed, A., Ehrlich, G. D., & Moser, C. (2012). *Identity and quantity of microorganisms in necrotising fasciitis determined by culture based and molecular methods.*

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal -

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at [vbn@aub.aau.dk](mailto:vbn@aub.aau.dk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Identity and quantity of microorganisms in necrotising fasciitis determined by culture based and molecular methods

V.B. Rudkjøbing<sup>1</sup>, T.R. Thomsen<sup>1, 2</sup>, P.H. Nielsen<sup>1</sup>, R. Melton-Kreft<sup>3</sup>, A. Ahmed<sup>3</sup>, G.D. Ehrlich<sup>3</sup>, C. Moser<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Chemistry and Environmental Engineering, Aalborg University, Denmark

<sup>2</sup> Life Science Division, The Danish Technological Institute, Denmark

<sup>3</sup> Center for Genomic Sciences, Allegheny-Singer Research Institute, Pittsburgh, United States of America

<sup>4</sup> Department of Clinical Microbiology, Rigshospitalet, Denmark


## Background

Necrotising fasciitis (NF), commonly known as flesh eating disease is a fast progressing, potentially lethal infection of the subcutaneous tissue/fascia. Because of the speed of infection immediate diagnosis and therapy (including systemic antimicrobials and aggressive surgical debridements) are required. The infection is often caused by streptococci (especially *Streptococcus pyogenes*), *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Clostridium sp.*<sup>1</sup>

## Objective

Accurate identification of the microorganisms may add to the knowledge of NF pathogenesis and influence the administration of antibiotics, and thereby potentially improve the outcome for the patients. Here we investigate the applicability of different molecular methods compared to standard culture-based methods.

## Methods




**TABLE 1:** Overview of samples included in this study. All samples were obtained during tissue debridement at Rigshospitalet (Copenhagen, Denmark).

Patient	No.	Sample site
1	1	Arm
	2	Arm
	3	Arm
	4	Arm
	5	Arm
2	6	Shoulder
	7	Shoulder
	8	Shoulder
3	9	Arm
	10	Arm
4	11	Vulva
5	12	Neck
	13	Neck
6	14	Shoulder
	15	Shoulder
7	16	Crus
	17	Crus
8	18	Femur
	19	Femur
	20	Femur
	21	Femur

•Samples 1-5 (Patient 1) were used to evaluate DNA extraction method.



**Clone libraries of near full-length 16S rRNA**



## DNA extraction test


•DNA was extracted from sample no. 1-5 using methods I-V (Figure 1).



**FIGURE 1:** Schematic overview of the tested DNA extraction methods. Protocol A: DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (Quiagen), B: Proteinase K + FastDNA Spin kit (MP Biomedicals) and C: MolYsis Complete5 (MolZym).

•Method III and IV gave the highest DNA yield since no MolYsis (Molzym) pretreatment was used (Figure 2).

**FIGURE 2:** Quantity of DNA determined using Picogreen dsDNA dye (Invitrogen).



•Clone libraries were constructed for DNA extracted by all methods from sample no. 3.


**TABLE 2:** Most frequently occurring bacteria in clone libraries for sample no. 3 using extraction methods I-V. An x indicates that the bacteria was found in the clone library for the respective extraction method.

	Method				
	I	II	III	IV	V
<i>Streptococcus sp.</i>	x	x	x	x	x
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>		x	x		
<i>Escherichia coli</i>		x	x	x	
<i>Ralstonia pickettii</i>		x	x		x
<i>Klebsiella sp.</i>			x		
<i>Propionibacterium acnes</i>			x		

•DNA extraction method III was chosen for the remaining samples.  
•The protocol was extended to include a bead-beating step.

## Results - quantity

•Quantitative PCR confirmed findings of *S. pyogenes* by culture and molecular methods.  
•*S. pyogenes* was quantified in sample no. 13 although the bacteria was not found previously.  
•Samples where *S. pyogenes* was not dominant corresponded to samples where other microorganisms had been identified (no. 9, 11 and 13).



**FIGURE 3:** Quantitative measurements of *S. pyogenes*<sup>2</sup> and the 16S rRNA gene of all bacteria<sup>3</sup>. Gene copies were converted to a measure of CFU per gram tissue sample.

## Results - Identity

•Generally the methods used for identification of microorganisms gave concordant results (Table 3).  
•In some cases culture resulted in no growth of microorganisms, although bacteria could be found by molecular methods.  
•Different molecular methods gave concordant results for the most frequent bacteria.

**TABLE 3:** Identity of microorganisms determined by culture compared to microorganisms determined by molecular methods (A: IBIS, B: 454 pyrosequencing and C: clone library).

No.	Culture	Molecular methods
6	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> <sup>A, B</sup>
7	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> <sup>A, B</sup> <i>Staphylococcus cohnii</i> <sup>A</sup> <i>Thauera terpenica</i> <sup>A</sup>
8	No growth	<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> <sup>A, B</sup> <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> <sup>A</sup> <i>Staphylococcus hominis</i> <sup>A</sup>
9	<i>Streptococcus sp.</i>	<i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>A, B</sup> <i>Streptococcus didelphus</i> <sup>A, B</sup> <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> <sup>A</sup>
10	No growth	<i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>C</sup>
11	<i>Escherichia coli</i> <i>S. pyogenes</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i> <sup>A, B</sup> <i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>A, B</sup> <i>Bacteroides fragilis</i> <sup>A, B</sup> <i>Staphylococcus hominis</i> <sup>A</sup> <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> <sup>A</sup> <i>Staphylococcus warneri</i> <sup>A</sup> + <i>mecA</i> gene <sup>A</sup> <i>Cladosporium cladosporioides</i> <sup>A</sup> <i>Porphyromonas sp.</i> <sup>B</sup> <i>Mycoplasma sp.</i> <sup>B</sup>
12	Fungus	<i>Candida albicans</i> <sup>A</sup> <i>Mycoplasma sp.</i> <sup>A, B</sup> <i>Fusobacterium necrophorum</i> <sup>A, B</sup> <i>Staphylococcus auricularis</i> <sup>A</sup> <i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i> <sup>A</sup> <i>Prevotella sp.</i> <sup>B</sup>
13	Fungus	<i>Mycoplasma salivarium</i> <sup>C</sup> <i>Fusobacterium necrophorum</i> <sup>C</sup> <i>Mogibacterium sp.</i> <sup>C</sup>
14	No growth	<i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>C</sup>
15	No growth	<i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>C</sup>
16	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	<i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>C</sup> Uncultured bacterium <sup>C</sup>
17	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	<i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>C</sup>
18	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	<i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>C</sup>
19	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	<i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>C</sup>
20	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	<i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>C</sup>
21	<i>S. pyogenes</i>	<i>S. pyogenes</i> <sup>C</sup>

## Conclusion

•The bacteria most often found in the samples was *S. pyogenes*.  
•Interestingly, one patient was found to harbour no Streptococci but *Candida albicans*, *Mycoplasma sp.* and *Fusobacterium necrophorum*.  
•The molecular methods gave concordant results, and confirmed positive culture findings. However, additional microorganisms were identified.  
•Advantages of molecular methods: 1) identification of the pathogen(s) after administration of antibiotics and 2) less time-consuming than conventional culture.

### References:

- Versalovic J, Carroll KC, Funke G, et al. red. *Manual of clinical microbiology*. 10. edition. ASM Press; 2011.
- Dawson ED, Taylor AW, Smagala JA, Rowlen KL. Molecular detection of *S. pyogenes* and *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *equisimilis*. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 2009; 42:117-127.
- Suzuki MT, Taylor LT, DeLong EF. Quantitative Analysis of Small-Subunit rRNA Genes in Mixed Microbial Populations via 5'-Nuclease Assays. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2000; 66:4605-4614.